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The cost effectiveness of high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes was analyzed by comparing the costs and benefits of existing HOV lanes with the hypothetical alternatives of doing nothing or adding a lane for general traffic. Three specific sites in the Seattle area were studied. A life-cycle costing approach was used.

The main result of the study was that (for the three locations studied) the construction of HOV lanes was the most cost effective alternative. The "marginal net present value" of each of the projects was positive (on the order of $50 to $600 per commuter per year, depending on the specific comparison). The "marginal benefit/cost ratio" was greater than six for all cases.

Using extreme values for the elements of the model had little impact on the outcome of the study. Using extreme values for any factor, one at a time, did not come close to reversing any of the findings. Reversing the general finding of the study required extreme values for virtually all of the factors. It is extremely unlikely that all the elements of the model were distorted in a direction to cause this outcome.

These findings showed that the three projects under consideration are very cost effective and should remain in place as HOV lanes. In fact, the investment of additional funds to improve the operation of these lanes could clearly be justified economically.

The methodology developed for this study was incorporated into an easy-to-use computer program that assesses the cost-effectiveness of the construction of HOV lanes in other locations. In order to save the costs of extensive data collection, the sensitivity analysis approach developed in this study proved to be a valuable tool in the analysis of sites for HOV lanes. Instead of collecting extensive data to precisely quantify the cost-effectiveness of potential HOV lanes, this method can be used to determine which factors can significantly affect the outcome.
DISCLAIMER
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This technical report accompanies a final report on "An Evaluation of the Cost Effectiveness of HOV Lanes," prepared to document activities carried out under task order Y3399-7 by the Washington State Transportation Center for the Washington State Department of Transportation. An overview of the project and interpretation of the findings from that project may be found in the final report. This report is designed to provide detail on the activities of the project and of the analysis presented in the final report.

This report is divided into five main sections. The first is a literature review conducted to provide background for the cost analysis and forecasting the usage of HOV lanes. The second is the original study design and methodology proposed for the project. It has been subsequently revised to take into account the comments received during a review of that task and difficulties encountered in the final analysis stages. The actual methodology employed in the project is described in general in the final report. Some of the details may be found in the third, fourth and fifth sections of this technical report.

The third section of this report contains details of some of the computations used in the cost model. Specifically, it describes:

1) the method used to assign traffic to the freeway and parallel arterials,
2) the assignment of time penalties to trips required to travel outside the preferred time period,
3) the computation of speeds and volumes,
4) forecasts of shifts to HOV's, and
5) details of the outcomes of the cost analysis.
The fourth section describes details of the sensitivity analysis. The last section describes the computer programs used to run the cost model and gives instructions for their use.
SECTION 1

LITERATURE REVIEW

INTRODUCTION

For the last twenty years, there has been a great deal of interest in the development of methods to improve the efficiency of the existing highway system. One of these methods is the use of high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes. This section describes literature concerning HOV lanes that was reviewed for this project.

The provision of lanes for the exclusive use of buses, vanpools and/or carpools is seen as a way to achieve several objectives. A recent study (Southworth and Westbrook, 1985) culled the following objectives from a national survey of HOV projects:

1. To improve traffic flow by encouraging the use of shared ride vehicles (i.e. HOV's), and thereby creating more space on the highways during the peak commuting hours.

2. To reduce energy consumption through reduced vehicle miles of daily commuter travel.

3. To reduce air pollution (hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulphur and particulates) through reduced vehicle miles of daily commuter travel.

4. To reduce the cost of transportation to the commuter through the encouragement of shared ride and hence shared cost, modes of travel.

5. To remove or reduce the need for new highway construction or highway repair by reducing the volume of traffic that is responsible for road surface damage.

The focus of this study is the evaluation of HOV lanes. However, it is important to recognize that other types of transportation system management (TSM) actions support the efficient use of HOV lanes (e.g. Mounce, 1983). These other TSM actions could include ramp metering (with or without HOV bypass), park and ride lots, park and pool lots, ridematching programs, promotion of flexible working hours and the development of incentives to promote ridesharing activities. All these programs exist in the Seattle-King County area and contribute to the level of effectiveness of HOV lanes.
History of HOV lanes

The idea for HOV lanes was probably born decades ago. One of the first published articles on the subject (Institute of Traffic Engineers Technical Committee 3-D, 1959) was confined to bus lanes on urban streets. The article describes warrants approved by the committee for such lanes. Two articles (Morin and Reagan, 1969) and (Turner, 1970) refer to an earlier paper by Cherniak (1963) as the origin of the idea for HOV lanes on major highways. In a study of bus riders by Zell (1964), a one year experiment with an exclusive bus lane on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge was analyzed. The main intent of the paper was to assess the effect of the exclusive lane on bus patronage, but the paper concluded with an assessment of the efficacy of exclusive lanes for decreasing congestion. The study found that, even though bus patronage increased during the existence of the exclusive lane, the added passengers could not be attributed to the HOV facility. The paper further asserted that the overall vehicle-carrying capacity of the bridge was reduced by the introduction of the lane. A response by Karl Moscowitz, published with the paper, points out that the lane was successful in increasing the person-carrying capacity of the bridge.

Turner (1970) quotes a 1964 statement by Edward Holmes in "Transit and Federal Highways" as follows:

The Bureau of Public Roads takes the position that such reservation (bus lane) is reasonable if the usage by bus passengers exceeds the number of persons that would normally be found in the same period in passenger cars...

It is clear that the concept of HOV lanes was well-known, although not widely accepted, by the early 1960's. The Turner paper also quotes Joseph Barnett, Chief of the Urban Road Division of the Public Roads Administration as early as 1947 making statements suggesting that since the most efficient use of highway lanes is by buses, exclusive lanes may be advantageous.

Regardless when the idea originated or who originated it, by the end of the 1960's it was well established and the first major projects were in the planning and implementation stage. Morin and Reagan (1969) supported the concept of taking a lane from highways for buses and carpools. Their paper analyzed the conditions under which their primary output measure (delay of persons) could be
minimized. The Turner paper concentrated on the advantages that were expected to accrue from an exclusive bus lane (and later carpool and vanpool lane) on Shirley Highway in the Washington, D. C. area.

The Shirley Highway exclusive bus lane opened partially in 1969. The exclusive bus lane in the approach to the Lincoln Tunnel on I-495 in New Jersey opened in 1971. The first stage of the exclusive bus lane (later to include cars and vans) on the San Bernardino Freeway in the Los Angeles area opened in 1973. In 1974 and 1975, several HOV lane projects opened, including:

US 101 north of San Francisco,
Banfield Freeway in Portland, Oregon,
Moanalua Freeway in Honolulu,
I-95 in Miami, Florida, and
Long Island Expressway.

Since that time, several HOV lane projects on freeways have been initiated and several have been abandoned. The most recent national survey (Southworth and Westbrook, 1985) describes 17 projects currently in operation and three that have been abandoned since 1980. Previous surveys (Fisher and Simkowitz, 1978; Spielberg, et. al., 1980) contain descriptions of projects that are currently in operation as well as those that were abandoned before 1980.

Limits of this review

The onset of HOV lane projects in the early 1970's initiated a series of publications on the subject that has continued to the present. Early publications tended to be more descriptive than evaluative. This literature review concentrates on publications that are more evaluative, since the objective of the overall project is to evaluate the cost efficiency of HOV lanes.

Early evaluations tended to concentrate on a common set of measures of efficiency (MOE's), including average speed, person throughput, vehicle throughput, number of accidents and compliance rate. Only recently have publications begun to address the question of overall cost efficiency. This review concentrates on the latter type of study.
Supporting documents

A good general description of HOV lanes, including options for deployment and supporting
HOV actions, is contained in a manual prepared for a course sponsored by the Federal Highway
Administration (Samdahl, et. al., 1981). The document contains eight chapters with the following titles:

- Introduction to HOV treatments,
- Preliminary Planning,
- Impact Analysis,
- Physical Design,
- Operations and Maintenance,
- Enforcement,
- Marketing Plan, and
- Continuing Evaluation and Planning.

It also contains a comprehensive bibliography covering the years before 1981.

This literature review included an examination of some more recently written general
descriptions of HOV lanes (Leyshon and Cunneen, 1982; Booth and Waksman, 1985; Boyle, 1985;
Capelle and Robinson, 1985; Christiansen, 1985; and Roper, 1986). These articles don't discuss
innovations in the physical implementation of HOV lanes, but they do draw on past experience to
discuss the conditions under which HOV lanes are warranted. This aspect of these articles will be
covered later.

The reader should consult a recent survey of HOV projects by Southworth and Westbrook
(1985) for an up-to-date bibliography of specific project descriptions. References that were reviewed
for this project include the following:

- California - (Sweet, 1976; Glazer and Crain, 1979; Gordon and Muretta, 1983; and
  Santa Clara Transit District Board, 1986)

- Connecticut - (Artimovich, 1976)

Hawaii - (Baluch, 1976; and Deuser, 1976)  
Massachusetts - (Hatzi, 1976)  
Minnesota - (Bather-Ringrose-Wolsfeld, Inc., 1975)  
Oregon - (Bothman, 1976; and Oregon Department of Transportation, 1978)  
Texas - (Kuo, et. al., 1984)  
Washington - (Betts, Jacobson, Mieras and Rickman, 1984; Betts, Jacobson and Rickman, 1984; Hennery and Jacobs, 1984; and Washington State Department of Transportation, 1983)  

A comprehensive analysis of enforcement of HOV lanes may be found in a report written for Caltrans and the California State Highway Patrol (Billheimer, 1981).  

**COST ANALYSIS**  

Much of the past research on HOV lanes has concentrated on identifying characteristics associated with "successful" HOV projects (e.g. Bly, Webster and Oldfield, 1978). Two "rules of thumb" have emerged from that research (Lomax and Morris, 1985):  

1. priority lanes should provide a savings of one minute per mile of HOV lane treatment, and  
2. a minimum overall savings of five to ten minutes is desirable.  

However, as was discussed above, there generally are multiple criteria that govern the locations and times that HOV lane projects are considered likely to be effective. Other variables must be considered in any study of the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes.  

Since the implementation of HOV lanes has occurred primarily in the last ten or twelve years, the experience to draw on is fairly limited. Although projects were initiated primarily in places where the use of the general lanes of traffic had reached capacity during the peak period, the highway facilities in the corridor surrounding the HOV project had not been completely saturated. In some cases, there had been little observed spread of the peak period. In virtually all cases where an HOV lane was added to the highway, the HOV lane has yet to be used to capacity. In other words, the full
impact of HOV lanes has not yet been tested. However, some evaluation has been performed on most projects. The evaluations can be separated into two types, standard evaluations based on a series of MOE's and evaluations that attempt to convert the impacts of the projects to dollars and to assess the projects' cost efficiency.

**Standard evaluations**

Standard evaluations include measurements of improvements in person-carrying capacity, travel time savings, mode shifts, environmental effects, safety, enforcement and public opinion. Some examples of these studies include the evaluations of projects in Los Angeles (Gordon and Muretta, 1983), Orange County (Greene and Barasch, 1986), Florida (Harland, Bartholomew and Associates, Inc., 1984), Texas (Kuo and Mounce, 1984; and Kuo, Peterson and Mounce, 1984), Boston (Simkowitz, 1978) and Seattle (Betts, Jacobson and Rickman, 1984; and Washington State Department of Transportation, 1985). Courage, et. al. (1978) assessed the impact of changing the minimum occupancy requirements for an HOV lane on the person-moving performance of the facility. Zahavi and Roth (1980) suggest that, in the assessment of the impact of an HOV project, a useful measure of mobility is the total distance traveled per day by all travellers.

These types of evaluations generally provide a comprehensive set of data to assess the efficacy of a project, but they don't provide guidelines to measure the relative importance of each MOE. Polus and Tomecki (1986) suggest that measures of MOE's be combined by assigning specific weights to each variable and that an overall measure of level of service be developed from a linear combination of the MOE's. The weights would be provided by a panel of experts and decision-makers involved with the project. However, the paper doesn't cover the problem of getting a diverse group of people to agree on the weights.

**Cost effectiveness studies**

One widely-accepted way of combining multiple measures in an evaluation is to convert the measures into dollars. For instance, travel time savings can be converted to money if an agreed-upon value for time can be determined. Operating costs are probably less controversial and can be used in
the comparison of alternatives. Other impacts are more difficult to convert to dollars. These include environmental benefits, improvements to the regional economy and safety.

The difficulty of converting all the impacts of an HOV project to dollars has not prevented attempts to do so. McKinsey and Company, Inc. of Dallas developed the Highway Economic Evaluation Model (HEEM) that has been used by the Texas State Department of Highways to evaluate highway projects. The model (discussed in Buffington and McFarland, 1975; and Buffington, McFarland and Rollins, 1979) makes assumptions about unit costs and evaluates highway projects in terms of a benefit/cost ratio, called an economic measure (EM). The EM for any project is the ratio of the present value of the estimated user benefits to the estimated construction costs. User benefits include time savings, vehicle operating cost savings and accident cost savings.

Memmott and Buffington (1982) discussed the applicability of HEEM to the assessment of HOV projects. They conclude that the model would be useful with some modifications. Their paper suggests that assumptions concerning truck volumes, occupancy rates, vehicle types, value of time and vehicle operating costs should be updated and refined to reflect values for a particular time and a particular corridor. Since HEEM uses a rather primitive algorithm for allocating traffic to various facilities in a corridor, the paper also recommends a more sophisticated marginal cost allocation method. The basic assumption of this method is that each individual seeks to minimize travel costs according to their perception of the situation.

Other approaches have been used to measure the cost effectiveness of HOV projects. The range of cost types is often limited. Previous studies generally take into account only travel time and vehicle operating costs (e.g. Cromwell, et. al., 1977). Hirsh (1975) assessed the impact of bus priority treatments on bus operating costs. A more recent study compared the costs of vanpooling, carpooling and driving alone (Bailey, 1982). It employed a sophisticated assessment of a variety of costs as perceived by the commuter to measure differences in commuting costs. It did not, however, attempt to evaluate the implementation of a specific HOV project.
Sources are available for obtaining unit costs, when local information is difficult to find (e.g. AASHTO, 1977; and Fisher and Viton, 1974). A body of literature exists discussing the methods for assessing the value of time to be used in cost estimation (e.g. Thomas and Thompson, 1971).

FORECASTING METHODOLOGY

The great difficulty in assessing the cost effectiveness of an HOV project over its life is to forecast what will happen in the future. We need to answer three questions in order to assess the future cost effectiveness of an HOV lane:

1) How do we know how much and when the demand for highway use will occur?

2) If we did know how much highway use will occur, how can we determine how it will be distributed?

3) When we know where and how much traffic there will be, how do we determine the speeds and other operating characteristics under those conditions?

A complete discussion of these questions is outside the scope of this study. However, some consideration is in order to plan a study of the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes.

A paper prepared for the Transportation Research Board (Shoemaker, 1983) suggests that estimates of energy usage can fluctuate greatly depending upon our assumptions about future volumes of traffic and its average speed. The same caution can be applied to estimates of cost efficiency.

The first question involves forecasts of employment and population growth both in terms of number and distribution. These forecasts are partly a result of land use policy and partly a result of national and international economic and demographic trends whose determination is outside the scope of this project.

The second question deals with the distribution of forecasts of travel demand. Many of the studies reviewed here mention the importance of taking a corridor approach in evaluating the impact on highway facilities of a growth in demand. However, none of the cost effectiveness studies reviewed above, with the possible exception of the HEEM, takes the corridor approach into account. In fact,
most of the evaluations use existing data exclusively. They don't employ forecasts of the future demand and supply at all.

Several types of methods exist for the analysis and forecasting of HOV lane usage, from large scale, main frame computer models requiring large amounts of data, to sketch-level planning methods that rely primarily on graphic aids, worksheets and hand-held calculators. The models can be classified into four categories. The category determines the types and amount of data required, and the degree to which the above criteria are satisfied. These four categories are: 1) multinomial logit models, 2) pivot point logit models, 3) micro-level demand and supply models and 4) sketch-planning models. Following are descriptions of each of these types of models.

**Multinomial logit models**

The Urban Transportation Planning System (UTPS) model, ULOGIT (UTPS Reference Manual, 1984), is an example of a model which employs a multinomial logit formulation to predict mode choice. A similar, but less complex model, called the Quick Response System (QRS), employs the same logit formulation as UTPS (Roskin, 1984). Other examples include an economic simulation for priority lanes on urban radial freeways (Small, 1977) and a corridor-level planning model (Talvitie, 1978). In this type of model, the probability of choosing a particular mode is dependent on utility to an individual of each mode as a function of transportation supply and the individual's socioeconomic characteristics. When the travel demand between two analysis zones is known, this type of model predicts what modes travelers will choose. Some models, for example UTPS and Emme 2 (Babin, Florian, James-Lefebvre and Spiess, 1982), predict specific travel paths. Models like Small's and Talvitie's apply to single corridors or specific roadway links.

In order to calculate the probability of modal choice, the user of the model must specify the characteristics of the transportation links in the study corridor. This includes highway capacities, speeds and which modes are allowed on which facilities. Total travel demand between zones must be determined. In addition, the user must specify the socioeconomic characteristics of the travelers between the zones and how those socioeconomic characteristics are associated with the utilities they
place on various costs of the trip (in and out-of-vehicle time, parking costs, vehicle operating costs, etc.). In short, these models require a great deal of data, probably involving origin and destination (O&D) surveys and home interview surveys to study socioeconomic characteristics. The models tend to be expensive and time-consuming to run, thus not satisfying the third criterion listed above.

These models can forecast spatial distribution of trips by mode if the transportation network and analysis zones are defined at a fine enough level. However, even though this type of model can account for mode choice in the whole corridor with some degree of reliability, there is some doubt that they do a good job in accounting for the specific travel paths employed. The method does not specifically account for shifts in time of travel.

Because of the large data requirements, the expense in operating the models and the insensitivity to travel demand on specific highway facilities, this class of models is not appropriate for the HOV cost effectiveness study.

**Pivot point logit models**

These models are similar to the first type of model except that they predict shifts in mode choice rather than mode choice in general. Therefore, they require only information on existing modal shares and changes in transportation supply characteristics. A good example of this type of model was developed by Cambridge Systematics, Inc. (Ben-Akiva and Atherton, 1977) for the U. S. Department of Energy. The QRS model also contains this option.

By using information about existing mode shares, data requirements are reduced and the need for detailed socioeconomic and transportation supply data for each highway facility or analysis zone is avoided. The model works best when the population is divided into a number of market segments and the changes in transportation level-of-service variables is specified for each segment.

One drawback of this model, as with all multinomial logit models, is that the coefficients that represent the utility of various aspects of transportation supply are determined at one point in time from cross-sectional data collected at some particular geographic location. There is no guarantee that these coefficients are the same in all places or that they will be the same in the future.
Another drawback is similar to problems with the first type of model. The analysis of travel behavior on specific highway facilities is weak. It is possible to recompute travel times and speeds based on modal shifts, and, in an iterative process, calculate new modal shifts. However, the model does not lend itself readily to analysis of travel path choices. A third drawback is that temporal shifts in travel are not explicitly taken into account in this type of model.

The pivot point logit model is an improvement over the first type of model for use in the HOV cost effectiveness study because it is less expensive and less time-consuming to use. However, it still requires a fairly large amount of data and suffers from several methodological weaknesses that don’t satisfy the criterion set out above.

**Micro-level demand and supply models**

A third type of model is similar to the pivot point logit model, but attempts to explicitly account for travel behavior on specific highway facilities. The FREQ models (Imoda and May, 1985), developed at the University of California at Berkeley, typify this type of model. They employ a multinomial logit formulation to predict modal shift, but they also treat actions on specific highway facilities in detail.

The models use highway geometries to calculate capacities and speeds. They treat highway facilities separately as mainline and arterials (one arterial represents all alternative parallel routes to the mainline). Each of these facilities is divided into segments and defined in detail. The impact of changes in the facilities is analyzed in segments defined by the user.

Travel demand relationships are analyzed similarly to the pivot point logit model, but only changes in travel time are considered. Spatial choice (choice of freeway or arterial) is modeled fairly simply. When the mainline fills to capacity, the excess is fed (by the model) on to the arterial. The impact on arterial travel speed is recomputed and an iterative process is used to achieve equilibrium.

Temporal shift is simulated in FREQ models by keeping track of the vehicles that cannot be accommodated by the capacity of the mainline and arterial. These vehicles are assigned to a later time slice. The result is that trips are spread out to fill the facilities to their capacity.
FREQ operates basically like the pivot point logit model, but simulates, at a micro-level, the traffic flow on the facilities of interest. The model solves, to some extent, the weaknesses of the two previous types of models by explicitly taking highway facilities and temporal shifts into account. It does this, however, at the expense of simplicity. The data requirements of the model are fairly significant and the running of the model can be expensive.

In addition, the FREQ models, since they employ a multinomial logit formulation, require coefficients to represent the impact of various travel costs on mode choice. The elasticities in the model are based on a survey of 161 people in the San Francisco Bay Area in 1972. Users of the model have the choice of supplying their own elasticities, but the accuracy of the model in predicting modal shift is highly dependent on the choice of these elasticities.

