Environmental Reevaluation
for
US 2 Profile Change

North Spokane Corridor
FSEIS September 2000
FHWA-WA-EIS-95-4-DS

WSDOT Eastern Region
December 20, 2001

Contents

A. Environmental Reevaluation/Consultation Form (NEPA)

B. US 2 Profile Change description

C. Technical Memorandum: North Spokane Corridor Project Groundwater Assessment, Farwell and US 2 Interchange

D. Addendum to Noise Discipline Report, North Spokane Corridor Project

E. Visual Quality Assessment- Raised VE North Alternative

Environmental Reevaluation/Consultation Form (NEPA)

23 CFR §771.129
Washington State Department of Transportation/Federal Highway Administration

REGION	SR	PROJECT PROGRAM NO.	FEDERAL AID NO.	PROJECT NO.
Eastern	395			FHWA-WA-EIS-95-4-DS

PROJECT TITLE, ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT TYPE & DATE APPROVED:
North Spokane Corridor, FSEIS, Sept. 2000
ROD signed 11/13/00

REASON FOR CONSULTATION:
Phase 1, US 2 Profile Change

DESCRIPTION OF CHANGED CONDITIONS:
Geotechnical investigations determined that perched water tables would be affected with NSC/US 2 interchange as shown in 2000 SEIS. Interchange profile has been reversed, so that US 2 is lowered and the NSC over passes US 2 Groundwater will be directed to natural drainage course. Footprint of interchange remains unchanged.

HAVE ANY NEW OR REVISED LAWS OR REGULATIONS BEEN ISSUED SINCE APPROVAL OF THE LAST ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT THAT AFFECTS THIS PROJECT? YES [ ] NO [X] (If yes explain, use additional sheets if necessary)

WILL THE CHANGED CONDITIONS AFFECT THE FOLLOWING DIFFERENTLY THAN DESCRIBED IN THE ORIGINAL ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT (If yes explain in the comment section the impacts and mitigation, if any; and note any additional consultation conducted with resource and regulatory agencies regarding the change.)

1) THREATENED or ENDANGERED SPECIES Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
2) PRIME & UNIQUE FARMLAND Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
3) WETLANDS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
4) FLOODPLAINS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
5) HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]

6) HISTORIC or ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
7) 4(f) LANDS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
8) 6(f) LANDS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
9) WILD & SCENIC RIVERS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
10) COASTAL BARRIERS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
11) COASTAL ZONE Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]

WILL THESE CHANGES RESULT IN ANY CONTROVERSY? YES [ ] NO [X]
(If yes explain)
Public meeting in neighborhood, May 24, 2001, to discuss design changes. County staff included in meeting.

WILL THE CHANGES CAUSE ADVERSE IMPACTS IN THE FOLLOWING:
(If yes, explain in comment section)

1) AIR QUALITY Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
2) NOISE Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
3) LAND USE Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
4) TRAFFIC or TRANSPORTATION Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
5) DISPLACEMENT (Business & Residential) Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
6) ECONOMIC GROWTH & DEVELOPMENT Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
7) WATER QUALITY Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
8) VISUAL QUALITY Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
9) NATURAL RESOURCES & ENERGY Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
10) PUBLIC SERVICES & UTILITIES Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
11) VEGETATION & WILDLIFE Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
12) RECREATION Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]
13) SOCIAL IMPACTS Comment:	YES [ ] NO [X]

CONCLUSIONS and/or RECOMMENDATIONS (SEIS NOT REQUIRED)

There have been no significant changes in the proposed action. Conclusions reached with regard to the anticipated impacts of the selected alternative were not changed by the additional analyses concluded since the publication of the document.

Additional mitigation is not required as a result of changed conditions.

-OR-

Additional mitigation measures have been incorporated into the project to address the changed conditions, and are required to reach the conclusion.

As a result of the foregoing, it is the conclusion of this reevaluation and consultation that the document continues to be valid. It is not deemed necessary to supplement the document prior to proceeding with major authorizations.

I concur with the conclusions and recommendations above.

WSDOT Regional Official	WSDOT Service Center Official	FHWA Division Official
James B. Lambert	N/A	Megan P. Hall
Date: 11-14-01	Date: 11-14-01	Date: 1-15-02

CONCLUSIONS and/or RECOMMENDATIONS (SEIS REQUIRED)

There have been significant changes in the proposed action. As a result of the foregoing, it is the conclusion of this reevaluation and consultation that the document does not continue to be valid. It is deemed necessary to supplement the document prior to proceeding with major authorizations.

I concur with the conclusions and recommendations above.

WSDOT Regional Official	WSDOT Service Center Official	FHWA Division Official
Date:	Date:	Date:

cc: FHWA Regional Office