**Sketch-planning models**

The three models described above have applications in the planning of HOV lanes. However, for the purposes of this project, they are inadequate, either because they would be too expensive and time-consuming to make several runs or they do not adequately simulate the behavior we are particularly interested in. A more promising approach to forecasting for this project is to use a sketch-level planning method employing a small number of assumptions that can be tested using sensitivity analysis.

One example of this type of model is the Community Aggregate Planning Model (CAPM) (Ryan, 1979). However, CAPM uses average auto occupancy as an input, rather than predicting mode split. A good example of a sketch-planning model that is used to predict mode split is the regression model developed by Charles Rivers Associates (Parody, 1982) for the Federal Highway Administration. This model is a pivot point model, but does not employ the logit formulation. In other words, it starts with a relatively simple description of the initial conditions, adds a simple description of the proposed change to the highway facility and predicts the travelers' responses to the change. The predictions are based on a regression analysis of 25 previous HOV projects carried out on freeways in the United States.
In using this approach, the prediction basically represents the average of what happened in other projects. This includes all of the temporal shifts (shifts in time), spatial shifts (shifts to parallel arterials) and all of the modal shifts. The model is very simple to use and requires only a minimum of initial data. It was designed to be used with a series of seven worksheets and a hand calculator. For this project, the worksheets have been computerized to facilitate the sensitivity analysis and the consideration of different future scenarios.

The input data requirements are as follows:

- existing numbers of automobiles, non-priority and priority-eligible
- number of existing buses and bus passengers
- current travel times and speeds
- current number of lanes and capacity
- future number of lanes and capacity
- HOV definitions and allowed lanes

The program predicts future volumes and speeds for all kinds of vehicles. The program represents what would happen within one year of the introduction of an HOV facility. By itself, it cannot be used for long term projections and it does not supply information about travel volumes on parallel arterials or changes in the length of the peak hour. However, the CRA regression model can form the basis for these kinds of forecasts. The following section outlines the plan for HOV travel forecasting based on the model to be used in this project.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

The literature on HOV lanes is limited primarily because of the relatively short time that such facilities have been in place. The majority of it tends to be descriptive, rather than evaluative. The evaluative literature is incomplete in being able to guide a study of the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes. The incompleteness is in three areas: 1) range of types of costs covered, 2) corridor level analysis and 3) use of life cycle costing.
The current research project is designed to overcome some of the shortcomings in the literature. Previous cost analyses have concentrated on the costs of travel time, vehicle operating expense and costs for building and maintaining the HOV lanes. This study will attempt to quantify such things as support for regional development, safety and impact on other facilities.

This research will also consider the corridor level in the analysis of the impact of HOV lanes. In order to do so, it will be necessary to predict (or at least to account for) the shift of travel to other roads and highways. Shifts in mode and time of travel will also be taken into account.

The methodology for life cycle costing is well known. The problem in this study will be to forecast costs and benefits of an HOV lane project for a time frame of reasonable length. This will require estimates of future demand and estimates of travellers' responses to HOV improvements. The project will employ some form of modeling as described in the previous section.

The literature reviewed here will be useful, especially since it contains evaluations of several specific HOV projects that have been implemented in the last 15 years. These evaluations are a guide to the types of MOEs that should be considered in the evaluation of any HOV project. In addition, much of the work on unit costs and cost methodology has already been done, so that this research project can concentrate on the measurement of some of the more difficult-to-quantify variables. The existence of a range of modeling tools means that there will be a choice in approach and level of investment required.
SECTION 2

DETAILED STUDY DESIGN AND WORK PLAN

The purpose of this section is to outline, in detail, the originally proposed approach to evaluating the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes in the Puget Sound region. The section begins with a description of the general approach to the evaluation. Following that is a series of descriptions of the proposed methodologies for estimating the individual cost components in the evaluation. This section does not contain a complete specification of the values for assumptions going into the cost model, but it does specify how they will be determined (during the data collection and analysis phases). A third part of this section discusses the forecasting of shifts to higher occupancy modes induced by the implementation of HOV lanes. A fourth part describes the use of sensitivity analysis to determine the effects of the ranges in assumptions employed in the cost estimations.

GENERAL APPROACH

In general, the method to evaluate the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes compares the initial investment and annual operating costs of HOV lanes with the savings associated with HOV lanes by using differences in net present value. In order to do this, three alternatives will be considered:

1) do-nothing - the freeway segment without any additional lanes

2) add-a-general-lane - adding one lane to the freeway segment that can be used by any vehicle

3) add-an-HOV-lane - adding one lane to the freeway segment that can be used only by buses, vanpools and carpools (carpool definitions may vary)

Two freeway segments will be used as examples in this study to illustrate the use of the methodology. They were selected to represent a range of types of HOV lanes and to provide the opportunity to evaluate existing implementations. They are a) the lanes for buses and 3+ carpools on I-5 north of Northgate and b) the lane for buses and 2+ carpools on I-405 south of I-90.
HOV lanes will be evaluated by examining the differences between alternatives (1) and (3) and between alternatives (2) and (3). Costs will be analyzed for a twenty year time span. The analysis will deal with differences rather than total costs. For example, the initial design and construction investment for HOV lanes will be used in the comparison of (1) and (3), rather than using the total costs associated with the freeway segment. Savings (if any) will be differences in costs between alternatives. Since the full capacities of freeways are rarely used outside the peak and shoulder of the peak hours (hereafter referred to as the **peak period**), the analysis will be confined to those hours. The initial investment and the operating costs for additional lanes will be "charged" only to the peak and shoulder of the peak hours.

The first step will be to determine the cost differences per person-mile for each alternative for each year of the twenty year time period. This will be done by examining three years, 1986, 1996 and 2006. The costs for years in between will be determined by interpolation.

The next step will be to estimate total cost differences. This will be done using the same number of total person-trips for each alternative. They will, however, be distributed in two different ways. The first way will assume equal peak hour lengths during the peak period, thereby leading to different levels of congestion and speed for the three alternatives. The second way will assume equal levels of congestion in the general traffic lanes, resulting in different length peak hours and associated time penalties.

Finally, the cost differences will be brought back to the current year using a range of discount rates. The total discounted cost differences will be compared with the differences in the initial investments to determine if the net present value of HOV lanes is greater or less than the net present value of the two other alternatives.

**INDIVIDUAL COST COMPONENTS**

A wide range of individual cost components will be considered in this evaluation. The methods for estimating them draw heavily from two sources: 1) a recently completed study of the cost
effectiveness of park-and-ride lots (Rutherford and Wellander, 1985) and 2) a 12-year old study of travel costs conducted at the University of California at Berkeley (Keeler and Small, 1975)

**Highway Costs**

The cost of highway construction and maintenance is a significant part of the cost of any trip. In the Rutherford and Wellander study, the highway cost was about 10% of the total cost for all trips made by people previous to using the park-and-ride lots. That was probably a conservative estimate. In this study, the total costs of an HOV lane or an extra general lane are considered separately and used as the basis for comparison with the savings associated with their existence.

**Construction costs.** The difference in construction cost (including design, land acquisition, etc.) between the HOV alternative (3) and the do-nothing alternative (1) will be determined from WSDOT records of construction costs. Even though the design and construction occurred over multiple years in both cases, for simplicity, the costs will be brought up to the present year using the Washington State Highways Construction Cos. Index (Washington State Department of Transportation, 1960-1985). All costs associated with building the HOV lanes will be assigned to 1986.

The difference in construction cost between the add-a-general lane alternative (2) and the HOV alternative (3) will be estimated from the previous cost difference by subtracting specific costs that were associated with making the extra lane into an HOV lane. Again, the construction cost will be assumed in 1986.

In the Rutherford and Wellander study, only 59% of total construction costs were used for passenger vehicles, based on a study (United States Department of Transportation, 1982) which allocated construction cost between passenger vehicles (autos, pick-ups, passenger vans and buses) and non-passerger vehicles (freight trucks, delivery vans, maintenance and enforcement vehicles). For this study, in the add-a-general lane alternative, this factor will also be used. However, since HOV lanes are available almost exclusively to passenger vehicles, all construction costs for HOV lanes will be used.

**Maintenance costs.** The difference in maintenance costs between alternatives (1) and (3) will be determined from WSDOT records of maintenance costs for the entire freeway segments in the
study. The cost for the HOV lanes will be estimated by dividing the total cost by the number of lanes and adding in specific costs for maintaining signage and lane marking for the HOV lane. Keeler and Small found that there was a negligible savings due to economies of scale when number of freeway lanes was taken into account. The difference between alternatives (2) and (3) will use only those costs specifically attributable to signage and lane marking costs. The factor accounting for passenger-only use in construction costs will also be applied to the maintenance costs.

**Cost allocation.** Allocation of highway costs should be based on the number of vehicles, not the number of persons. If average auto occupancy increases, then the highway cost is shared among fewer vehicles and is allocated to person-trips in different proportions, depending on the number of people in vehicles. In addition, the distribution of vehicles by time of day will vary by alternative and will result in a different allocation of highway costs to each part of the day. These differences will be included in this evaluation.

In the Rutherford and Wellander study, four methods were considered for allocating highway costs:

1) **system average** - total system construction costs are converted to lane-mile costs and divided by the average annual traffic volume per lane to result in the cost per mile per vehicle for any time of day

2) **segment average** - segment construction costs are converted to lane-mile costs for each segment and divided by the average annual traffic volume per lane for the segment to give a cost per mile per vehicle

3) **peak period** - this method goes one step further from the segment average cost and distributes costs to different hours of the day according to the traffic volumes during those hours of the day

4) **Keeler-Small method** - the cost is distributed according to the theory of optimal highway pricing and investment
The study used the peak period method as the primary estimation tool. The first two methods were insensitive to time of day and thus didn't take into account the fact that highway size is related primarily to peak traffic volumes. The fourth method is based on economic theory that assumes that users pay a toll that is equivalent to the marginal cost of their use. In fact, such a toll is not charged, so that it is unlikely that the use of the highway will ever adjust itself to the optimum levels. The result is that the theoretical cost of the peak period is probably too high.

The peak period method involves the determination of the number of lanes required during each time period assuming some set capacity per lane (say, 1700 vehicles). Two lanes in each direction is assumed to be the minimum number required, no matter what the traffic volume is. The cost of the initial two lanes is greater than the others because of the initial design, right-of-way purchase and other start-up costs.

A further set of assumptions were required to determine the costs that should be assigned to buses. Larger vehicles not only take up more space, but they require stronger materials and construction methods. Based on a comparison of the percentage of costs for highway construction assigned to buses in the USDOT cost allocation study and the percentage of bus travel on highways, Rutherford and Wellander assumed that bus highway costs were 2.49 times higher than auto highway costs.

Enforcement Costs

The success of HOV lanes depends to a large extent on the ability to enforce the car occupancy aspect of their definition. The ability to enforce the restrictions depends partly on the physical configuration of the lanes. There must be places for patrol officers to monitor the traffic and to safely pull violators over. The success of enforcement also depends on the financial commitment to it. Where there is little violation, there is little reason to commit a major amount of resources. However, where the incentives to violate are strong, a substantial commitment to enforcement costs may be required.
Currently, HOV enforcement costs consist of two types: 1) the time and equipment used by the Washington State Patrol to monitor the lanes and 2) the HERO program. The HERO program uses a phone number to which citizens can call and report violators. Violators receive a series of warnings, although no fine is assessed unless the violation is witnessed by a member of the state patrol. The costs for this program are shared between Metro and the Washington State Patrol.

The costs for enforcement will be estimated by using information from last year. The percentage of time devoted to HOV lane enforcement compared with other patrol activities will be estimated through interviews with patrol officers. The cost will include Washington State Patrol overhead costs as well as direct costs. Line item budget information from Metro will be used to estimate the costs of the HERO program.

HOV enforcement costs will be added to both differences under assessment (alternatives 1 versus 3 and alternatives 2 versus 3). We assume that enforcement costs for alternatives 1 and 2 are the same.

Travel Time

Savings in travel time are the most important potential benefits from the introduction of HOV lanes. Not only will higher speeds on the HOV lanes lead to time savings for people using the lanes, but other travelers can benefit from improved speeds if there is a significant shift in average auto occupancy and a corresponding reduction in vehicle traffic. On the other hand, people traveling in carpools, vanpools and buses incur extra time costs due to the nature of those travel modes. The extra travel time for these modes will be accounted for using average collection times.

Another travel time cost to be assessed in this project is due to the impact of extended peak hours because of congestion. A longer peak hour means that travelers have to plan to leave earlier to arrive at a specific time. This is most important in the morning peak hour, but also has some influence on schedules during the afternoon peak hour. The time penalty assigned when the peak hour is extended will be assumed to be half of the length of the extension.
Since travel time will be one of the major savings due to HOV lanes, the values assumed for travel time are critical. Previous studies have identified a large range of values. For in-vehicle time, the range is usually between one-fourth and one-half of the average hourly wage rate (Stopher, 1976). For out-of-vehicle time, the value ranges from 1 to 4.5 times that of the value of in-vehicle time (Southworth, 1976). As in the Rutherford and Wellander study, this study will assume a middle range of one-third of the commuter's hourly wage rate for in-vehicle time and 2.5 times that value for out-of-vehicle time. In the sensitivity analysis, the full range of values will be examined.

**Auto Operating Costs**

The Rutherford and Wellander study used estimates of operating costs from three sources: the Federal Highways Administration (FHWA, 1984), the American Automobile Association (AAA, 1982), and the Hertz Corporation (Hertz, 1982 and 1983). The FHWA study did not include finance charges, so they were added in assuming a 3-year loan and interest rates current at the time. The AAA estimates included depreciation costs based on a four year or 60,000 mile life. However, they didn't include costs for parts, accessories or repairs. The Hertz costs were based on full maintenance for five years and insurance costs that included youthful drivers. They were by far the highest costs.

The cost of owning a car includes depreciation, finance charges, registration and title fees, and scheduled maintenance and are incurred regardless of how far the vehicle travels. Operating costs, including repairs, maintenance, gasoline, oil, and tires, are assumed to be proportional to the amount the car is driven. Both fixed and variable costs will be estimated using the three sources listed above.

Accident costs may differ by alternative. There is conflicting evidence about the influence of HOV lanes on accident rates. The differences in travel speeds in different lanes (assuming no barriers) can lead to more accidents. However, this is mitigated to some extent by the fact that there is little lane changing between the HOV lane and general traffic lanes. In addition, to the extent that the HOV lanes reduce congestion, accident rates may be lower. The relationship between HOV lanes and accidents is unclear and impossible to quantify at this point. Therefore, the costs of accidents will be
assumed to be equal for all vehicles and depend only on distance traveled. A further assumption will be made that insurance rates account for all the costs of accidents.

Parking costs can be a major part of the total cost of an automobile trip. The difference in parking cost between carpoolers and non-carpoolers is large, due to a greater number of people sharing the costs and the existence of parking incentives for carpoolers. In this study, parking costs will be derived from average daily parking costs provided by the Puget Sound Council of Governments.

**Transit Costs**

Transit costs will be estimated using a route cost model developed by Metro Transit. The model is a traditional method which allocates costs in two dimensions. One dimension is cost categories, consisting of variable, semi-variable and fixed costs. Fixed costs (mostly administrative) are incurred regardless of the amount of service. Variable costs vary proportionally with the amount of bus service provided. Semi-variable costs are somewhere in between and reflect the fact that some costs are step functions (such as adding a supervisor each time the labor pool increases by a certain amount).

In the second dimension, costs are classified by type of resource. Some costs are primarily a function of hours of service (e.g. driver's pay). Others depend on miles driven (e.g. fuel). The number of vehicles is the critical determinant of other costs, such as capital investment. This method will be used to assess agency costs for providing transit service. Transit fares will be allocated to each person-trip based on the current fare structure.

**Congestion Costs**

Congestion causes several different kinds of additional costs for highway travel. The Rutherford and Wellander study considered four kinds: travel time, auto operating costs, accidents and environmental costs. The congestion costs were separated from the basic costs for those items. In this study, the congestion costs will also be separately estimated and included in estimates of the total cost differences.

In order to estimate the cost in travel time due to congestion, two methods will be employed. In the first method, with the length of the peak hours assumed to be the same, increased congestion
will lead to slower travel speeds and correspondingly longer travel times. The differential costs in travel times will be assessed using the method described above under "Travel Time Costs." In the second method, the peak hour will be extended to equalize the congestion on the mainlines for two alternatives and a penalty will be assigned to the longer peak hours to account for the fact that people have to schedule their travel times less efficiently than they might otherwise.

The Rutherford and Wellander study cites three ways to estimate the additional auto operating cost due to congestion. One source (Roth, 1967) indicates that the costs vary proportionally with travel time. In other words, if congestion causes a 10% reduction in travel speed, there is a corresponding increase in the operating cost per mile of 10%. Another source (Curry and Anderson, 1972) indicates a substantially lower cost associated with slower travel speeds, a 1.4% change with a 10% decrease in travel speed. This study will employ these estimates as the extremes for the impact of congestion on auto operating costs and select a mid-point value as the basic assumption.

Accident rates are greater when there is congestion. The variance in travel speeds in stop-and-go conditions is usually blamed for this fact (Winrey and Zellner, 1971). The Curry and Anderson study estimates that the cost of accidents increases about 13% with a change in speed from 50 to 45 mph. This result will be used to estimate the impact of congestion on accident costs.

Environmental costs for the addition of each vehicle-mile were estimated in the Rutherford and Wellander study to vary between 1.04 and 20.0 cents. The lower figure, adjusted for inflation will be used in this study.

**Development Opportunities**

Additional freeway capacity means that a greater number of work trips can be supported in a given corridor. This means that the region encompassing the corridor can have a larger population and employment base. The additional population and employment can be estimated from the increased capacity of the travel corridor. The question is how to assign a value to the increased potential for population and employment.
One way is to estimate the additional tax revenues that the population and employment centers can generate. However, the additional population and employment will require additional services paid for out of the additional tax revenues. It could be argued that the additional tax revenues will equal the additional requirements. Using tax revenues alone misrepresents the impact of greater development.

One could argue that increased development improves the standard of living for everyone. A statistical study could be performed to relate average income with different levels of development in different regions. Again, this would not account for the extra costs incurred by individuals for living in more highly developed areas. Prices are generally higher where there is more development.

While it is tempting to try to quantify the economic impact of additional development, it is extremely difficult to agree on what costs and benefits to include. Since it seems likely that the costs and benefits of additional development will probably cancel each other out, this study will not attempt to quantify the results of the additional development possibilities. However, the extra capacity will be quantified in terms of person-trips and additional employees and population the region could support.

FORECASTING PLAN

The purpose of this section of the report is to outline a recommended approach to forecast shifts from SOV usage to higher occupancy modes. The principal criteria in evaluating different approaches were:

1. The forecasting methodology should include the ability to analyze the distribution of travel in the corridor.

2. The method should be able to account for shifts in time as well as location.

3. The method should be relatively inexpensive and easy to use, in order to allow for testing many different scenarios.

4. The method should be able to forecast travel demand for all three alternatives under consideration: do-nothing, added general purpose lane and added HOV lane.
5. The method should be available and proven in other applications.

The resulting method for forecasting HOV lane usage will be programmed or adapted for use on a microcomputer, for two reasons. First, the sensitivity analysis in the HOV cost effectiveness study will require several runs. A computer model will reduce time and associated costs. Secondly, one of the products of the study is a computer program that can be used as a planning tool in the assessment of the probable efficacy of future HOV lanes under consideration.

A combination of the models reviewed in the first section of this report will be used to forecast travel behavior in the HOV lane cost effectiveness study. The method relies on the simple methods of the CRA sketch-level planning model to predict modal shift and simplified versions of the micro-level demand and supply models to predict spatial and temporal shifts. Overall corridor travel demand will come from UTPS output from the Puget Sound Council of Governments (PSCOG).

The number of assumptions used in this method is small and they are straight-forward and understandable. Questions concerning the effect of the assumptions on final outcomes in the cost effectiveness analysis will be explored with a sensitivity analysis. This document doesn't specifically cover which assumptions will be tested in this way or how they will be tested. Those issues will be covered in a subsequent report describing the detailed study plan. This document covers the methodology assuming that a particular set of assumptions have been adopted.

The proposed forecasting method employs several steps that are illustrated in the flow diagram in Figure 1. Following is a description of those steps.

**Future travel demand**

Travel demand at future times will be based on forecasts prepared by the PSCOG assuming the planned HOV facilities. A screenline in the study corridors will be chosen to represent the travel demand for those corridors. Peak hour person-trip travel demand will be used as the basis for this analysis.
Figure 1. Forecast Method.
Determination of base number of priority-eligible vehicles

Since the do-nothing case will be used as the base case in the analysis, the percentage of vehicles that is eligible to use the HOV lanes will be the percentage that existed on I-5 north and I-405 south before the implementation of the HOV lanes. That percentage, along with predicted bus passenger volumes will be used to estimate the numbers of non-priority and priority eligible vehicles traveling through the screenlines. The same percentage will be used for the do-nothing and add-a-general lane cases.

Determine base number of bus passengers

The number of bus passengers will be derived from estimates developed in the multi-corridor planning efforts by Metro and the PSCOG.

Determine highway capacities

Freeway capacities will be determined for the do-nothing and both add-a-lane cases at the chosen screenlines. The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) will provide empirical estimates based on the largest volumes carried. Screenlines will be chosen partially to reflect the most restrictive parts of the freeway systems being studied.

Capacities of the parallel arterials will be provided by the Engineering Departments of the Cities of Seattle and Bellevue and King County. They will be added together and treated as one (similar to the FREQ models).

Determine peak hour spread for non-HOV alternatives

For both the do-nothing and the add-a-general lane case, the person-trip capacity will be calculated using the above percentages of priority-eligible vehicles and bus passengers and the highway capacities. The peak-hour travel demand will be spread or contracted to fill the corridor to capacity (this is a simplified version of the method employed in the FREQ models).

Estimate impact of HOV lane

The CRA regression model will be used to estimate the modal shift from a) adding an HOV lane to the do-nothing case and b) converting a lane from the add-a-general lane case. In the (a) case,
the extra person-trips on the mainline will be assumed to come equally from the arterial and from the shoulders of the peak. In the (b) case, the reduced person trips will be assigned to the shoulder of the peak (the arterial capacity will be included in the assignment). The results of these two approaches will be averaged and taken as the modal shift resulting from the existence of an HOV lane.

**Adjust do-nothing and add-a-general lane cases**

A further adjustment to the peak-hour length for the do-nothing and add-a-general lane case will be accomplished by assuming that travel speeds in those two cases would be the same as the travel speeds on the general purpose lane in the add-an-HOV lane case. The vehicle volumes will be adjusted to reflect the same travel speeds and the peak hour will be lengthened to reflect that adjustment.

**Estimating total impacts of the HOV lanes**

This method will result in three estimates of volume, mode choice and speeds for the two corridors under study and estimates of the length of the total peak period required to accommodate all the traffic. The impact of the longer peak hour will be estimated by adding an extra penalty to the total travel time, under the assumption that a longer peak hour means that people have to leave home earlier to arrive at their destination at a given time.

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

Throughout the development of the forecasts of transportation demand and in the cost estimates from those forecasts, ranges of values must be assumed. A nominal value can be specified, but the lack of confidence in those nominal values means that we must assess the impact of the assumptions.

A major feature of this study will be a sensitivity analysis to test the importance of each assumption. This section of the report covers the method employed in the sensitivity analysis and the variables to be analyzed.
Method

The sensitivity of each of the assumptions will be tested using elasticities. Elasticities are measures of the impact of a difference in one variable on another. The elasticity is the percentage change in one variable caused by a difference of one percent in another variable. For instance, if the elasticity of gasoline price with respect to transit ridership were .32, it means that a 10% increase in gasoline price would lead to a 3.2% increase in transit ridership.

In this sensitivity analysis, this concept will be used to test the relative error that is introduced in the evaluation of the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes by an error in one of the assumptions. The basic measure of cost effectiveness will be the differences in the net present values between the HOV lane alternative and each of the other two alternatives. The elasticity will represent the change in those differences that results from changing the assumption under question. For instance, if the elasticity for the value of in-vehicle travel time with respect to net present value differences is .08, it means that a change of 10% in the assumption about the value of travel time leads to a .8% increase in the difference in net present value.

Since most of the assumptions in this study affect total costs or savings in all of the alternatives, differences in net present values are not expected to be greatly affected by having a range for assumptions, even though the total cost estimates for each of the alternatives could be quite different. All of the forecasting and cost estimates will be programmed for a microcomputer, so the effects of different assumptions will be relatively easy and quick to calculate.

In some cases, it may be possible that there are interactions among the assumptions. That is, a change in one assumption may multiply the effect of a change in some other assumption. The possibility of these interactions can be relatively easily tested through the use of the computer program.

Variables

The following variables involve assumptions that will be tested in the sensitivity analysis:

- travel demand growth
- initial auto occupancy
- initial auto speeds
- regression coefficients in the CRA model
- bus and bus passenger volumes
- lane capacities
- speed/volume relationships
- discount rates
- construction costs
- maintenance costs
- enforcement costs
- value of in-vehicle travel time
- value of out-of-vehicle travel time
- collection time for carpools and vanpools
- impact of extended peak hour
- allocation of highway costs
- fixed auto operating costs
- variable auto operating costs
- transit operating costs
- transit fares
- additional auto operating costs due to congestion
- additional travel time costs due to congestion
- additional accident costs due to congestion
- additional environmental costs due to congestion

In addition to an assessment of the elasticities of each of the assumptions, the potential contribution of each of the variables to the basic evaluation measure will be assessed. For each assumption, there is an associated range of possible and reasonable values. The difference in net present values of the
alternatives will be computed using the extreme values of each assumption. Any single assumption range that could cause a reversal in the outcome of the study will be further investigated.

If no single assumption can reverse the outcome of the study, an additional test will be performed. Extreme values will be used for as many assumptions as required until the outcome of the evaluation is reversed. This will be the final test of robustness of the findings.
SECTION 3

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED COMPONENTS OF THE COST MODEL

The cost model used to analyze the cost effectiveness of HOV lanes is a fairly complex one involving the estimation and forecasting of modal choice, temporal choice and route choice as well as computing the costs and benefits of each alternative. The final report for this project contains an overview of the model and describes its general operation. Many of the components of the model are fairly straight-forward. A copy of the FORTRAN computer code is available in an appendix to this report. The simpler computations should be obvious from the code.

There are, however, a few components to the model which are not obvious. These will be described in this section of the report. They include:

1) the method used to assign traffic to the freeway and parallel arterials,
2) the assignment of time penalties to trips required to travel outside the preferred time period,
3) the computation of speeds and volumes, and
4) forecasts of shifts to HOVs.

In addition, this section contains a complete description of the outcomes of the cost analysis on the three facilities that were studied.

ROUTE CHOICES

The cost model analyses all the traffic in a corridor. In order to do this, it takes into account the fact that some traffic travels on arterials that are parallel to the freeway that is under study.

The basic method the model uses to assign traffic is to optimize travel route choices so that the total travel time for the corridor for all modes is a minimum. The justification for this approach is that most travelers are free to make different choices of route on a day-to-day basis. If only a small percentage of the travelers move back and forth between the freeway and parallel arterials each day in
response to the level of congestion and try to minimize their travel time, the effect will be to approach an optimal distribution for all travelers. Of the three kinds of choices that people can make (modal, temporal and route), route choice is the least constrained. It would be difficult to argue that choices in mode or time of travel are made to optimize the total system.

The cost model uses an iterative process to find the optimum distribution of traffic. Figure 2 describes the process. At each iteration, total travel time is computed for three possible distributions (A, B, and C), equally separated from each other (distance D). If the central value (B) for the distributions has the minimum (Fig. 2a) total time compared with the other two values, the increment between the values is halved and the next iteration is performed (Fig. 2b). If one of the extreme values is the minimum (Fig. 2c), the set of three is shifted to make that the central one and the total times are computed again without changing the increment (Fig. 2d). This process continues until the increment D reaches a very small value.

**TIME PENALTIES FOR DISPLACEMENTS**

The cost model accounts for the spread of the peak period by counting the number of people that are not able to travel during the times they want and assigning them a time penalty. The length of the penalty is dependent on the number of people displaced and the remaining capacity of the shoulder hours and hours outside the peak period.

The computation of this penalty is illustrated in Figure 3. The initial demands (Fig. 3a) are determined by the percentage of people that are assumed to prefer to travel in the peak hour. The excess in the peak hour are assumed to shift to the shoulder hours. It is further assumed that the shifts tend to be from people who prefer to travel in the edges of the peak hour (Fig. 3b). The shifts are assumed to be to times as close to the peak hour as possible, given the demand in the shoulder hours (Fig. 3c). The time penalty is the difference between the median times for the vehicle trips as shown in Figure 3c. One can see that the minimum time penalty is zero as the excess approaches zero. When the excess is very large, the time penalty approaches 45 minutes.
Figure 2. Optimization of Route Distribution.
Figure 3. Computation of Time Penalty \( t_p \) for Vehicles Displaced Outside Peak Hour.
Two complications are considered in the analysis. One is that the peak capacity is dependent to some extent on the average demand during that hour (see the next section) and does not necessarily equal the capacity in the shoulder hours. Secondly, in some scenarios, the demand in the shoulders exceeds the capacity. In that case, a maximum time penalty of 45 minutes is assigned to the displaced vehicles.

Figure 4 illustrates the computation of the time penalty for vehicles that are displaced outside the peak period. The off-peak demand was determined from an analysis of current traffic levels of the facilities being studied.

**COMPUTATION OF SPEEDS AND VOLUMES**

The computation of speeds and volumes is based directly on the Rutherford and Wellander study of park and ride lots. Figure 5 shows the speed-flow curve developed in that study using data from I-5 north of downtown Seattle. The equations used to represent this curve are:

for lane volumes \( \leq 1500 \) vehicles per hour (vph),

\[ s = 58 - .002q \]

for lane volumes \( > 1500 \) and \( < 1800 \) vph

\[ s = 79.876 - .0166q \]

for lane volumes \( \geq 1800 \) and \( \leq 1873 \) vph,

\[ s = 40.07 + (2518.62 - 1.344q)^{1/2} \]

Where:

\( s = \) average traffic speed in miles per hour

\( q = \) traffic flow in vehicles per lane per hour

**Speed computation for demand less than capacity**

The above equations were generalized to use a variable maximum flow instead of 1873 vph and a variable maximum speed instead of 58 miles per hour. The resulting equations were:

for lane volumes \( \leq q_1 \),
Figure 4. Computation of Time Penalty ($t_p$) for Vehicles Displaced Outside Peak Period.
Figure 5. Speed-Flow Curve for I-5 North.
\[ s = q_{\text{max}} (1 - 0.06462 r_{\text{vol}}) \]

for lane volumes \(> q_1 \) and \(< q_2\),

\[ s = q_{\text{max}} (1.3793 - 0.5385 r_{\text{vol}}) \]

for lane volumes \(> q_2 \) and \(< q_{\text{max}}\)

\[ s = q_{\text{max}} (0.69086 + 0.86157 (1 - r_{\text{vol}})^{1/2}) \]

where:

- \(q_1\) = first flow cutoff \(0.8004 q_{\text{max}}\)
- \(q_2\) = second flow cutoff \(0.905 q_{\text{max}}\)
- \(q_{\text{max}}\) = maximum flow possible
- \(r_{\text{vol}}\) = demand to capacity ratio

The equations were used when demand was less than capacity.

**Speed computation for demand greater than capacity**

When the lane demand is greater than the capacity, a lower limit for the volume is computed based on the lower part of the speed-flow curve using the equation:

\[ q_{\text{min}} = q_{\text{max}} (0.357 + 1.8614 \text{speed} - 1.3472 \text{speed}^2) \]

where:

- \(q_{\text{min}}\) = the flow possible at the minimum speed
- \(r_{\text{speed}}\) = ratio of the minimum speed to maximum speed

It was assumed that \(q_{\text{min}}\) represents the flow when the demand is 1.5 times the maximum capacity (assumptions varying between 1.1 and 2.0 were tested, showing little difference in outcome). The actual flow used is computed using a linear interpolation between \(q_{\text{min}}\) and \(q_{\text{max}}\) based on demand to capacity ratio \(r_{\text{vol}}\). The actual flow used is constrained to be between \(q_{\text{min}}\) and \(q_{\text{max}}\). After these steps, the speed is computed using the equation:

\[ s = q_{\text{max}} (0.69086 - 0.86157 (1 - r_{\text{vol}})^{1/2}) \]

based on the lower part of the speed-flow curve.
FORECASTING SHIFTS TO HOV'S

The shifts to HOV's were computed based on the Parody model. The Parody model allows a wide range of HOV facilities to be analyzed, including taking away a lane, introduction of bus lanes only and changing the definition of carpools. In this study, however, only one type of shift was of interest: adding an HOV lane that would be available to carpools, vanpools and buses. The only alternatives necessary were two different definitions of carpools. This simplification allowed using only a small part of the Parody model in the cost analysis.

Equations for computing the priority automobile volume and the priority bus volumes are described in worksheets 5 and 6 of the Parody model (Parody, 1982). For carpools defined as three or more persons, the proportional shift introduced when an HOV lane is added given as:

\[ d_{pa} = -0.203 - 7.7(r_{time} - 1) + 4.8(r_{time} - 1) \]

where:

\[ r_{time} = \text{the ratio of total travel time for priority-eligible autos before and after the introduction of the HOV lanes} \]

\[ d_{pa} = \text{the proportional shift for priority autos} \]

For two person carpools, the equation is:

\[ d_{pa} = -0.203 - 6.7(r_{time} - 1) + 4.8(r_{time} - 1) \]

For buses and three or more person carpools, the equation is:

\[ d_{b} = 0.227 + 0.435(r_{time} - 1) \]

where:

\[ d_{b} = \text{the proportional shift for buses} \]

For buses and two person carpools, the equation is:

\[ d_{b} = 0.227 + 1.71(r_{time} - 1) \]

The proportional increases are applied directly to the volumes in the "do nothing" alternative to arrive at the volumes for the "add an HOV lane" alternative. The coefficient for the priority automobiles is
used to forecast increases in vanpools. If the proportional change for buses is less than zero, no change is assumed.

COST ANALYSIS OUTCOMES

The outcomes for the cost analyses of the three alternatives are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3. In all cases, there was a net savings from the implementation of HOV lanes and the marginal benefit to cost ratio was always greater than six. Each facility has different characteristics, however, and some interpretation is appropriate.

I-5 north of Northgate

This corridor is a highly used and congested corridor. By the year 2000 there will be significant congestion under all alternatives. The "add an HOV lane" alternative came out so positively primarily because it led to a significant shift to HOV usage and offered much faster travel times to people using HOV lanes than either of the other alternatives did. For a relatively small investment, significant savings in time and other personal costs resulted.

SR 520 east of the Evergreen Point Bridge

This project did not accommodate the volumes of HOVs that the other alternatives did, nor did the HOVs travel as fast. However, for a very low cost, capacity was added over the "do nothing" alternative and all traffic traveled at higher speeds. If the HOV lane had been made available to all traffic, the small additional capacity may have come close to matching the HOV lane's ability to improve speeds, but it would not have lead to a shift in mode from SOV's to higher occupancy vehicles. The overall savings from the HOV lane is substantial, especially considering the modest investment required.

I-405 south of I-90

The HOV lanes on I-405 clearly were more cost effective than the "do nothing" alternative. However, the net savings over the "add a general lane" alternative were muted to some extent by the apparent ability of the "add a general lane" alternative to move people faster than the "add an HOV
### I-5 north of Northgate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do Nothing</th>
<th>Add Mixed Lane</th>
<th>Add HOV Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person-trips</td>
<td>45100 54800</td>
<td>45100 54800</td>
<td>45100 54800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV's</td>
<td>30383 37106</td>
<td>30383 37106</td>
<td>29165 35546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-pers. carpools</td>
<td>4713 5727</td>
<td>4713 5727</td>
<td>4713 5727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-pers. carpools</td>
<td>317 385</td>
<td>317 385</td>
<td>458 603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanpools</td>
<td>24 29</td>
<td>24 29</td>
<td>35 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>89 104</td>
<td>89 104</td>
<td>103 119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool definition</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% preferring peak</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capacity - gen</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>500 500</td>
<td>500 500</td>
<td>500 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># peak veh. - gen</td>
<td>7399 7095</td>
<td>9153 9037</td>
<td>7354 7266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>268 345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>7985 8340</td>
<td>6834 8339</td>
<td>7891 8340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># shoulder veh. - gen</td>
<td>10382 14404</td>
<td>11187 15783</td>
<td>8988 12145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>328 422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>9760 13511</td>
<td>8352 10192</td>
<td>9645 13522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak speeds - gen</td>
<td>34.5 28.6</td>
<td>47.6 30.7</td>
<td>46.8 31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>57.5 51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>21.8 14.3</td>
<td>23.7 20.2</td>
<td>22.0 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder speeds - gen</td>
<td>55.4 49.9</td>
<td>55.8 53.7</td>
<td>55.8 54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>57.7 57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>24.1 23.7</td>
<td>24.2 24.0</td>
<td>24.1 23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>5.1 5.1</td>
<td>5.1 5.1</td>
<td>5.1 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># gen.purp.lanes</td>
<td>4.0 4.0</td>
<td>5.0 5.0</td>
<td>4.0 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># HOV lanes</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># arterial lanes</td>
<td>17 17</td>
<td>17 17</td>
<td>17 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access time</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool formation</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus access</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>25 25</td>
<td>25 25</td>
<td>25 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td>12 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time (minutes)</td>
<td>23.04 27.97</td>
<td>20.71 23.94</td>
<td>21.86 27.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak SOV</td>
<td>23.74 28.67</td>
<td>21.41 24.64</td>
<td>21.53 28.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak vanpool</td>
<td>25.04 29.97</td>
<td>22.71 25.94</td>
<td>18.83 19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak bus</td>
<td>33.34 37.77</td>
<td>31.01 33.74</td>
<td>27.13 27.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder SOV</td>
<td>20.51 20.91</td>
<td>20.05 19.96</td>
<td>20.72 20.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder vanpool</td>
<td>21.21 21.61</td>
<td>20.75 20.66</td>
<td>17.51 17.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder bus</td>
<td>22.51 22.91</td>
<td>22.05 21.96</td>
<td>18.81 18.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder bus</td>
<td>30.81 30.71</td>
<td>30.35 29.76</td>
<td>27.11 26.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average SOV</td>
<td>21.60 23.42</td>
<td>20.34 21.55</td>
<td>21.23 23.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average vanpool</td>
<td>22.30 24.12</td>
<td>21.04 22.25</td>
<td>17.51 17.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average bus</td>
<td>31.90 33.22</td>
<td>30.64 31.35</td>
<td>27.11 26.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Cost Model Outcomes for I-5 Alternative
I-5 north of Northgate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>peak freeway</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak arterial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1493</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder freeway</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder arterial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary Statistics

| % on arterials                | .499 | .504 | .427 | .427 |
| average trip time            | 22.80| 27.10| 21.43| 23.66|

Total Trip Length

| average                      | 10.0 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 12.0 |
| SOV                          | 9.7  | 11.7 | 9.7  | 11.7 |
| 2-pers. carpool              | 12.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 |
| 3-pers. carpool              | 13.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 | 14.0 |
| vanpools                     | 20.0 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 22.0 |
| buses                        | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |

Total Vehicle Miles (1000's)

| cars                         | 354.19| 518.96| 354.19| 518.96| 343.50| 503.05|
| vanpools                     | .48   | .64   | .48   | .64   | .69   | 1.00  |
| buses                        | 1.07  | 1.25  | 1.07  | 1.25  | 1.24  | 1.43  |

Maximum Speeds

| freeway                      | 58.0  | 58.0  | 58.0  | 58.0  |
| HOV                          | 58.0  | 58.0  | 58.0  | 58.0  |
| arterial                     | 25.0  | 25.0  | 25.0  | 25.0  |
| HOV differential             | .0    | .0    | .0    | .0    |

TOTAL COSTS 341.47 460.57

COST PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parking costs ($/s/day)</th>
<th>Annual costs ($1,000's)</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>Maint. cost 48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>Extra HOV maint. 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>Enforcement 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lane construction 9202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>car ($/mi.)</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>Extra HOV cost 920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van ($/mi.)</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>Oper. cost elas. .50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus ($/mi.)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>Bus fare .80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus ($/hr.)</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td>Peak Factor 2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus ($/trip)</td>
<td>82.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net Savings ($1,000,000's) total time personal agency marg b/c

| HOV - Do Nothing             | 146.1                     | 121.7          | 42.4 | -18.1 | 9.08 |
| HOV - Add Gen'l Lane         | 56.4                      | 10.9           | 54.2 | -8.7  | 7.50 |

Table 1 (continued)

Cost Model Outcomes for I-5 Alternative
I-405 south of I-90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do Nothing</th>
<th>Add Mixed Lane</th>
<th>Add HOV Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person-trips</td>
<td>13900 15900</td>
<td>13900 15900</td>
<td>13900 15900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV's</td>
<td>11078 12623</td>
<td>11078 12623</td>
<td>10054 11287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-pers. carpools</td>
<td>542 620</td>
<td>542 620</td>
<td>838 1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-pers. carpools</td>
<td>207 237</td>
<td>207 237</td>
<td>320 384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanpools</td>
<td>11 13</td>
<td>11 13</td>
<td>17 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>21 25</td>
<td>21 25</td>
<td>21 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% preferring peak</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capacity - gen</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>500 500</td>
<td>500 500</td>
<td>500 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># peak veh. - gen</td>
<td>3558 3452</td>
<td>5337 5598</td>
<td>3692 3610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>538 646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>493 473</td>
<td>0 485</td>
<td>479 490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># shoulder veh. - gen</td>
<td>7068 7316</td>
<td>6522 6842</td>
<td>5297 6380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>658 789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>739 984</td>
<td>0 593</td>
<td>586 807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak speeds - gen</td>
<td>28.9 26.1</td>
<td>50.3 43.2</td>
<td>34.1 30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 .0</td>
<td>0 .0</td>
<td>54.1 50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>14.8 12.3</td>
<td>25.0 21.0</td>
<td>21.6 14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder speeds - gen</td>
<td>50.5 32.4</td>
<td>55.8 55.7</td>
<td>55.4 53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0 .0</td>
<td>0 .0</td>
<td>57.3 57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>23.8 14.6</td>
<td>25.0 24.0</td>
<td>24.1 23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>5.6 5.6</td>
<td>5.6 5.6</td>
<td>5.6 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># gen.purp.lanes</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>3.0 3.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># HOV lanes</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># arterial lanes</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access time</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool formation</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus access</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>25 25</td>
<td>25 25</td>
<td>25 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td>12 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>24.49 26.12</td>
<td>18.17 19.95</td>
<td>22.02 24.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>25.19 26.82</td>
<td>18.87 20.65</td>
<td>18.42 18.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>34.79 35.92</td>
<td>28.47 29.75</td>
<td>28.02 27.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td>18.86 23.37</td>
<td>17.52 18.16</td>
<td>18.36 18.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>19.56 24.07</td>
<td>18.22 18.86</td>
<td>18.06 18.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>20.86 25.37</td>
<td>19.52 20.16</td>
<td>19.36 19.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>29.16 33.17</td>
<td>27.82 27.96</td>
<td>27.66 27.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>20.78 24.26</td>
<td>17.81 18.97</td>
<td>19.88 20.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>21.48 24.96</td>
<td>18.51 19.67</td>
<td>18.22 18.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>22.78 26.26</td>
<td>19.81 20.97</td>
<td>19.52 19.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>31.08 34.06</td>
<td>28.11 28.77</td>
<td>27.82 27.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Cost Model Outcomes for I-405 Alternative
I-405 south of I-90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Displacements</th>
<th>Do Nothing</th>
<th>Add Mixed Lane</th>
<th>Add HOV Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>peak freeway</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak arterial</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder freeway</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder arterial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary Statistics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% on arterials</td>
<td>.104</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td>.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average trip time</td>
<td>25.61</td>
<td>32.81</td>
<td>18.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Trip Length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-pers. carpool</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-pers. carpool</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpools</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buses</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Vehicle Miles (1000's)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cars</td>
<td>118.34</td>
<td>161.91</td>
<td>118.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpools</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buses</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Speeds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeway</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV differential</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>.0</td>
<td>.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COSTS</td>
<td>113.08</td>
<td>144.22</td>
<td>103.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COST PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual costs ($1,000's)</th>
<th>Miscellaneous</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parking costs ($'s/day)</td>
<td>Maint. cost</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>car ($/mi.)</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van ($/mi.)</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus ($/mi.)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus ($/hr.)</td>
<td>24.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus ($/trip)</td>
<td>82.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Savings ($1,000,000's)</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV - Do Nothing</td>
<td>180.1</td>
<td>180.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV - Add Gen'l Lane</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>-48.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 (continued)

Cost Model Outcomes for I-405 Alternative
SR520 east of Evergreen Point Bridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Do Nothing</th>
<th>Add Mixed Lane</th>
<th>Add HOV Lane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person-trips</td>
<td>17200 21300</td>
<td>1985 2000</td>
<td>1985 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV's</td>
<td>10448 14950</td>
<td>10448 14950</td>
<td>9381 13763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-pers. carpools</td>
<td>900 1115</td>
<td>900 1115</td>
<td>900 1115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-pers. carpools</td>
<td>293 362</td>
<td>293 362</td>
<td>405 579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanpools</td>
<td>7 9</td>
<td>7 9</td>
<td>10 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses</td>
<td>87 63</td>
<td>87 63</td>
<td>102 72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpool definition</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% preferring peak capacity - gen</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
<td>.45 .45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
<td>1873 1873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>900 900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>500 500</td>
<td>500 500</td>
<td>500 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># peak veh. - gen</td>
<td>3646 3405</td>
<td>4298 3980</td>
<td>3676 3468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>232 299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>982 940</td>
<td>983 962</td>
<td>951 949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># shoulder veh. - gen</td>
<td>5907 7118</td>
<td>5253 8438</td>
<td>4493 7354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>284 366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>1201 1928</td>
<td>1202 1964</td>
<td>1162 1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak speeds - gen</td>
<td>31.9 25.0</td>
<td>42.5 26.3</td>
<td>46.9 26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>.0 .0</td>
<td>.0 .0</td>
<td>44.2 41.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>20.1 12.0</td>
<td>20.1 13.1</td>
<td>21.7 12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder speeds - gen</td>
<td>55.0 28.9</td>
<td>55.7 32.9</td>
<td>55.8 33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>.0 .0</td>
<td>.0 .0</td>
<td>44.5 44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>art</td>
<td>24.0 13.2</td>
<td>24.0 20.1</td>
<td>24.1 14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>2.7 2.7</td>
<td>2.7 2.7</td>
<td>2.7 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># gen.purp.lanes</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.3 2.3</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># HOV lanes</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># arterial lanes</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>access time</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
<td>11.5 11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
<td>.7 .7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool formation</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus access</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
<td>10.3 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>25 25</td>
<td>25 25</td>
<td>25 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td>12 12</td>
<td>12 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel time (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>17.21 19.50</td>
<td>16.10 18.87</td>
<td>15.78 19.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>17.91 20.20</td>
<td>16.80 19.57</td>
<td>15.86 16.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>19.21 21.50</td>
<td>18.10 20.87</td>
<td>17.16 17.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>27.51 29.30</td>
<td>26.40 28.67</td>
<td>25.46 25.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>15.08 18.53</td>
<td>15.12 17.01</td>
<td>15.19 17.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>15.78 19.23</td>
<td>15.82 17.71</td>
<td>15.84 15.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>17.08 20.53</td>
<td>17.12 19.01</td>
<td>17.14 17.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>25.38 28.33</td>
<td>25.42 26.81</td>
<td>25.44 24.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>15.92 18.85</td>
<td>15.56 17.61</td>
<td>15.46 16.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool</td>
<td>16.62 19.55</td>
<td>16.26 18.31</td>
<td>15.85 15.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool</td>
<td>17.92 20.85</td>
<td>17.56 19.61</td>
<td>17.15 17.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>26.22 28.65</td>
<td>25.86 27.41</td>
<td>25.45 25.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

Cost Model Outcomes for SR520 Alternative
SR520 east of Evergreen Point Bridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Displacements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Do Nothing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1985</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak freeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder freeway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shoulder arterial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>% on arterials</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>average trip time</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Trip Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>average</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-pers. carpool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-pers. carpool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Vehicle Miles (1000's)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>cars</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Speeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>freeway</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOV</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>arterial</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOV differential</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL COSTS**: 117.47 190.58

115.47 172.97

111.76 163.26

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parking costs ($/s/day)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating costs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>bus ($/hr.)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>bus ($/trip)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Savings ($1,000,000's)</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>time</th>
<th>personal</th>
<th>agency</th>
<th>marg</th>
<th>b/c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOV - Do Nothing</strong></td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HOV - Add Gen'l Lane</strong></td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 (continued)

Cost Model Outcomes for SR520 Alternative
lane" alternative. If the HOV lane on I-405 were available to general traffic, the capacity of the facility would be 50% greater than the "do nothing" alternative. According to this analysis, that section of highway would be running fairly smoothly in the year 2000. The caveat in this result, however, is that the demand assumed in the year 2000 was based on a lower capacity facility. It is probable that higher demand would not allow the highway to operate as fast as this analysis shows.

Even if general traffic were able to operate as fast as the analysis showed, there would be little incentive for people to shift to higher occupancy vehicles. That result was reflected in the overall net savings shown for the "add an HOV lane" alternative over the "add a general lane" alternative. The personal savings from ride sharing outweighed the (questionable) advantage that the general traffic lane had over the HOV lane in travel speeds.
SECTION 4

OUTCOME OF THE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

The sensitivity analysis was performed on the I-5 case in order to determine which assumptions were most critical in affecting the outcome of the study. Tables 4 and 5 show the outcome of that analysis. Each table lists all the assumptions that go into the cost analysis and which extreme value is associated with the worst outcome for the "add an HOV lane" alternative. Also shown are the net present values and marginal benefit to cost ratios for the worst case. They are listed in order of the average impact they have on the percentage shift in the two cost effectiveness indicators. It can readily be seen that for the majority of the assumptions the extreme ends of the ranges have negligible effects on the outcomes.

A further test was conducting by adding one extreme value for each assumption consecutively. Tables 6 and 7 show the results as each extreme value is added. It can be seen that it took 38 assumptions for the comparison with the "add a general lane" case to be reversed and 26 assumptions to reverse the outcome of the comparison with the "do nothing" alternative.

The consecutive addition of worst case assumptions did not always make the outcomes worse. This was due to the fact that there is great deal of interaction among the assumptions. For instance, assuming a higher freeway capacity and higher maximum speeds on the freeway, each taken separately, reduces the relative cost effectiveness of HOV lanes because it means less congestion. HOV lanes do not look as good when there is less congestion. If, however, the model uses a higher assumption for freeway lane capacity, and a higher maximum speed assumption is employed, the HOV lanes may actually look better, in a situation in which the differential speed between the HOV lane and the general traffic lane is a constraint.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>freeway capacity</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discount rate</td>
<td>10% per year</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>3 mph less</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>6.14</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 person-trips</td>
<td>10% fewer</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in 3+ carpools</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial capacity</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 person-trips</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>6.19</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV parking cost</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial max. speed</td>
<td>20% less</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value of time</td>
<td>3 mph more</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane max. speed</td>
<td>3 $ per hour</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak factor</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra HOV construction</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 person-trips</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall access time</td>
<td>20% of lane cost</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip cost</td>
<td>25% less growth</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auto operating cost</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 3+ carpools</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>6.85</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus access time</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV differential speed</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>54.1</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average trip length</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oper. cost elasticity</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane enforcement</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus fare</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 buses</td>
<td>25% more</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 3+ carpools</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 2 pers. carpools</td>
<td>10% fewer</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in vanpools</td>
<td>15% fewer</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV parking cost</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>7.38</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus mileage cost</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip length</td>
<td>20% more</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>7.41</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 2 pers. carpools</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>7.37</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 vanpools</td>
<td>15% fewer</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in buses</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra HOV lane maint.</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 vanpools</td>
<td>50% more</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool formation time</td>
<td>15% fewer</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane capacity</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>56.1</td>
<td>7.46</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool trip length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van operating cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 p. pool trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus hourly cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lane construction cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ carpool trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lane maintenance cost</td>
<td>2.5 times nominal</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumption</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Utility value</td>
<td>Net pres. value</td>
<td>Benefit cost rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value of time</td>
<td>$3 per hour</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discount rate</td>
<td>10% per year</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial capacity (% preferring peak)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>5.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeways capacity</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeway capacity</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>119.6</td>
<td>7.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 person-trips</td>
<td>25% less growth</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 person-trips</td>
<td>10% fever</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak factor</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>130.2</td>
<td>8.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>136.2</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in 3+ carpools</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>136.6</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial max. speed</td>
<td>3 mph more</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>3 mph more</td>
<td>139.0</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV parking cost</td>
<td>20% less</td>
<td>138.9</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeway max. speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>141.1</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane max. speed</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>140.3</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lane maintenance cost</td>
<td>2.5 times nominal</td>
<td>145.1</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra HOV construction</td>
<td>20% of lane cost</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lane construction cost</td>
<td>100% more</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip cost</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall access time</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oper. cost elasticity</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 3+ carpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>143.8</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV differential speed</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average trip length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>143.9</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus access time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane enforcement</td>
<td>25% more</td>
<td>145.7</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 buses</td>
<td>10% fever</td>
<td>143.6</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus fare</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auto operating cost</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 2 pers. carpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>144.6</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 3+ carpools</td>
<td>15% fever</td>
<td>144.8</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in vanpools</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus mileage cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip length</td>
<td>20% more</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>9.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV parking cost</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 2 pers. carpools</td>
<td>15% Fever</td>
<td>145.2</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in buses</td>
<td>50% more</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 vanpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 vanpools</td>
<td>15% Fever</td>
<td>145.7</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra HOV lane maint.</td>
<td>50% more</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>9.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool formation time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>145.7</td>
<td>9.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus hourly cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van operating cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vanpool trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane capacity</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 p. pool trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+ carpool trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6
Consecutive Addition of Extreme Assumptions in
Comparison with "Add a General Lane" Alternative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Worst case</th>
<th>Net pres.</th>
<th>Benefit cost rat.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>freeway capacity</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discount rate</td>
<td>10% per year</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>3 mph less</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>4.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 person-trips</td>
<td>10% fewer</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in 3+ carpools</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial capacity</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% preferring peak</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeway max. speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV parking cost</td>
<td>20% less</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial max. speed</td>
<td>3 mph more</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value of time</td>
<td>3 per hour</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane max. speed</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak factor</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra HOV construction</td>
<td>20% of lane cost</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 person-trips</td>
<td>25% less growth</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall access time</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>auto operating cost</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 3+ carpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus access time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV differential speed</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average trip length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oper. cost elasticity</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane enforcement</td>
<td>25% more</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus fare</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 buses</td>
<td>10% fewer</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 3+ carpools</td>
<td>15% fewer</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 2 pers. carpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in vanpools</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV parking cost</td>
<td>20% more</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus mileage cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip length</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 2 pers. carpools</td>
<td>15% fewer</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 vanpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in buses</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7
Consecutive Addition of Extreme Assumptions in Comparison with "Do Nothing" Alternative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Worst case</th>
<th></th>
<th>Net pres. value</th>
<th>Benefit cost rat.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>value of time</td>
<td>$3 per hour</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discount rate</td>
<td>10% per year</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% preferring peak</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial capacity</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeway capacity</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 person-trips</td>
<td>25% less growth</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. freeway speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985 person-trips</td>
<td>10% fewer</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peak factor</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation time</td>
<td>3 min. more</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carpool formation length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>growth in 3+ carpools</td>
<td>50% less</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arterial max. speed</td>
<td>3 mph more</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min. arterial speed</td>
<td>3 mph more</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV parking cost</td>
<td>20% less</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freeway max. speed</td>
<td>5 mph more</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lane max. speed</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lane maintenance cost</td>
<td>2.5 times nominal</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra HOV construction</td>
<td>20% of lane cost</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lane construction cost</td>
<td>10% more</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus trip cost</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall access time</td>
<td>15% more</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oper. cost elasticity</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 3+ carpools</td>
<td>40% less growth</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV differential speed</td>
<td>5 mph less</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average trip length</td>
<td>10% less</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 5

DOCUMENTATION OF THE COMPUTER PROGRAMS

Two computer programs were developed for this project. One is simply representing the worksheets from the Parody model in an easy-to-use software package and the other is the cost model itself. The FORTRAN code and a map of the variables and functions employed in them may be found in the appendix.

PARODY MODEL

The Parody model is described in detail in the FHWA document listed in the bibliography (Parody, 1982). That description will not be repeated here. The computer program simply mimics the seven worksheets in the model. Since it is automated, the advantage in using the computer program is that the importance of various factors going into the sketch planning process can easily be tested in a sensitivity analysis.

The use of the program is mostly self-explanatory. It will run on virtually any microcomputer compatible with the IBM PC. A color graphics card is required. Basic instructions to use the program are as follows:

1) Upon entering the program using the command "PARODY," a screen describing optional data sets will appear. Choose the data set you wish to work on or modify the list using the instructions at the bottom of the screen.

2) Change the input data on the first two worksheets by moving the cursor with the arrow keys and typing in the appropriate values followed by <return>. New input data will automatically be stored in the data set.

3) The second and third worksheets contain some choices that can be made using the indicated function keys.

4) Move to the next appropriate worksheet by using the <esc> key.
5) You may move to a previous worksheet (but not a later one) by pressing the <ctrl> key and the function key corresponding to the worksheet you want.

6) After viewing the final worksheet containing the outcomes, pressing the <esc> will bring you back to the screen to select a data set.

7) Leave the program by pressing <esc> when the data set selection screen is showing.

**COST ANALYSIS MODEL**

A computer program was prepared to conveniently do all of the computations in the cost model and to allow for easily changing the parameters in the model. It will run on any microcomputer compatible with the IBM PC with a color graphics card. It was designed to allow the user to easily perform a sensitivity analysis on parameters assumed for the cost model and to compute the cost effectiveness indicators for any set of parameters.

**Instructions for use of the model**

1) Start the program by entering "HOCVCOST." The first step is to choose a case for analysis. If there is none, you must define at least one.

2) There are four pages of information, some supplied by the user and some computed by the program. You can look at each of the four pages by pressing the function keys F3, F4, F5 and F6 for pages one through four, respectively.

3) The first three pages and part of the fourth contain data concerning the years 1985 and 2000 for each of the three alternatives. The fourth page contains cost parameters applying to all alternatives and the outcome measures of the relative benefits of HOV lanes compared with the other two alternatives.

4) On all pages, the bright numbers are supplied by the user. The flashing green indicates the current data point. It can be changed by typing in a new value and hitting the return key. For some data points, if you change the data, more than one data point will change at a time. For instance, if you change the number of arterial lanes, all the
numbers will change for the two years and three alternatives. If you change the number of person trips for the year 1985 in the "do nothing" alternative, it will change for the year 1985 for all three alternatives.

5) The program operates in two modes, one where values are automatically recalculated and a data entry mode in which no calculation takes place. Change the mode by pressing F1. If "CALC" appears in the upper right hand corner, you are in the data entry mode. However, even in this mode you can recalculate everything by hitting F2. If the program is in the automatic recalculation mode, all necessary recalculations will be performed each time you change a value. At the end of recalculation in any mode, the fourth screen (containing the outcomes) will appear for about five seconds.

6) The program will automatically calculate HOV volumes using the method developed by Charles Rivers Associates if you press F9. You can also try different HOV volumes by changing them directly.

7) The program will also automatically calculate elasticities for the assumptions currently indicated by the cursor by pressing F8. The elasticities indicate the percentage change that will occur in four outcome measures when the currently indicated assumption changes by one percent. After the program computes the elasticities, the fourth page will appear with the elasticities in the appropriate place. Hit any key to leave the display. At the end of the display of elasticities, the screens will show the original values.

8) You can get a printout of the contents of the screens by pressing F10. The program will assume a page length of 66 lines unless there is a file called "PLINES" on the current directory containing the number of printer lines in the first line.

9) If the program does not turn the cursor off properly or does not leave you with the right cursor when you leave the program, you can change cursor definitions by using a program called "CURSOR."
10) You can leave the program anytime by pressing the escape key.

11) Summary of the function keys -

F1 --- Changes the calculation mode
F2 --- Recalculates when in the data entry mode
F3 --- Page 1 - Person trips, total vehicle numbers, carpool definition, capacities, vehicle distributions, speeds
F4 --- Page 2 - Length, number of lanes, off-facility access times, minimum speeds, travel times
F5 --- Page 3 - Displacements, percentage on arterials, average trip time, total trip lengths, total vehicle miles
F6 --- Page 4 - Maximum speeds, total costs, parking costs, vehicle operating costs, annual costs, miscellaneous cost parameters, outcomes
F7 --- Not used
F8 --- Automatic elasticity calculation
F9 --- Automatic calculation of HOV volumes
F10 -- Print out screens

Glossary of terms

The listing of the FORTRAN codes for the computer program may be found in the appendix.

The following glossary of terms (var = variable, arr = variable array, sub = subroutine and fnc = function) used in the program will help to understand the code:

a - (var) temporary character variable read in from keyboard
access - (arr) total average access time
addstg - (sub) adds character variables together
agycst - (arr) total agency costs
ainc - (var) yearly incremental change in agency costs used in computing life cycle costs
ann - (var) total annual costs
atim - (arr) total life cycle agency costs
avspa - (arr) average speeds on arterials
avspg - (arr) average speeds on general traffic lanes
avspg - (arr) average speeds on HOV lanes
b0peb - (var) initial number of priority eligible buses in the peak hour
b1hov - (var) buses in the HOV lanes during the peak hour
bap - (var) total travel time for each vehicle on arterials during the peak hour
bas - (var) total travel time for each vehicle on arterials during the shoulder hours
bc1 - (var) marginal benefit cost ratio between HOV lane and do nothing alternatives
bc1s - (var) temporarily saving bc1 for computation of elasticity
bc2 - (var) marginal benefit cost ratio between HOV lane and general lane alternatives
bc2s - (var) temporarily saving bc2 for computation of elasticity
bfare - (var) average bus fare
bgp - (var) total travel time for each vehicle on general traffic lanes during the peak hour
bgs - (var) total travel time for each vehicle on general traffic lanes during the shoulder hours
bhp - (var) total travel time for each vehicle on HOV lanes during the peak hour
bhs - (var) total travel time for each vehicle on HOV lanes during the shoulder hours
blnk - (sub) blanks out a portion of the screen
btrip - (arr) bus trip time during the peak
btrips - (arr) bus trip time on shoulders of the peak
btript - (arr) total average bus trip time
bus - (arr) number of buses during the peak period
cap - (var) lane capacity
capa - (arr) arterial lane capacity
capg - (arr) general traffic lane capacity
caph - (arr) HOV lane capacity
chattp - (sub) changes the attribute of characters on the screen

chfile - (sub) chooses the data file

chpage - (sub) changes the page appearing on the screen

cinc - (var) yearly incremental change in total costs used in computing life cycle costs

clean - (fnc) tests a character variable to determine if it can be converted to a legal real variable

coeff - (var) regression coefficient used to forecast HOV volumes from Parody model

constg - (var) cost of constructing an extra lane

consth - (var) extra cost to construct an HOV lane

cost - (sub) computes the costs for one peak hour

cpdefn - (arr) carpool definition (1 = no HOV lanes, 2 = 2+ carpools are eligible, 3 = 3+ carpools are eligible)

crs - (sub) changes the value of the cursor

cstcal - (sub) calculates the life time costs and the outcome measures

ctset - (sub) sets the cost parameters

ctim - (arr) total life cycle costs

ctrip - (arr) carpool trip time during the peak

ctrips - (arr) carpool trip time during the shoulders of the peak

ctript - (arr) total average carpool trip time

curm - (sub) moves the cursor

dec - (arr) number of digits after the decimal point to display (-1 indicates no decimal point)

dela - (var) amount of delay caused by displacement from peak on arterial lanes

delas - (var) amount of delay caused by displacement from shoulder on arterial lanes

delb - (var) change in the number of buses when an HOV lane is introduced

deld - (var) amount of delay caused by displacement from peak on freeway lanes

delgs - (var) amount of delay caused by displacement from shoulder on freeway lanes

delpa - (var) change in the number of carpools and vanpools when an HOV lane is introduced
disc - (var) discount rate

disp - (var) number of displaced vehicles

disp - (arr) number of vehicles displaced from arterials during the peak hours

dispas - (arr) number of vehicles displaced from arterials during the shoulder hours

disp - (arr) number of vehicles displaced from the freeway during the peak hours

disp - (arr) number of vehicles displaced from the freeway during the shoulder hours

div - (var) divisor which varies according to carpool definition

ds - (arr) discount factor computed for each year

elas - (var) operating cost elasticity

enf - (var) HOV lane enforcement cost

faca - (var) - factor for adjusting vehicle operating costs on arterials computed from operating cost elasticity

facg - (var) - factor for adjusting vehicle operating costs on general traffic lanes computed from operating cost elasticity

fach - (var) - factor for adjusting vehicle operating costs on HOV lanes computed from operating cost elasticity

fcont - (var) title of current scenario

file - (var) file name for current scenario

filn - (arr) data file titles

filnum - (arr) code numbers added to "HOVIN" to define the name of data files

float - (inc) - changes an integer variable to a floating point variable

fmt - (arr) character format for display each of the five types (see "type") of data

gdate - (sub) returns the current date

get - (sub) receives a keystroke

geta - (sub) gets a character string, cursor movement information or a function key from the keyboard

getam - (sub) reads a string, a movement or a function
getd - (sub) gets a character, cursor movement information or a function key from the keyboard

gtime - (sub) returns the current time

howcom - (sub) computes HOV volumes with implementation of HOV lanes

howl - (var) length of HOV lanes

howmnt - (var) extra cost to maintain HOV lanes

i - (var) subscript with a variety of uses

i1 - (var) temporary key indicator

i2 - (var) temporary key indicator

ia - (var) index used to point to cost parameter variables

icalc - (var) switch to determine data entry mode, 0 = automatic calculation, 1 = data entry mode

ichela - (var) switch to indicate whether or not elasticities are being calculated, 0 = not calculating elasticities, 1 = calculating elasticities

ichng - (arr) indicator showing which scenarios must be recomputed because some data has been altered

im - (var) movement information from the keyboard, same as "move"

int - (fnc) returns the integer part of a real variable

ioclos - (fnc) closes a file

ioff1 - (var) first parameter to define cursor as "off"

ioff2 - (var) second parameter to define cursor as "off"

ion1 - (var) first parameter to define cursor as "on"

ion2 - (var) second parameter to define cursor as "on"

ioread - (fnc) opens a file for reading

iowrit - (fnc) opens a file for writing

ip - (var) indicates current page on the screen (0 to 3)

ipt - (var) index used to indicate page on the screen

ist - (var) beginning scenario when cycling through a list of scenarios
ix - (var) indicates scenario (1 to 6)

iy - (var) indicates the variable number (1 to 65)

j - (var) subscript with a variety of uses

klen - (fnc) returns the length of a character variable

label - (arr) labels for variables other than cost parameters

lanes - (sub) assigns traffic to appropriate lanes

len - (arr) length of the study section

length - (sub) computes the trip lengths

lim - (var) number of options for which to compute total time in the optimization of assignment to freeway and arterials (1 or 3)

loep - (arr) page location for each variable

locx - (arr) column location for each scenario

locy - (arr) row location for each variable

maint - (var) maintenance cost for extra lane

mod - (fnc) performs modulus arithmetic

move - (var) indicates which type of movement instruction has been keyed (1 to 4 indicates arrows, 5 = home, 6 = end, and 7 = esc)

nart - (fnc) converts an integer to a character variable

narts - (arr) number of arterial lanes

nfil - (var) number of data files

nfunc - (var) indicates which function key has been pressed (1 to 10 = F1 to F10, 11 to 20 = alt-F1 to alt-F10 and 21 to 30 = ctrl-F1 to ctrl-F10)

ngpl - (arr) number of general traffic lanes on freeway

nhow - (arr) number of HOV lanes on the freeway

npars - (var) number of variables exclusive of cost parameter variables

ocbush - (var) hourly factor for bus operating costs

ocbusm - (var) mileage factor for bus operating costs
ocbst - (var) trip factor for bus operating costs
occar - (var) auto operating cost per mile
ocvan - (var) van operating cost per mile
pcap - (arr) proportion of traffic traveling on the arterials
pcapt - (var) initial proportion of traffic assigned to arterial lanes
pcpol - (var) carpool parking cost
pesov - (var) SOV parking cost
pcvan - (var) van parking cost
percost - (arr) total personal cost (auto operating, parking, etc.)
pinc - (var) increment used for proportion of traffic assigned to arterial lanes in the optimization of assignment
pinc - (var) yearly incremental change in personal costs used in computing life cycle costs
pkfact - (var) peak weighting factor used to indicate the equivalent number of peak hours and directions to weight the results for one peak hour in one direction
pool2 - (arr) number of two person carpools during the peak period
pool3 - (arr) number of three person and higher carpools during the peak period
poolv - (arr) number of vanpools in the peak period
pout - (sub) prints out the content of the screens
prefpk - (arr) proportion preferring to travel in the peak period
printp - (sub) prints a string on the screen
pspa - (arr) peak speeds on arterials
pspg - (arr) peak speeds on general traffic lanes
psph - (arr) peak speeds on HOV lanes
ptim - (arr) total life cycle personal costs
pstrips - (arr) total person trips during the peak period
rat - (var) ratio of speed to maximum speed definition
rcapa - (var) arterial lane capacity taking excess demand into account
rcapg - (var) general traffic lane capacity taking excess demand into account

spgp - (var) average speed in the general traffic lane in the "do nothing" alternative

snart - (fnc) converts a real variable to a character variable

sov - (arr) number of SOV's during the peak period

soveal - (sub) calculates the number of SOV's

spd - (var) maximum speed difference between HOV lane and adjacent general traffic lane

speed - (fnc) computes speed using volume, capacity and maximum speed information

speeds - (sub) computes speeds

spmin - (arr) minimum speed on arterials

spminf - (arr) minimum speed on the freeway

sqrt - (fnc) square root

sspa - (arr) shoulder speeds on arterials

sspg - (arr) shoulder speeds on general traffic lanes

ssph - (arr) shoulder speeds on HOV lanes

strip - (arr) SOV trip time during the peak

strips - (arr) SOV trip time on shoulders of the peak

stript - (arr) total average SOV trip time

	0b - (var) travel time for buses in the "do nothing" alternative

	0pa - (var) travel time for carpools in the "do nothing" alternative

	1b - (var) travel time for buses after the introduction of HOV lanes

	1pa - (var) travel time for carpools after the introduction of HOV lanes

	ake - (sub) causes a delay in processing

	bar - (arr) total average trip time for all person trips

	bus - (arr) bus access time

	c1 - (var) net savings for HOV lane alternative over do nothing alternative

	c1s - (var) temporarily saving tc1 for computation of elasticity
tc2 - (var) net savings for HOV lane alternative over add a general lane alternative

tc2s - (var) temporarily saving tc2 for computation of elasticity

tcapa - (var) arterial lane capacity at minimum arterial speed allowed

tcapg - (var) general traffic lane capacity at minimum freeway speed allowed

tcost - (arr) total cost for one peak hour

timest - (arr) total cost for travel time

time - (var) travel time on the freeway in the "do nothing" alternative

times - (sub) computes travel times

tinc - (var) yearly incremental change in time costs used in computing life cycle costs

tlave - (arr) average trip length

tlbus - (arr) average bus trip length

tlpl2 - (arr) average 2 person carpool trip length

tlpl3 - (arr) average 3+ person carpool trip length

tlsov - (arr) average SOV trip length

tlvan - (arr) average vanpool trip length

tmbus - (arr) total vehicle miles for buses

tmcar - (arr) total vehicle miles for autos

tmvan - (arr) total vehicle miles for vans

toffb - (var) travel time for buses off the HOV lanes

toffpa - (var) travel time for carpools off the HOV lanes

tpool - (arr) carpool formation and access time

tpool2 - (var) number of two person carpools after the introduction of HOV lanes

tpool3 - (var) number of three or more person carpools after the introduction of HOV lanes

tran - (fnc) converts a character variable into a real variable

ttime - (arr) total travel time, including penalty for displacements

tval - (var) value of time
tvan - (arr) total vehicle miles for vans

type - (arr) indicates type of variable or which other scenarios should be changed at the same time (0 = computed variable, 1 = nothing else should change, 2 = change all data for the same year, 3 = change data for both years in the same alternative, 4 = change all scenarios at once)

v - (arr) total number of vehicles

va - (var) total number of vehicles on arterials

van0 - (var) number of vans for the "do nothing alternative"

van1 - (var) number of vans after the introduction of HOV lanes

vap - (arr) total number of vehicles on arterials during the peak hour

vas - (arr) total number of vehicles on arterials during the shoulder hours

vc - (var) volume capacity ratio

vclear - (sub) clears screen

vcursor - (sub) moves the cursor on the screen

vg - (var) total number of vehicles on general traffic lanes

vgp - (arr) total number of vehicles on general traffic lanes during the peak hour

vgs - (arr) total number of vehicles on general traffic lanes during the shoulder hours

vh - (arr) total number of vehicles on HOV lanes

vhp - (arr) total number of vehicles on HOV lanes during the peak hour

vhs - (arr) total number of vehicles on HOV lanes during the shoulder hours

vmax - (var) maximum speed definition

vmaxa - (var) maximum speed on arterial lanes

vmaxg - (var) maximum speed on general traffic lanes

vol - (fnc) computes traffic volumes from speed and capacity information

vol - (var) number of vehicles

vtrip - (arr) van trip time during the peak

vtrips - (arr) van trip time on shoulders of the peak
vtript - (arr) total average van trip time.

wscp - (sub) puts one character on the screen

x - (arr) variable used in equivalence statement to represent all variables other than cost parameters

xs - (arr) used to store "x" temporarily during elasticity computation

y - (arr) variable used in equivalence statement to represent cost parameter variables
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Main program

1:      logical clean
2:      character*10 a,snart
3:      character*21 label(65)
4:      character*8 file
5:      character*40 fcont
6:      data label/"Person-trips","SOV's","2-pers. carpools","3-pers. carpools","Vanpools",
7:      1"Buses","Carpool definition","% preferring peak",
8:      1"capacity - gen","HOV","art","# peak veh. - gen","HOV","art",
9:      2"peak speeds - gen","HOV","art","shoulder speeds - gen","HOV"
10:     3"length","# gen.purp.lanes","# HOV lanes","# arterial lanes",
11:     "access time",
12:     4"carpool formation","vanpool formation","bus access",
13:     4"min. freeway speed","min. arterial speed",
14:     5"SOV","carpool","vanpool",
15:     6"bus","SOV","carpool",
16:     7"vanpool","bus","SOV","carpool","vanpool","bus",
17:     8"peak freeway","peak arterial","shoulder freeway",
18:     9"shoulder arterial","% on arterials","average trip time",
19:     9"average","SOV","2-pers. carpool","3-pers. carpool",
20:     9"vanpools","buses","cars","vanpools","buses","freeway",
21:     9"HOV","arterial","HOV differential","TOTAL COSTS/
22:     integer locx(6),locy(65),locp(65),dec(65),type(65),fmt(5),ich
23:      data fmt/7,15,15,15,15/,
24:      data type/1,0,1,1,1,1,3,2,3,3,4,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,4,3,2
25:      14,2,2,2,2,2,2,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,2,0,2,2,2
26:      20,0,0,4,3,4,3,0/,
27:      data locx/22,29,42,49,62,69/,
28:      data locy/2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,
29:      120,21,22,23,24,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,13,14,15,16,17,18,
30:      219,20,21,22,23,24,3,4,5,6,8,9,11,12,13,14,15,16,18,19,20,3,4,
31:      5,6,8/,
32:      data locp/0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,
33:      11,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,2,2,2,2,2,2,
34:      22,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,3,3,3,3,/,
35:      real x(6,65),ptrips(6),sov(6),pool2(6),pool3(6),poolv(6),bus(6),
36:      lvgp(6),vhp(6),vap(6),vgs(6),vhs(6),vas(6),pepg(6),psph(6),
37:      2pspa(6),ssp(6),ssph(6),ssp(6),capg(6),caph(6),capa(6),tpvg(6),
38:      tpvh(6),tpva(6),

B-1
36: 3len(6), nngpl(6), nhov(6), access(6), tpool(6), tvan(6), tbus(6),
37: 4strip(6), ctrip(6), vtrip(6), btrip(6), strips(6), cstrip(6), vtrip
38: s(6), btrips(6),
39: 4strip(6), ctrip(6), vtrip(6), btrip(6), narts(6), cpdefn(6), sp
40: minf(6), spmina(6), prefk(6),
41: 5v(6), vh(6), disp(6), disp(6), disp(6), disp(6), ttime(3),
42: 6pcap(6), tbar(6), tla(6), tlsolv(6), tlp2(6), tlp3(6), tlnan(6),
43: 7tbus(6), tmcar(6), tvan(6), tmbus(6), tcost(6),
44: 8asvpg(6), avsp(6), avsp(6), tincst(6), percst(6), agyco(6)
45: equivalence (x(1,1), pstrip(1), (x(1,2), sov(1)), (x(1,3), pool(2)
46: 1),
47: l(x(1,4), pool3(1)), (x(1,5), poolv(1)), (x(1,6), bus(1)), (x(1,7), c
48: pdefn(1)), (x(1,8), prefk(1)),
49: 2(x(1,9), capg(1)), (x(1,10), caph(1)), (x(1,11), cap(1)),
50: 3(x(1,12), vpg(1)), (x(1,13), vhp(1)), (x(1,14), vap(1)),
51: 4(x(1,15), vgs(1)), (x(1,16), vhs(1)), (x(1,17), vas(1)),
52: 5(x(1,18), pspp(1)), (x(1,19), psp(1)), (x(1,20), psp(1)),
53: 6(x(1,21), ssp(1)), (x(1,22), ssph(1)), (x(1,23), sp(1)),
54: 7(x(1,24), len(1)), (x(1,25), npl(1)), (x(1,26), nhov(1)), (x(1,27)
55: ), narts(1)),
56: 8(x(1,28), access(1)), (x(1,29), tpool(1)), (x(1,30), tvan(1)),
57: 9(x(1,31), tbus(1)), (x(1,32), spminf(1)), (x(1,33), spmina(1)), (x(1,34),
58: strip(1)), (x(1,35), ctrip(1)),
59: 9(x(1,36), vtrip(1)), (x(1,37), btrip(1)), (x(1,38), strips(1)),
60: 9(x(1,39), cstrip(1)), (x(1,40), vtrip(1)), (x(1,41), btrip(1)),
61: 9(x(1,42), strip(1)), (x(1,43), ctrip(1)), (x(1,44), vtrip(1)),
62: 9(x(1,45), btrip(1)), (x(1,46), disp(1)), (x(1,47), disp(1)),
63: 9(x(1,48), disp(1)), (x(1,49), disp(1)), (x(1,50), pcap(1)),
64: 9(x(1,51), tbar(1)), (x(1,52), tla(1)), (x(1,53), tlsolv(1)),
65: 9(x(1,54), tlp2(1)), (x(1,55), tlp3(1)), (x(1,56), tlnan(1)),
66: 9(x(1,57), tbus(1)), (x(1,58), tmcar(1)), (x(1,59), tvan(1)),
67: 9(x(1,60), tcost(1)),
68: 9(x(1,61), tcost(1)),
69: data dec/-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,
70: 1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1
71: ,1,1,1,
72: data npar/65/
73: real y(18), maint, x(6)
74: common/delay/delg, delg, dela, delas
75: common/result/tcl, tc2, bcl, bc2
76: common/cursor/ion1, ion2, ioff1, ioff2
77: equivalence (y(1), pcosv), (y(2), pcpol), (y(3), pcvan),
78: 1(y(4), ocorr), (y(5), ocvan),
79: 2(y(9), maint), (y(10), hovnt), (y(11), enf),
80: 3(y(12), tval), (y(13), disc), (y(14), constg), (y(15), consth),
81: 4(y(16), elas)
82: c
83: c
84: ionl=7
ion2=6
ioff1=0
ioff2=8
if (ioread(5,2,0,"crsdef")) go to 20
read (5,10) ion1,ion2,ioff1,ioff2
10 format (2i2)
if (ioclos(5)) stop
20 call crs(ioff1,ioff2)
call chfile(file,fcont)
if (file.eq."") go to 1100
if (ioread(5,2,0,file)) stop
read (5,100) ((x(i,j),i=1,5),j=1,npar)
100 format (6e13.6)
read (5,110) tval,pcsov,pcpol,pcvan,occar,ocvan,y(6),y(7),y(8)
,isc,iconstg,consth,main,hovmnt,env,elas,bfare,pkfact
110 format (e13.6)
y(17)=bfare
y(18)=pkfact
read (5,100) (timcst(i),i=1,6),(percst(i),i=1,6),(agycest(i),i=
1,6),
1(avspg(i),i=1,6),(avspgh(i),i=1,6),(avspa(i),i=1,6)
if (ioclos(5)) stop

set up screens

do 200 ip=3,0,-1
call chpage(ip)
200 call vclear

do 210 ip=0,3
call printp("1985",24,1,12,ip)
call printp("1985",44,1,12,ip)
call printp("1985",64,1,12,ip)
call printp("2000",31,1,12,ip)
call printp("2000",51,1,12,ip)
call printp("2000",71,1,12,ip)
call printp("Do Nothing",24,0,13,ip)
call printp("Add Mixed Lane",42,0,13,ip)
call printp("Add HOV Lane",63,0,13,ip)
call printp("Travel time (minutes)",0,12,11,1)
call printp("Peak",3,13,11,1)
call printp("Shoulder",3,17,11,1)
call printp("Average",3,21,11,1)
call printp("Displacements",0,2,11,2)
call printp("Summary Statistics",0,7,11,2)
call printp("Total Vehicle Miles (1000's)",0,17,11,2)
call printp("Total Trip Length",0,10,11,2)
call printp("Maximum Speeds",0,2,11,3)
do 220 l=1,npar
220 call printp(label(l),21-klen(label(l)),locy(l),14,locp(l))
do 220 j=1,6
220 call printp(snart(x(j,i),6,dec(i)),locx(j),locy(i),fmt(type(i)+1),locp(i))
229: call chatting(0,8,21,12,3)
230: call cstset(0,y,0)
231: ip=-1
232: call cstcal(ip,tcost,disc,constg,consth,maint,hovmnt,enf,
233: ltimost,percst,agyest,tcl,tc2,bcl,bc2,pkfact,ichela)
234: ip=0
235: c print cursor and get instruction
236: c
238: ix=1
239: iy=1
240: move=3
241: 300 if (type(iy).eq.0.and.move.eq.3) go to 740
242: if (type(iy).eq.0.and.move.eq.5) go to 740
243: if (type(iy).eq.0.and.move.eq.0) go to 740
244: if (type(iy).eq.0.and.move.eq.1) go to 720
245: if (type(iy).eq.0.and.move.eq.6) go to 720
246: ip=locp(ip)
247: call chpage(ip)
248: call chatting(locx(ix),locy(iy),6,138,locp(iy))
249: call curm(locx(ix),locy(iy),lopc(ip))
250: call getam(a,move,nfunc)
251: call chatting(locx(ix),locy(iy),6,fmt(type(iy)+1),locp(iy))
252: c set CALC and select page
253: c
255: if (nfunc.gt.6) go to 470
256: go to (700,400,440,450,450,450,450),nfunc+1
257: 400 if (icalc.eq.1) go to 420
258: icalc=1
259: do 410 ipt=0,3
260: 410 call printp("CALC",76,0,48,ipt)
261: go to 300
262: 420 icalc=0
263: do 430 ipt=0,3
264: 430 call printp(" ",76,0,7,ipt)
265: go to 300
266: 440 if(icalc.eq.0) go to 300
267: go to 600
268: 450 ip=nfunc-3
269: call chpage(ip)
270: if (ip.eq.3) go to 1000
271: 460 if (lopc(ip).eq.ip) go to 300
272: ix=1
273: if (ip.eq.0) iy=1
274: if (ip.eq.1) iy=24
275: if (ip.eq.2) iy=46
276: go to 300
277: 470 if (nfunc.eq.6) go to 510
if (nfunc.lt.9) go to 300
if (nfunc.eq.9) go to 480
call pout(fcont)
go to 300
480 do 490 i=5,6
call hovcom(len(i),pspg(i-4),ctrip(i-4),btrip(i-4),cpdefn(i),
1pool2(i-4),pool3(i-4),poolv(i-4),bus(i-4),pool2(i),pool3(i),
2poolv(i),bus(i))
do 490 j=3,6
490 call printp(smart(x(i,j),6,dec(j)),locx(i),locy(j),
1fmt(type(j)+1),locp(j))
if (icalc.eq.1) go to 300
do 500 i=1,4
500 ichng(i)=0
ichng(5)=1
ichng(6)=1
go to 600
calculate elasticities
c
510 if (icalc.eq.1) go to 300
ichela=1
tc1s=tc1
tc2s=tc2
bc1s=bc1
bc2s=bc2
do 520 i=1,6
520 xs(i)=x(i,iy)
go to 810
530 call printp(smart((tc1/tc1s-1.)/.1,10,3),26,23,12,3)
call printp(smart((tc2/tc2s-1.)/.1,10,3),26,24,12,3)
call printp(smart((bc1/bc1s-1.)/.1,10,3),66,23,12,3)
call printp(smart((bc2/bc2s-1.)/.1,10,3),66,24,12,3)
call printp("----------Elasticities----------",33,20
12,3)
call wscp(33,21,3,25,12)
call wscp(73,21,3,25,12)
call chpage(3)
call get(i1,i2)
call printp("","33,20
12,3)
call printp("","33,21
12,3)
call chpage(ip)
do 560 i=1,6
560 x(i,iy)=xs(i)
tc1=tc1s
tc2=tc2s
bc1=bc1s
bc2=bc2s
ichela=0
calculate results

600 do 680 i=1,6
   if (icale.eq.1) go to 610
   if (ichng(i).eq.0) go to 680
   call sovcal(sov(i),pstrips(i),pool2(i),pool3(i),polvy(i),bus(i))
end
   pccpt=narts(i)*capa(i)/(ngpl(i)*capg(i)+nhov(i)*caph(i)+narts
   (i)*capa(i))
   pinc=pccpt
   if (pinc.gt..5) pinc=1.-pinc
   lim=3
620 do 640 j=1,lim
   if (.eq.1) pccpt=pccpt+pinc
   if (.eq.2) pccpt=pccpt-pinc
640 call lanes(sov(i),pool2(i),pool3(i),polvy(i),bus(i),
   1ngpl(i),nhov(i),narts(i),cpdefn(i),prefpk(i),spminf(i),spmina
   (i), 2capg(i),caph(i),capa(i),pccpt(i),
   3v(i),vh(i),vpg(i),vhp(i),vap(i),vgs(i),vh(i),vas(i),
   4disp(i),dispa(i),dispg(i),x(i,61),x(i,62),x(i,63)
   call speeds(pspg(i),sspg(i),psph(i),ssph(i),pspa(i),sspah,i),
   1capg(i),caph(i),capa(i),
   2vpg(i),vhp(i),vap(i),vgs(i),vh(i),vas(i),
   3ngpl(i),nhov(i),narts(i),
   4spminf(i),spmina(i),
   5disp(i),dispa(i),dispg(i),x(i,61),x(i,62),x(i,63),x(i,64)
   call times(nhov(i),narts(i),sov(i),pool2(i),cpdefn(i),
   1access(i),len(i),pool2(i),tvan(i),bus(i),
   2pspg(i),sspg(i),psph(i),ssph(i),pspa(i),sspah,i),
   3v(i),vh(i),vpg(i),vhp(i),vap(i),vgs(i),vh(i),vas(i),
   4strip(i),strips(i),strip(i),cstrip(i),cstrips(i),
   5vstrip(i),vstrip(i),cstrip(i),bstrip(i),bstrips(i),bstrip(i))
   ttime(j)=sov(i)*strip(i)+2.*pool2(i)*cstrip(i)+
   13.3*pool3(i)*cstrip(i)-10.*pool2(i)*vstrip(i)+45.*bus(i)*btrip+
   dstrip(i)*delg+disp(i)*delg+dispa(i)*dela+disp(i)*dela
640 ttime(j)=ttime(j)/pstrip(i)
   if (pccpt.eq.0..or.pccpt.eq.1.) go to 660
650 if (pccpt+pinc.gt.1.) go to 650
660 if (pccpt+pinc.lt.0.) go to 650
670 if ((ttime(1)-ttime(3))*(ttime(3)-ttime(2)).le.0.) go to 650
680 if (ttime(1)-ttime(3).lt.0.) pccpt=pccpt+pinc
690 if (ttime(1)-ttime(3).ge.0.) pccpt=pccpt-pinc
700 go to 620
710 if (pinc.lt..0.01) go to 660
272: \[ pinc = pinc/2. \]
273: go to 620
274: \[ \text{bar}(i) = \text{ttim}(lim) \]
275: call length\((\text{soov}(i), \text{pool2}(i), \text{pool3}(i), \text{pool}(i), \text{bus}(i), \text{tmcar}(i) \),
276: \( \text{ltmvan}(i), \text{tlbus}(i), \text{tlave}(i), \text{tlsoov}(i), \text{tlpl2}(i), \text{tlpl3}(i), \text{tlvan}(i) \),
277: 2tlbus(i))
278: call cost\((\text{tcost}(i), \text{timcst}(i), \text{percst}(i), \text{agycst}(i), \text{tval}, \text{pcso},\)
279: \( \text{lpcpol}, \text{pcvan}, \text{ocar}, \text{ocvan}, y(6), y(7), y(8), \text{avspg}(i), \text{avsp}(i), \text{avsp}(i), \text{elas},
280: \text{soov}(i), \text{pool2}(i), \text{pool3}(i), \text{pool}(i), \text{bus}(i), \text{len}(i), \text{pcap}(i),
281: \text{tmcar}(i), \text{tlvan}(i), \text{tlbus}(i), \text{tlbus}(i), \text{tlave}(i), \text{btbike}(i),
282: 4x(i,61), x(i,62), x(i,63))
283: \text{if} (\text{ichela}.eq.1) \text{go to } 680
284: \text{do } 670 \text{j}=1,npar
285: \text{670 if} (\text{type}(j).eq.0) \text{call printp}(\text{snart}(x(i,j),6,dec(j)),\text{locx}(i),
286: \text{locy}(ij),7,\text{locp}(ij))
287: \text{680 continue}
288: \text{call cstcalc}(\text{ip}, \text{tcost}, \text{disc}, \text{constg}, \text{cons}, \text{main}, \text{hovmnt}, \text{enf},
289: \text{ltimcst}, \text{percst}, \text{agycst}, \text{tcl}, \text{tc2}, \text{bc1}, \text{bc2}, \text{pkfact}, \text{ichela})
290: \text{if} (\text{ichela}.eq.1) \text{go to } 530
291: \text{go to } 300
292: \text{c}
293: \text{c}
294: \text{move cursor}
295: \text{c}
296: \text{700 if} (a.\text{ne.}"\text{\textquotedbl}") \text{go to } 800
297: \text{710 go to } (300,720,730,740,750,760,770,1100), \text{move}+1
298: \text{720 iy}=\text{mod}(\text{iy}+\text{npar}-2, \text{npar})+1
299: \text{go to } 300
300: \text{730 ix}=\text{mod}(\text{ix},6)+1
301: \text{go to } 300
302: \text{740 if} (\text{iy}.eq.\text{npar}) \text{go to } 1000
303: \text{iy}=\text{mod}(\text{iy}, \text{npar})+1
304: \text{go to } 300
305: \text{750 ix}=\text{mod}(\text{ix}+4,6)+1
306: \text{go to } 300
307: \text{760 ix}=1
308: \text{iy}=1
309: \text{go to } 300
310: \text{770 ix}=6
311: \text{iy}=\text{npar}
312: \text{go to } 300
313: \text{c}
314: \text{change data}
315: \text{c}
316: \text{800 if} (\text{clean}(a,6)) \text{go to } 810
317: \text{call printp}(a, \text{locx}(ix), \text{locy}(iy), 140, \text{locp}(iy))
318: \text{call take}(3.)
319: \text{call printp}(\text{snart}(x(iy,iy),6,dec(iy)),\text{locx}(ix), \text{locy}(iy), \text{fmt}(\text{type}(iy)+1), \text{locp}(iy))

B.7
go to 300
810 do 820 i=1,6
820 ichng(i)=0
830 if (ichela.eq.0) x(iy,iy)=tran(a)
840 if (ichela.eq.1) x(iy,iy)=x(iy,iy)*1.1
850 continue
860 ist=ix-1+mod(ix,2)
doi50 ix=-ist,ist+1
870 ichng(ixx)=1
880 if (ichela.eq.0) x(ixx,iy)=tran(a)
890 if (ichela.eq.1) x(ixx,iy)=x(ixx,iy)*1.1
900 if (ichela.eq.1) go to 890
call printp(smart(x(iy,iy),6,dec(iy)),locx(iy),locy(iy),fmt(t(y)+1),locp(iy))
go to 300
940 ist=2-mod(ix,2)
doi50 ix=ist,ist+1
950 ichng(ixx)=1
960 if (ichela.eq.0) x(ixx,iy)=tran(a)
970 if (ichela.eq.1) x(ixx,iy)=x(ixx,iy)*1.1
980 if (ichela.eq.1) go to 870
call printp(smart(x(ixx,iy),6,dec(iy)),locx(ixx),locy(iy),fmt(t(y)+1),locp(iy))
990 continue
do 980 ix=ist,ist+1
1000 call cset(ip,y,icalc)
y=npar-1
1010 if (ip.lt.4) go to 460
1020 if (ip.gt.13) go to 1100
do 1010 i=1,6
call cost(tcost(i),tmcst(i),percst(i),agycst(i),tval,pcsov,
1pcpol,pcvan,occar,ocvan,y(6),y(7),y(8),avspg(i),avspgh(i),avsp
a(i),elas,
2sov(i),pool2(i),pool3(i),poolv(i),bus(i),len(i),pcap(i),
3tmcar(i),tmvan(i),tmbus(i),tbar(i),ptrips(i),bfare,btript(i),
4x(i,61),x(i,62),x(i,63))
1010 call printp(snart(x(i,npar),6,dec(npar)),locx(i),locy(npar),7
,locp(npar))

call cstcal(ip,tcost,disc,constg,consth,maint,hovmnt,enf,
1timcst,percst,agycst,tcl,tc2,bcl,bc2,pkfact,ichela)

ip=ip-10

go to 1000

c exit

c
1100 call chpage(0)

call vclear

call crs(ion1,ion2)

call curm(0,0,0)

if (file.eq.""") stop

if (iowrit(6,2,0,file)) stop

write (6,1110) ((x(i,j),i=1,6),j=1,npar)

1110 format (1x,6e13.6)

write (6,1120) tval,pcsov,pcpol,pcvan,occar,ocvan,y(6),y(7),y
(8),disc,

1constg,consth,maint,hovmnt,enf,elas,bfare,pkfact

1120 format (1x,e13.6)

write (6,1110) (timcst(i),i=1,6),(percst(i),i=1,6),(agycst(i)
,i=1,6),

1(avspg(i),i=1,6),(avspgh(i),i=1,6),(avspa(i),i=1,6)

if (ioclos(6)) stop

stop

end

subroutines

chcpp 129 148 151
chfile 87
chpage 104 147 169 214 218 378
cost 278 365

crs 86 380

cstcal 132 287 371

cstset 130 358

curm 149 381

cput 215

cgetam 150

covcom 183

clanes 242

clength 275

cpout 180

printp 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121
122 123 124 126 128 160 164 187 207 208 209 210 211 216 217
285 315 317 326 334 343 351 370
sovcal 232
speeds 247
take 316	
times 254
vclear 105 379
wscp 212 213

integer variables

i 90 97 98 125 126 128 182 183 184 185 187 190 191 204 205
219 220 229 231 232 233 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247
248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 261 262
263 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 285 319 320 364 365
366 367 368 369 370 384 389 390
icalc 157 158 162 166 189 198 230 353 358
ichela 133 199 225 283 288 289 322 323 325 331 332 333 340 341 342
348 349 350 372
ioff1 80 83 86
ioff2 81 83 86
ionl 78 83 380
ion2 79 83 380
ip 103 104 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 131 132 134
146 147 168 169 170 171 173 174 175 218 287 358 362 363 371
373
ipt 159 160 163 164
ist 328 329 337 338
ix 138 148 149 151 172 298 303 305 308 315 317 322 323 324 326
328 337
ixx 329 330 331 332 334 338 339 340 341 343 346 347 348 349 351
i y 139 141 142 143 144 145 146 148 149 151 171 173 174 175 205
220 296 300 301 306 309 315 317 321 322 323 326 331 332 334
340 341 343 348 349 351 359
i1 215
i2 215
j 90 127 128 186 187 188 238 239 240 241 260 263 284 285 384
lim 236 237 238 241 274
move 140 141 142 143 144 145 150 295
nfunc 150 155 156 168 177 178 179
npar 90 125 284 296 300 301 309 359 370 384

real variables

bcl 133 202 209 223 288 372
bcls 202 209 223
bc2 133 203 210 224 288 372
bc2s 203 210 224
bfare 93 95 281 360 368 387
constg  73  93 132 287 371 387
consth  73  93 132 287 371 387
dela    262
delas   262
delg    262
delgs   262
disc    73  92 132 287 371 386
elas    74  93 279 366 387
enf     72  93 132 287 371 387
hovmmt  72  93 132 287 371 387
maint   66  72  93 132 287 371 387
occar   71  92 279 366 386
ocvan   71  92 279 366 386
pcapt   233 234 237 239 240 241 264 265 266 268 269
pcpol   70  92 279 366 386
pcssov  70  92 278 365 386
pcvan   70  92 279 366 386
pinc    234 235 239 240 265 266 268 269 271 272
pkfact  93  96 133 288 361 372 387
tcl1    133 200 207 221 288 372
tcl1s   200 207 221
tc2     133 201 208 222 288 372
tc2s    201 208 222
tval    73  92 278 365 386
character variables
  a      2  150 294 314 315 322 331 340 348
fcont   5  87 180
file    4  87  88  89 382 383
integer arrays
  dec    21 128 187 285 317 326 334 343 351 370
  fmt    21 128 151 188 317 326 334 343 351
  ichng  21 191 192 193 231 320 324 330 339 347
  locp   21 126 128 146 148 149 151 171 188 285 315 317 326 334 343 351 370
  locx   21 128 148 149 151 187 285 315 317 326 334 343 351 370
  locy   21 126 128 148 149 151 187 285 315 317 326 334 343 351 370
  type   21 128 141 142 143 144 145 151 188 285 317 321 326 334 343 351
real arrays
  access 36  51 255
agycst  42  97 133 278 288 365 372 389
avspa   42  98 253 279 366 390
avspg   42  98 253 279 366 390
avsph   42  98 253 279 366 390
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>btrip</td>
<td>37 53 183 259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>btrips</td>
<td>37 54 259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>btripit</td>
<td>38 56 259 261 281 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td>33 44 184 185 232 242 261 275 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capa</td>
<td>35 45 233 244 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capg</td>
<td>35 45 233 244 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caph</td>
<td>35 45 233 244 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpdefn</td>
<td>38 44 183 243 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctrip</td>
<td>37 52 183 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctripns</td>
<td>37 54 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctripit</td>
<td>38 55 258 260 261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispa</td>
<td>39 56 246 252 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispas</td>
<td>39 57 246 252 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispag</td>
<td>39 56 246 252 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispags</td>
<td>39 57 246 252 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>len</td>
<td>36 50 183 255 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>narts</td>
<td>38 50 233 243 250 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ngpl</td>
<td>36 50 233 243 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nhov</td>
<td>36 50 233 243 250 254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcap</td>
<td>40 57 239 240 241 244 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percat</td>
<td>42 97 133 278 288 365 372 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poolv</td>
<td>33 44 184 185 232 242 261 275 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pool2</td>
<td>33 43 184 232 242 254 260 275 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pool3</td>
<td>33 44 184 232 242 261 275 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prefpk</td>
<td>38 44 243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psfa</td>
<td>35 48 247 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psfg</td>
<td>34 48 183 247 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psph</td>
<td>34 48 247 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ptrips</td>
<td>33 43 232 263 281 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sov</td>
<td>33 43 232 242 254 260 275 280 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spmin</td>
<td>38 52 243 251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spminf</td>
<td>38 52 243 251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sspa</td>
<td>35 49 247 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssph</td>
<td>35 49 247 256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strip</td>
<td>37 52 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strips</td>
<td>37 53 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stript</td>
<td>38 55 258 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tbar</td>
<td>40 58 274 281 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tbus</td>
<td>36 52 255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcost</td>
<td>41 62 132 278 287 365 371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timcost</td>
<td>42 97 133 278 288 365 372 389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tslave</td>
<td>40 58 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tlbus</td>
<td>41 60 277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tlpl2</td>
<td>40 59 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tlpl3</td>
<td>40 59 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tiovst</td>
<td>40 58 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tiovan</td>
<td>40 59 276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmbus</td>
<td>41 61 276 281 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmcar</td>
<td>41 60 275 281 368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmvan</td>
<td>41 60 276 281 368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tpool  36  51  255
tpva  35
tpvg  35
tpvh  35
ttime  39  260  263  267  268  269  274
tvan  36  51  255
v  39  245  257
vap  34  46  245  249  257
vas  34  47  245  249  257
vgp  34  46  245  249  257
vgs  34  47  245  249  257
vh  39  245  257
vhp  34  46  245  249  257
vhs  34  47  245  249  257
vtrip  37  53  259
vtrips  37  54  259
vtripc  38  55  259  261
x  33  43  44  45  46  47  48  49  50  51  52  53  54  55  56
     57  58  59  60  61  62  90  128  187  205  220  246  253  282  285
  317  322  323  326  331  332  334  340  341  343  348  349  351  369  370
     384
xs  66  205  220
y  66  70  71  72  73  74  92  95  96  130  279  358  360  361  366
     386

character arrays

    label  3  126

integer functions

    klen  126
    mod  296  298  301  303  328  337

real functions

    tran  322  331  340  348

logical functions

    clean  1  314
    ioclos  85  99  391
    ioread  82  89
    iowrit  383

character functions

    snart  2  128  187  207  208  209  210  285  317  326  334  343  351  370
Subroutine chfile(file,fcont)
character*10 a
character*40 filn(20),fcont
integer filnum(20)
character*8 file
character*4 nart
common/cursor/ion1,ion2,ioff1,ioff2
file="
call chpage(0)
call vclear
call printp("Pick a case for analysis",0,0,14,0)
if (ioread(5,2,0,"files")) go to 40
read (5,10) nfil
10 format (i10)
read (5,20,errexit=40) (filnum(i),filn(i),i=1,nfil)
20 format (i4,a0)
if (loclos(5)) stop
do 30 i=1,nfil
30 call printp(filn(i),0,i+1,7,0)
40 call printp("","D" - delete "A" - add "C" - choose ",0,24,7,0)
ia=1
50 call chapp(0,ia+1,40,138,0)
call getd(a,im,if)
call chapp(0,ia+1,40,7,0)
if (im.eq.7) go to 145
if (a.eq."D".or.a.eq."d") go to 100
if (a.eq."A".or.a.eq."a") go to 130
if (a.eq."C".or.a.eq."c") go to 140
go to (50,60,70,70,60,80,90),im+1
60 ia=mod(ia+nfil-2,nfil)+1
go to 50
70 ia=mod(ia,nfil)+1
go to 50
80 ia=1
go to 50
90 ia=nfil
go to 50
100 nfil=nfil-1
110 call blnk(0,ia+1,39,ia+1)
if (ia.le.nfil) go to 120
ia=1
go to 50
120 filn(ia)=filn(ia+1)
filnum(ia)=filnum(ia+1)
call printp(filn(ia),0,ia+1,7,0)
la=ia+1
go to 110
130 nfil=nfil+1
49: call vcurxy(0,nfil+1)
call crs(ion1,ion2)
51: call geta(filn(nfil),if,im);
52: call crs(ioff1,ioff2)
53: filnum(nfil)=filnum(nfil-1)+1
54: ia=nfil
55: go to 50
56: 140 if (nfil.eq.0) go to 200
57: file="hovin"
58: call addstg(file,nart(filnum(ia)))
59: 145 if (iowrit(5,2,0,"files")) stop
60: write (5,150) nfil
61: 150 format (lx,i2)
62: write (5,160) (filnum(i),filn(i),i=1,nfil)
63: 160 format (lx,i4,a0)
64: if (ioclos(5)) stop
65: if (im.eq.7) return
66: fcont=filn(ia)
67: if (.not.ioread(5,2,0,file)) go to 190
68: if (iowrit(5,2,0,file)) stop
69: do 170 i=1,89
70: 170 write (5,180)
71: 180 format(' ',')
72: 190 if (ioclos(5)) stop
73: return
74: 200 call printp("You must assign a file name",20,7,12,0)
75: call printp("before starting computation",20,8,12,0)
76: call printp("Re-enter program with ""HGVCOI"","",20,9,12,0)
77: call take(5.)
78: return
79: end

subroutines

addstg 58
blick 39
chattop 22 24
chpage 9
crs 50 52
geta 51
getd 23
printp 11 19 20 45 74 75 76
take 77
vclear 10
vcurxy 49

integer variables

i 15 18 19 62 69
ia 21 22 24 30 32 34 36 39 40 41 43 44 45 46 54
im 58 66
ioffl 52
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ioff2  52
ion1  50
ion2  50
nfil  13  15  18  30  32  36  38  40  48  49  51  53  54  56  60
62

real variables
add   20
choose 20
delete 20

character variables
 a     2  23  26  27  28
fcont  3  66
file   5  8  57  58  67  68

integer arrays
filnum 4  15  44  53  58  62

character arrays
filn  3  15  19  43  45  51  62  66

integer functions
mod   30  32

logical functions
ioclos 17  64  72
ioread 12  67
iowrit 59  68

character functions
nart   6  58
Subroutine cost(tcst, timst, perct, agycst, tval, 
lpsov, pcpol, pcvan, occar, ocvan, ocbusm, ocbush, ocbust, avspg, avspa, elas, 
2sov, pool2, pool3, poolv, bus, len, pcap, 
3tmcar, tmvan, tmbus, tbar, ptrips, bfare, btript, vmaxg, vmaxh, vmaxa) 
real len 
timst=tval*ptrips*tbar/60. 
perct=pcssov*(sov+pool2)+pcpol*pool3+pcvan*poolv+ 
11000.*((occar*tmcar+ocvan*tmvan) 
facg=elas*(1.-vmaxg/avspg) 
fach=elas*(1.-vmaxh/avspa) 
faca=elas*(1.-vmaxa/avspa) 
perct=perct+occar*len*facg*(1.-pcap)*sov 
perct=perct+occar*len*fach*(pool2+pool3) 
perct=perct+ocvan*len*fach*poolv 
perct=perct+occar*len*faca*pcap*sov 
perct=perct+bfare*bus*45. 
agycst=ocbusm*tmbus*1000.+ocbush*btript*bus/60.+ocbust*bus 
agycst=agycst-bfare*bus*45. 
timct=timst/1000. 
perct=perct/1000. 
agycst=agycst/1000. 
tcost=timst+perct+agycst 
return 
end

real variables

agycst 17 18 21 22 
avspg 11 
avspa 9 
avspg 10 
bfare 16 18 
btript 17 
bus 16 17 18 
elas 9 10 11 
faca 11 15 
facg 9 12 
fach 10 13 14 
len 5 12 13 14 15 
ocbush 17 
ocbusm 17 
ocbust 17 
occar 8 12 13 15 
ocvan 8 14 
colap 12 15 
cpol 7 
ccsov 7 
cvan 7 
perct 7 12 13 14 15 16 20 22 
poolv 7 14
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>7</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pool2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pool3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ptrips</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sov</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tbar</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcost</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>timcost</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmbus</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmcar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmvan</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tval</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxa</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxh</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subroutine cstcal(ip,tcost,disc,constg,consth,maint,hovmnt,
lenf,timcst,percst,agycst,tcl,tc2,bcl,bc2,pkfact,ichela)
real tcost(6),tc(3),maint,timcst(6),percst(6),agycst(6),
lctim(3),ptim(3),atim(3),ds(20)
character*10 snart

do 10 i=1,20
  ds(i)=(1.+disc/100.0)**i
  tc(1)=0.
  tc(2)=constg
  tc(3)=constg+consth
  do 20 i=1,3
    ctim(i)=0.
    ptim(i)=0.
  20 atim(i)=tc(i)
  do 40 i=1,3
    cinc=(tcost(2*i)-tcost(2*i-1))/15.
    tinc=(timcst(2*i)-timcst(2*i-1))/15.
    pinc=(percst(2*i)-percst(2*i-1))/15.
    ainc=(agycst(2*i)-agycst(2*i-1))/15.
  40 ann=0.
  if (i.eq.2) ann=maint
  if (i.eq.3) ann=maint+hovmnt+enf

  do 50 j=1,20
    ctim(i)=ctim(i)+pkfact*250.*(timcst(2*i-1)+float(j)*tinc)/ds(j)
    ptim(i)=ptim(i)+pkfact*250.*(percst(2*i-1)+float(j)*pinc)/ds(j)
    atim(i)=atim(i)+pkfact*250.*(agycst(2*i-1)+float(j)*ainc)/ds(j)+
    lann/ds(j)
  50 tc(i)=tc(i)+pkfact*250.*(tcost(2*i-1)+float(j)*cinc)/ds(j)+ann/ds(j)
  ctim(i)=ctim(i)/1000.
  ptim(i)=ptim(i)/1000.
  atim(i)=atim(i)/1000.
  do 60 j=1,20
    ctim(j)=ctim(j)/1000.
    ptim(j)=ptim(j)/1000.
    atim(j)=atim(j)/1000.
  60 tc(i)=tc(i)/1000.

  call printp(snart(tcl,10,1),26,23,15,3)
  call printp(snart(tc2,10,1),26,24,15,3)
  call printp(snart(ctim(1)-ctim(3),10,1),36,23,15,3)
  call printp(snart(ptim(1)-ptim(3),10,1),46,23,15,3)
  call printp(snart(atim(1)-atim(3),10,1),56,23,15,3)
  call printp(snart(ptim(2)-ptim(3),10,1),46,24,15,3)
  call printp(snart(atim(2)-atim(3),10,1),56,24,15,3)

  bcl=0.
  bc2=0.
  if (atim(1)-atim(3).ne.0.)bcl=-(ctim(1)-ctim(3)+ptim(1)-ptim(3))/(atim(1)-atim(3))
  if (atim(2)-atim(3).ne.0.)bc2=-(ctim(2)-ctim(3)+ptim(2)-ptim(3))
3))/(atim(2)-atim(3))
47: call printp(smart(bcl,10,2),66,23,15,3)
48: call printp(smart(bc2,10,2),66,24,15,3)
49: if (ip.gt.3) return
50: if (ip.lt.0) return
51: if (ichela.eq.1) return
52: call chpage(3)
53: call take(5.)
54: call chpage(ip)
55: return
56: end

subroutines

chpage 52 54
printp 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 47 48
take 53

integer variables

i          6  7 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 24 25
          26 28 29 30 31 32
ichela  51
ip        49 50 54
j          23 24 25 26 27 28

real variables

ainc      19 26
ann       20 21 22 27 28
bcl        43 45 47
bc2       44 46 48
cinc      16 28
constg    9 10
consth    10
disc      7
enf       22
hovmnt   22
maint     3 21 22
pinc      18 25
pkfact    24 25 26 28
tcl       33 35
tc2       34 36
tinc      17 24

real arrays

agycst 3 19 26
atim    4 14 26 31 39 42 45 46
...tm    4 12 24 29 37 40 47 48
ds      4 7 24 25 26 27 28
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percst  3  18  25
ptim   4  13  25  30  38  41  45  46
tc     3   8  9  10  14  28  32  33  34
tcost  3  16  28
timcost 3  17  24

real functions

float  24  25  26  28

character functions

snart  5  35  36  37  38  39  40  41  42  47  48
Subroutine cstset(ip,x,icalc)
    logical clean
    character*10 snart,a
    character*18 label(18)
    data label/"SOV","carpool","vanpool","car ($/mi.)","van ($/mi )
    ","bus ($/mi.)","bus ($/hr.)","bus ($/trip )","Maint. cost","Extra HOV
    
maint.","Enforcement"/
    2"Value of time ($)","Discount rate ($)",
    3"Lane construction","Extra HOV cost","Oper. cost elas.",
    4"Bus fare","Peak Factor"/
    common/result/tcl,tc2,bc1,bc2
    real x(18),maint
    integer locx(18),locy(18),dec(18)
    data locx/18,18,18,18,18,18,18,45,45,45,45,45,72,72,72,72,72,72,72,72,
    72/
    data locy/12,13,14,16,17,18,19,20,12,13,14,12,13,14,15,16,17,18/
    data dec/2,2,2,2,2,2,-1,-1,-1,2,1,-1,-1,1,2,2,2/
    if (ichela.eq.1) go to 320
    if (ip.ne.0) go to 200
    c
    c set up screen
    ia=1
    do 100 i=1,18
        call printp(label(i),locx(i)-klen(label(i))-2,locy(i),14,3)
    100    call printp(snart(x(i),6,dec(i)),locx(i),locy(i),15,3)
    do 110 i=0,79
    110 call printp("=",i,9,13,3)
    call printp("COST PARAMETERS",0,10,13,3)
    call printp("Parking costs ($'s/day)",0,11,11,3)
    call printp("Operating costs",0,15,11,3)
    call printp("Annual costs ($1,000's)",27,11,11,3)
    call printp("Miscellaneous",53,11,11,3)
    call printp("Net Savings ($1,000,000's)",0,22,13,3)
    call printp("total",31,22,11,3)
    call printp("time",42,22,11,3)
    call printp("personal",48,22,11,3)
    call printp("agency",60,22,11,3)
    call printp("marg b/c",68,22,11,3)
    call printp("HOV - Do Nothing",3,23,15,3)
    call printp("HOV - Add Gen'1 Lane",3,24,15,3)
    return
    c
    c change cost parameters
    200 call chattp(locx(ia),locy(ia),6,138,3)
    call curm(locx(ia),locy(ia),3)
    call getam(a,move,nfunc)
    call chattp(locx(ia),locy(ia),6,15,3)
if (nfunc.gt.0) go to 300
if (a.ne.""") go to 260
205 go to (200,210,220,230,240,250),move+1
210 if (ia.eq.1) return
ia=mod(ia+16,18)+1
go to 200
220 ia=mod(ia,18)+1
go to 200
230 ia=1
go to 200
240 ia=18
go to 200
250 ip=99
return
if (clean(a,8)) go to 270
260 call printp(a,locx(ia),locy(ia),14,3)
call take(3.)
call printp(snart(x(ia),6,dec(ia)),locx(ia),locy(ia),15,3)
go to 200
270 x(ia)=tran(a)
call printp(snart(x(ia),6,dec(ia)),locx(ia),locy(ia),15,3)
if (icalc.eq.1) go to 205
280 ip=ip+10
return
set up to change page
300 if (nfunc.eq.1) go to 400
if (nfunc.eq.2.and.icalc.eq.1) go to 280
if (nfunc.eq.8) go to 310
if (nfunc.gt.5.or.nfunc.lt.3) go to 200
ip=nfunc-3
call chpage(ip)
return
calculate elasticities
310 if (icalc.eq.1) go to 200
ichela=1
tcls=tcl
tc2s=tc2
ccls=bcl
c2s=bc2
xs=x(ia)
x(ia)=x(ia)*1.1
go to 280
320 call printp(snart((tc1/tcls-1.)/.1,10,3),26,23,12,3)
call printp(snart((tc2/tc2s-1.)/.1,10,3),26,24,12,3)
call printp(snart((bcl/bcls-1.)/.1,10,3),66,23,12,3)
call printp(snart((bc2/bc2s-1.)/.1,10,3),66,24,12,3)
call printp("----------Elasticities----------"),33,20
99: call wscp(33,21,3,25,12)
100: call wscp(73,21,3,25,12)
101: call get(ii,i2)
102: call printp("","33,20
103: call printp("","33,21
104: x(ia)=x0
105: tcl=tcls
106: tc2=tc2s
107: bcl=bcls
108: bc2=bc2s
109: ichela=0
110: go to 280
111: c
112: c set CALC
113: c
114: if (icalc.eq.1) go to 420
115: icalc=1
116: do 410 ipt=0,3
117: call printp("CALC",76,0,48,ipt)
118: go to 200
119: icalc=0
120: do 430 ipt=0,3
121: call printp(" ",76,0,7,ipt)
122: go to 200
123: end

subroutines

chttp 44 47
chpage 80
currm 45
get 101
getam 46
printp 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38
39 63 65 68 94 95 96 97 98 102 103 117 121
take 64
wscp 99 100

integer variables

i 22 23 24 25 26
ia 21 44 45 47 51 52 54 56 58 63 65 67 68 91 92
icalc 69 76 85 114 115 119
ichela 16 86 109
ip 17 60 70 79 80
ipt 116 117 120 121
ll 101
i2  101
move  46  50
nfunc  46  48  75  76  77  78  79

real variables
bcl  89  96  107
bcls  89  96  107
bc2  90  97  108
bc2s  90  97  108
maint  11
tcl  87  94  105
tcls  87  94  105
tc2  88  95  106
tc2s  88  95  106
xs  91  104

character variables
a  3  46  49  62  63  67

integer arrays
dec  12  24  65  68
locx  12  23  24  44  45  47  63  65  68
locy  12  23  24  44  45  47  63  65  68

real arrays
x  11  24  65  67  68  91  92  104

character arrays
label  4  23

integer functions
klen  23
mod  52  54

real functions
tran  67

logical functions
clean  2  62

character functions
snart  3  24  65  68  94  95  96  97
Subroutine getam(a,im,if)
character*80 a
character*1 b
equivalence (icc,b)
data b// ""/
a=""
im=0
if=0
10 call get(ic,is)
icc=ic
11:   if (is.eq.72) im=1
12: if (is.eq.77) im=2
13: if (is.eq.80) im=3
14: if (is.eq.75) im=4
15: if (is.eq.71) im=5
16: if (is.eq.79) im=6
17: if (ic.eq.27) im=7
18: if (is.ge.59.and.is.le.68) is=58
19: if (is.eq.84.and.is.le.113) is=73
20: if (im+if.ne.0) return
21: if (ic.eq.0) go to 10
22: if (ic.eq.9.and.is.eq.15) go to 10
23: if (ic.eq.8.and.is.eq.14) go to 10
24: if (ic.eq.13.and.is.eq.28) return
25: call curp(ix1,iy)
26: ix=ix1
27: a=b
28: 20 call wsc(ix,iy,ic,7)
29: 30 ix=ix+1
30: 40 call curm(ix,iy)
31: if (ix.eq.ix1) go to 10
32: 50 call get(ic,is)
icc=ic
33: if (ic.eq.8.and.is.eq.14) go to 60
34: if (ic.eq.13.and.is.eq.28) return
35: if (is.eq.72) im=1
36: if (is.eq.77) im=2
37: if (is.eq.80) im=3
38: if (is.eq.75) im=4
39: if (is.eq.71) im=5
40: if (is.eq.79) im=6
41: if (ic.eq.27) im=7
42: if (im.gt.0) return
43: call addstg(a,b)
44: go to 20
45: 60 ix=ix-1
46: call blnk(ix,iy,ix,iy)
call setlen(a,klen(a)-1)
goto 40
50: end
subroutines

addstg  44
blnk   47
curm   30
curp   25
get    9  32
setlen 48
wsc    28

integer variables

ic    9  10  17  21  22  23  24  28  32  33  34  35  42
icc   4  10  33
im    7  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  20  36  37  38  39  40  41
   42  43
is    9  11  12  13  14  15  16  18  19  22  23  24  32  34  35
   36  37  38  39  40  41
ix    26  28  29  30  31  46  47
ixl   25  26  31
iy    25  28  30  47

character variables

a    2  6  27  44  48
b    3  4  27  44

integer functions

klen  48
Subroutine hovcom(hov1,s0gp,t0pa,t0b,cpdefn,pool2,pool3,
  lvan0,b0peb,tpool2,tpool3,vanl,b1hov)
  time=hov1*60./s0gp
  toffpa=t0pa-time
  toffb=t0b-time
  tlb=toffb+hov1*60./58.
  tlp=t0ffpa+hov1*60./58.
  if (cpdefn.eq.2.) coeff=6.7
  if (cpdefn.eq.3.) coeff=7.7
  delpa=-.203-coeff*(tlpa/t0pa-1.)+4.8*(tlb/t0b-1.)
  tpool2=pool2
  if (cpdefn.eq.2.) tpool2=pool2*(1.+delpa)
  tpool3=pool3*(1.+delpa)
  vanl=van0*(1.+delpa)
  if (cpdefn.eq.2.) coeff=1.71
  if (cpdefn.eq.3.) coeff=.435
  delb=.227+coeff*(tlpa/t0pa-1.)
  if (delb.lt.0.) delb=0.
  blhov=b0peb*(1.+delb)
  return
  end

real variables

  b0peb  19
  blhov  19
  coeff  8  9 10 15 16 17
  cpdefn 8  9 12 15 16
  delb  17 18 19
  delpa 10 12 13 14
  hov1  3  6  7
  pool2 11 12
  pool3 13
  s0gp  3
  time  3  4  5
  toffb  5  6
  toffpa  4  7
  tpool2 11 12
  tpool3 13
  t0b  5 10
  t0pa  4 10 17
  tlb  6 10
  tlp  7 10 17
  van0  14
  vanl  14
Subroutine lanes(sov,pool2,pool3,poolv,bus,
  lngpl,nhov,narts,cpdefn,prefpk,spminf,spmina,
  capg,caph,capa,pcap,
  3v,vh,vgp,vhp,vap,vgs,vhs,vas,
  4dispg,dispa,dispgs,dispas,vmaxg,vmaxh,vmaxa)
  real ngpl,nhov,narts
  common/delay/delg,delgs,dela,delas
  v=sov+pool2+pool3+poolv+bus
  vg=v
  vh=0.
  vgp=0.
  vhp=0.
  vap=0.
  vgs=0.
  vhs=0.
  vas=0.
  delg=0.
  dela=0.
  delgs=0.
  delas=0.
  if (nhov.eq.0.) go to 200
  c
  c          assign HOV vehicles
  c
  go to (200,100,110),int(cpdefn)
  100  vh=v-sov
  27:  vg=sov
  28:  go to 120
  29:  110  vh=v-sov-pool2
  30:  vg=sov+pool2
  31:  120  vhp=prefpk*vh
  32:  vhs=vh-vhp
  33:  if (vhp.le.caph*nhov) go to 200
  34:  vhp=caph*nhov
  35:  vhs=vh-vhp
  36:  c
  37:  c          assign other vehicles
  38:  c
  39:  c          split freeway and arterial traffic
  40:  c
  200  va=pcap*vg
  42:  if (va.lt.0.) va=0.
  43:  vg=vg-va
  44:  c
  45:  c          split into peak/off-peak
  46:  c
  47:  vgp=prefpk*vg
  48:  vap=prefpk*va
  49:  vgs=vg-vgp
  50:  vas=va-vap
  51:  c
c check peak capacities and compute displaced vehicles

disp=0.
disp=0.
disp=0.
if (vgp.le.capg*ngpl) go to 300
vc=vgp/(capg*ngpl)
tcapg=ngpl*vol(spmnf,capg,vmaxg)
rcapg=capg*ngpl-(vc-1.)*(capg*ngpl-tcapg)/.5
if (rcapg.lt.tcapg) rcapg=tcapg
disp=vgp-vcap

delg=15.*disp/vgp+30.*disp/(capg*ngpl-vgs/2.)
if (delg.gt.45..or.vgs/2..gt.capg*ngpl) delg=45.
vgp=vgp-disp
vgs=vgs+disp
300 if (va.eq.0.) go to 400
if (vap.le.capnarts) go to 400
vc=vap/(capa*narts)
tcapa=narts*vol(spmn,capa,vmaxa)
rcapg=capa*ngpl-(vc-1.)*(capa*narts-tcapa)/.5
if (rcapg.lt.tcapa) rcapa=tcapa
disp=vp/rcapa
dela=15.*dispa/vap+30.*dispa/(capa*narts-vgs/2.)
if (dela.gt.45..or.vgs/2..gt.capa*narts) dela=45.
vap=vap-dispa
vgs=vgsdispa

c check shoulder capacities and compute displaced vehicles

c 400 if (vgs.le.2.*capg*ngpl) go to 410
vc=vgs/(capg*ngpl)/2.
tcapg=2.*capg*ngpl*vol(spmnf,capg,vmaxg)
rcapg=2.*capg*ngpl-(vc-1.)*(2.*capg*ngpl-tcapg)/.5
if (rcapg.lt.tcapg) rcapg=tcapg

disp=vgp-rcapa
delgs=60.*dispgs/vgs+40.*dispgs/(capg*ngpl)

c 410 if (va.eq.0.) go to 420
if (vas.le.2.*capnarts) go to 420
vc=vas/(capa*narts)/2.
tcapa=2.*narts*vol(spmn,capa,vmaxa)
rcapg=2.*capa*ngpl-(vc-1.)*(2.*capa*narts-tcapa)/.5
if (rcapg.lt.tcapa) rcapa=tcapa
disp=vas-rcapa
delas=60.*dispvas+40.*disps/(capa*narts)
vas=vas-dispas
420 return
end

real variables
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>69</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>71</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>76</th>
<th>91</th>
<th>92</th>
<th>93</th>
<th>94</th>
<th>97</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capa</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capg</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caph</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpdefn</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dela</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delas</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delg</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delgs</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispa</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispas</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispg</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dispgs</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>narts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ngpl</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nhov</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pcap</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>poolv</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pool2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pool3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prefkp</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rcapa</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rcapg</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sov</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spmina</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spminf</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcapa</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcapg</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>va</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vap</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vas</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vc</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgp</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vh</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhp</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxa</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxg</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Integer Functions

`int` 25

### Real Functions

`vol` 60 71 84 93
1: Subroutine length(sov, pool2, pool3, poolv, bus, tmcar, tmvan, tmbus
   , tslave,
2:   ltssov, tlp12, tlp13, tlva
3:   n, tlbuss)
4:   tlssov= (tslave*(sov+pool2+pool3+poolv+bus)-pool2*tlp12-pool3*tlp13-poolv*tlpv-bus*tlbus)/sov
5:   tmcar= (sov*tlssov+pool2*tlp12+pool3*tlp13)/1000.
6:   tmvan= poolv*tlvan/1000.
7:   tmbuss= bus*tlbus/1000.
8:   return
9:   end

real variables

   bus     3   6
   poolv   3   5
   pool2   3   4
   pool3   3   4
   sov     3   4
   tslave  3
   tlbuss  3   6
   tlp1v   3
   tlp12   3   4
   tlp13   3   4
   tlssov  3   4
   tlvan   5
   tmbuss  6
   tmcar   4
   tmvan   5
character*80 Function plin(il,ip)
integer*1 ichl
lim=79
if (il.eq.0) lim=75
do 10 i=0,lim
   call rscp(i,il,ip,ich,natt)
   ichl=ich
  10 call putchr(plin,i+1,ichl)
return
end

subroutines

putchr 8
rscp 6

integer variables

i      5 6 8
ich    6 7
ichl   2 7 8
lim    3 4 5
natt   6

character variables

il     1 4 6
ip     1 6

character arrays

plin   1 8
Subroutine pout(fcont)
character*80 plin
data lenp/66/
character*40 fcont
character*50 line
character*9 time, mon(12), nart
data mon/"January", "February", "March", "April", "May", "June",
 "July", "August", "September", "October", "November", "December"/
if (ior(5,2,0,"plines")) go to 2
read (5,1) lenp
1 format (i10)
2 if (ioclos(5)) stop
3 call gtime(ih, im, is, ic)
4 call gdspan(month, iday, iy)
5 line = mon(month)
6 call addstg(line," ", nart(iday), ", ")
7 call addstg(line, nart(iy))
8 time = " AM"
9 if (ih.gt.11) time=" PM"
10 if (ih.gt.12) ih=ih-12
11 if (ih.eq.0) ih=12
12 call addstg(line," ", nart(ih), ": ")
13 if (im.lt.10) call addstg(line," 0")
14 call addstg(line, nart(im), time)
15 write (4,3) line, fcont
16 format (33x,"HOV Cost Model"/28x,a0//1x,a0/)
17 do 10 i=0,24
18 10 write (4,20) plin(i,0)
19 20 format (1x,a0)
20 do 30 i=2,24
21 30 write (4,20) plin(i,1)
22 31 do 40 i=1, lenp-53
23 40 write (4,50)
24 41 format (" ")
25 42 write (4,55) line, fcont
26 55 format (29x,"HOV Cost Model (cont.)"/28x,a0//1x,a0/)
27 do 60 i=0,20
28 60 write (4,20) plin(i,2)
29 61 do 70 i=2,8
30 70 write (4,20) plin(i,3)
31 71 write (4,50)
32 72 do 80 i=10,24
33 80 write (4,20) plin(i,3)
34 81 do 90 i=1, lenp-49
35 90 write (4,50)
36 return
37 end

subroutines
addstg 16 17 22 23 24
integer variables

i  27  28  30  31  32  37  38  39  40  42  43  44
ic  13
iday  14  16
ih  13  19  20  21  22
im  13  23  24
is  13
iy  14  17
lenp  10  32  44
month  14  15

character variables

fcont  4  25  35
line  5  15  16  17  22  23  24  25  35
time  6  18  19  24

character arrays

mon  6  15

logical functions

ioclos  12
ioread  9

character functions

nart  6  16  17  22  24
plin  2  28  31  38  40  43
Subroutine sovcal(sov,ptrips,pool2,pool3,poolv,bus)
sov=ptrips-2.*pool2-3.3*pool3-10.*poolv-45.*bus
return
end

real variables

bus  2
poolv  2
pool2  2
pool3  2
ptrips  2
sov  2
Function speed(vol, cap, disp, vmax)
  speed=0.
  if (cap.eq.0.) return
  if (vol.le..8004269*cap) speed=vmax-.06462069*vol*vmax/cap
  if (vol.gt..8004269*cap.and.vol.lt..96051227*cap) speed=
     11.37931*vmax-.53850575*vol*vmax/cap
  if (vol.ge..96051227*cap) speed=
     1.6908621*vmax+.86156925*vmax*sqrt(1.-vol/cap)
  if (disp.ne.0.) speed=
     1.6908621*vmax-.86156925*vmax*sqrt(1.-vol/cap)
  return
end

real variables

cap  1  3  4  5  6  7  8  10
disp 1  9
vmax 1  4  6  8  10
vol  1  4  5  6  7  8  10

real functions

speed  1  2  4  5  7  9
sqrt   8  10
Subroutine speeds(pspg, sspg, psph, ssph, pspa, sspa,
1capg, caph, capa,
2vgp, vhp, vap, vgs, vhs, vas,
3ngpl, nhov, narts,
4spminf, spmina,
5dispg, dispa, dispss, dispas,
6avspg, avsph, avspa, vmaxg, vmaxh, vmaxa, spdiff)
real ngpl, nhov, narts

c compute speeds
c
100 pspg=0.
ssph=0.
pspa=0.
sspa=0.
pspg=speed(vgp/ngpl, capg, dispg, vmaxg)
if (pspg.lt. spminf) pspg=spminf
ssph=speed(vgs/ngpl/2., capg, dispss, vmaxg)
if (ssph.lt. spminf) ssph=spminf
if (nhov.eq.0.) go to 110
psph=speed(vhp/nhov, caph, 0., vmaxh)
if (psph-pspg.gt. spdiff) psph=psph+spdiff
ssph=speed(vhs/nhov/2., caph, 0., vmaxh)
if (ssph-ssph.gt. spdiff) ssph=ssph+spdiff
110 if (narts.eq.0.) go to 120
pspa=speed(vap/narts, capa, dispa, vmaxa)
if (pspa.lt. spmina) pspa=spmina
sspa=speed(vas/narts/2., capa, dispas, vmaxa)
if (sspa.lt. spmina) sspa=spmina
120 avspg=vmaxg
if (vgp+vgs.ne.0.) avspg=(vgp*pspg+vgs*sspg)/(vgp+vgs)
avsph=vmaxh
if (vhp+vhs.ne.0.) avsph=(vhp*psph+vhs*ssph)/(vhp+vhs)
avspa=vmaxa
if (vap+vas.ne.0.) avspa=(vap*pspa+vas*sspa)/(vap+vas)
return
end

real variables

avspa 34 35
avspg 30 31
avsph 32 33
capa 26 28
capg 16 18
caph 21 23
dispa 26
dispas 28
disp 16
dispss 18
narts 8 25 26 28
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ngpl</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nhov</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pspa</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pspg</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psph</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spdff</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spminf</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sspa</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sspg</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssph</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vap</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vas</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgp</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhp</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhs</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxa</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxg</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vmaxh</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**real functions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>speed</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>28</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

8-39
Subroutine times(nhov,narts,sov,pool2,cpdefn,
  laccess,len,tpool,tvan,tbus, 
  2pspg,sspg,psph,ssph,pspa,sspa, 
  3v,vhp,vvp,vap,vgs,vhs,vas, 
  4strip,stript,ctrip,ctrips,ctript,vtrip,vtrips,vtrip,t
  tript,btrips,btript)
    real nhov,narts,len 
    c 
    compute times 
    c
  if (nhov.eq.0.) go to 110 
  bgp=access+60.*len/pspg 
  bgs=access+60.*len/sspg 
  bhp=access+60.*len/psph 
  bhs=access+60.*len/ssph 
  bap=0. 
  bas=0. 
  if (narts.eq.0.) go to 100 
  bab=access+60.*len/pspa 
  bas=access+60.*len/sspa 
  100 strip=(bgp*vgp+bap*vap)/(vgp+vap) 
    strips=(bgs*vgs+bas*vas)/(vgs+vas) 
    div=sov 
    if (cpdefn.eq.3.) div=sov+pool2 
    stript=(strip*(vgp+vap)+strips*(vgs+vas))/div 
    ctrip=bhp+tpool 
    ctrips=bhs+tpool 
    ctrip=(ctrip*vhp+ctrips*vhs)/(vhp+vhs) 
    vtrip=bhp+tvan 
    vtrips=bhs+tvan 
    vtrip=(vtrip*vhp+vtrips*vhs)/(vhp+vhs) 
    btrip=bhp+bus 
    btrips=bhs+bus 
    btrip=(btrip*vhp+btrips*vhs)/(vhp+vhs) 
    go to 130 
  110 bgp=access+60.*len/pspg 
    bgs=access+60.*len/sspg 
    bap=0. 
    bas=0. 
    if (narts.eq.0.) go to 120 
    bab=access+60.*len/pspa 
    bas=access+60.*len/sspa 
  120 st=(bgp*vgp+bap*vap)/(vgp+vap) 
    strips=(bgs*vgs+bas*vas)/(vgs+vas) 
    stript=(strip*(vgp+vap)+strips*(vgs+vas))/(vgp+vap+vgs+vas) 
    ctrip=strip+tpool 
    ctrips=strips+tpool 
    ctrip=strip+tvan 
    vtrip=strip+tvan 
    vtrips=strips+tvan 
    vtrip=strip+tvan

B-40
51: \hspace{1em} \texttt{btrip=strip+tbus}
52: \hspace{1em} \texttt{btrips=strips+tbus}
53: \hspace{1em} \texttt{btript=stript+tbus}
54: \hspace{1em} \texttt{130 return}
55: \hspace{1em} \texttt{end}

\textbf{real variables}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>access</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>41</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bap</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bas</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgp</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bgp</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bhp</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bhs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>btrip</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>btrips</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>btript</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cpdefn</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctrip</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctrips</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ctript</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>div</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>len</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>narts</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nhov</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pool2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pspa</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pspg</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psph</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sov</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssipa</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sspg</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssph</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strip</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strips</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stript</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tbus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpool</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tvan</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vap</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vas</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgp</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vgs</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhp</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vhs</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vtrip</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vtrips</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vtript</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Function vol(speed,cap,vmax)
    rat=speed/vmax
    vol=cap*(.3570128+1.8614055*rat-1.347161*rat**2)
    return
end

real variables
    cap  1  3
    rat  2  3
    speed  1  2
    vmax  1  2

real functions
    vol  1  3
APPENDIX C

LISTING OF FORTRAN PROGRAMS FOR THE PARODY MODEL
Main program

1: character*10 a
2: real loh,logp,lohov,lgp,loh
3: common/cl/v0npa,v0pa,v0hov,b0peb,b0hov,v0b,loh,v0t,t0npa,t0pa
4: 1tohov,t0b,s0gp,s0c,s0b,logp,lohov,cohv,lgp,lohov,clgp
5: common/c2/clhov,bhov,toffnp,offpa,offb,t1b,t1pa,
6: ltnpa,s1gp,eefctv,v1npa,v1pa,v1hov,vchov,v1b,hover
7: character*40 filn(20)
8: integer filnum(20)
9: character*8 fill,fil2,fil3
10: character*4 nart
11: 8 call crsoff
12: call vclear
13: call print("Pick case for analysis",0,0,14)
14: if (io.read(5,2,0,"filelist")) stop
15: read (5,2) nfil
16: 2 format (i0)
17: read (5,3) (filnum(i),filn(i),i=1,nfil)
18: 3 format (i4,a0)
19: if (io.close(5)) stop
20: do 4 i=1,nfil
21: 4 call print(filn(i),0,i+1,7)
22: call print ("F1 - delete F2 - add F3 - choose Esc -
23: 5 call chat(0,ia+1,40,15)
24: call getd(a,im,if)
25: call chat(0,ia+1,40,7)
26: if (im.eq.7) go to 80
27: if (im.eq.1) go to 110
28: if (if.eq.2) go to 120
29: if (if.eq.3) go to 130
30: go to (5,11,12,12,11,15,16),im+1
31: ia=mod(ia+nfil-2,nfil)+1
32: go to 5
33: ia=mod(ia,nfil)+1
34: 15 go to 5
35: 16 ia=nfil
36: go to 5
37: 110 nfil=nfil-1
38: 112 call bink(0,ia+1,39,ia+1)
39: if (ia.le.nfil) go to 111
40: ia=nfil
44:  go to 5
45:  111 filn(ia)=filn(ia+1)
46:  filnum(ia)=filnum(ia+1)
47:  call print(filn(ia),0,ia+1,7)
48:  ia=ia+1
49:  go to 112
50:  120 nfil=nfil+1
51:  call vcurxy(0,nfil+1)
52:  call crson
53:  call geta(filn(nfil),x,f,im)
54:  call crsoff
55:  filnum(nfil)=filnum(nfil-1)+1
56:  go to 5
57:  130 fill="dat1"
58:  call addstg(fill,nart(filnum(ia)))
59:  fill2="dat2"
60:  call addstg(fill2,nart(filnum(ia)))
61:  fill3="dat3"
62:  call addstg(fill3,nart(filnum(ia)))
63:  if (iowrit(5,2,0,"filelist")) stop
64:  write (5,131) nfil
65:  131 format (1x,i2)
66:  write (5,132) (filnum(i),filn(i),i=1,nfil)
67:  132 format (1x,i4,a0)
68:  if (ioclos(5)) stop
69:  next=1
70:  1 go to (1,10,20,30,40,50,60,70,8),next+1
71:  10 call wks1(next,fill)
72:  go to 1
73:  20 call wks2(next,fil2)
74:  go to 1
75:  30 call wks3(next,fil3)
76:  go to 1
77:  40 call wks4(next)
78:  go to 1
79:  50 call wks5(next)
80:  go to 1
81:  60 call wks6(next)
82:  go to 1
83:  70 call wks7(next)
84:  go to 1
85:  80 call crson
86:  call vclear
87:  stop
88:  end

subroutines

addstg  58 60 62
blink   41
chatt   24 26
crsoff  11  54
crson   52  85
geta    53
getd    25
print   13  21  22  47
vclear  12  86
vcurxy  51
wks1    71
wks2    73
wks3    75
wks4    77
wks5    79
wks6    81
wks7    83

integer variables

i      17  20  21  66
ia     23  24  26  32  34  36  38  41  42  43  45  46  47  48  58
       60  62
im     25  27  31  53
next   69  70  71  73  75  77  79  81  83
nfil   15  17  20  32  34  38  40  42  43  50  51  53  55  64  66

real variables

10b    2
10gp   2
10hov  2
11gp   2
11hov  2

character variables

a      1  25
fill   9  57  58  71
fil2   9  59  60  73
fil3   9  61  62  75

integer arrays

filnum  8  17  46  55  58  60  62  66

character arrays

filn   7  17  21  45  47  53  66

integer functions

mod    32  34
logical functions

ioclos 19 68
ioread 14
iowrit 63

character functions

nart 10 58 60 62
Subroutine wksl(next, fill)

real 10b, 10gp, 10hov, l1gp, l1hov
common/v0npa, v0pa, v0hov, b0peb, b0hov, v0b, l0b, v0t, t0npa, t0pa

l0hov, t0b, s0gp, s0c, s0b, 10gp, 10hov, c0gp, c0hov, l1gp, l1hov, c1gp
common/c2lclhov, blhov, toffnp, toffpa, toffb, tbl, tlpa,
ltnpa, slgp, efctr, vlnpa, vlpa, vhovl, v0hovl, v0hov, vlb, hovl

real inp(18)
integer locy(18), form(18)
data locy/2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23/
data form/-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1/
logical clean
character*8 fill
character*10 snart, a
next=2
if (loread(5, 2, 0, fill)) go to 1
read (5, 2) (inp(i), i=1, 18)
2 format (f0.0)
if (loclos(5)) stop
v0npa=inp(1)
v0pa=inp(2)
v0hov=inp(3)
b0peb=inp(4)
b0hov=inp(5)
v0b=inp(6)
v0t=inp(7)
t0npa=inp(8)
t0pa=inp(9)
t0hov=inp(10)
t0b=inp(11)
s0gp=inp(12)
s0c=inp(13)
s0b=inp(14)
l0gp=inp(15)
l0hov=inp(16)
c0gp=inp(17)
c0hov=inp(18)
if (im.eq.7) go to 100
call vclear
call print("Worksheet 1: Baseline Data", 0, 0, 14)
call print("Volumes(peak-hour)", 0, 1, 7)
call print("Automobiles, nonpriority", 5, 2, 7)
call print("Automobiles, priority-eligible", 5, 3, 7)
call print("Carpools on HOV lanes", 5, 4, 7)
call print("Buses, priority eligible", 5, 5, 7)
call print("Buses on HOV lane(s)", 5, 6, 7)
call print("Bus passengers (HOV or priority eligible)", 5, 7,
7)
call print("Bus load factor", 5, 8, 7)
call print("Trucks", 5, 9, 7)
call print("Total Travel Time(peak-hour)", 0, 10, 7)
call print("Automobiles, nonpriority",5,11,7)
call print("Automobiles, priority eligible",5,12,7)
call print("Carpools on HOV lane(s)",5,13,7)
call print("Buses (HOV or priority eligible)",5,14,7)
call print("Speed (average per hour)",0,15,7)
call print("General purpose lane(s)",5,16,7)
call print("HOV lane(s) - Carpools",5,17,7)
call print("HOV lane(s) - Buses",5,18,7)
call print("Existing Supply/Capacity",0,19,7)
call print("No. of general purpose lanes",5,20,7)
call print("No. of HOV lanes",5,21,7)
call print("Capacity, general purpose lanes",5,22,7)
call print("Capacity, HOV lanes",5,23,7)
do 10 i=1,18
10 call print(snum(i),10,form(i)),50,locy(i),7
10b=0.
buses=b0peb
if (buses.eq.0.) buses=b0hov
if (buses.ne.0.) 10b=v0b/buses
call print(snum(10b,10,1),50,8,13)
i=1
20 call chatt(5,locy(i),50,15)
call vcurxy(50,locy(i))
call geta(a,im,if)
call chatt(5,locy(i),50,7)
if (if.ge.21.and.if.le.22) go to 99
if (if.gt.0) go to 20
go to (30,31,33,33,31,35,36,1),im+1
30 if (a.eq."".) go to 33
if (.not.clean(a,nd)) go to 20
inp(i)=tran(a)
call print(snum(inp(i),10,form(i)),50,locy(i),7)
10b=0.
v0b=inp(6)
b0hov=inp(5)
b0peb=inp(4)
buses=b0peb
if (buses.eq.0.) buses=b0hov
if (buses.ne.0.) 10b=v0b/buses
call print(snum(10b,10,1),50,8,13)
go to 33
31 i=mod(i+16,18)+1
go to 20
33 i=mod(i,18)+1
go to 20
35 ia=1
go to 20
36 ia=18
go to 20
99 next=if-20
100 if (iowrit(5,2,0,fill)) stop
101:    write (5,110) (inp(i),i=1,:) 8
102:    110 format (f10.1)
103:    if (ilocos(5)) stop
104:    im=0
105:    return
106:    end

subroutines

    chatt  71  74
    geta   73
    print  39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53
            54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 64 68 81 89
    vclear  38
    vcurxy  72

integer variables

    i     16  63  64 101
    ia    70  71  72  74  80  81  91  93  95  97
    im    37  73  77 104
    nd    79
    next  14  99

real variables

    buses  66  67  68  86  87  88
    b0hov  23  67  84  87
    b0peb  22  66  85  86
    c0gp   35
    c0hov  36
    l0b    2  65  68  69  82  88  89
    l0gp   2  33
    l0hov  2  34
    l1gp   2
    l1hov  2
    s0b    32
    s0c    31
    s0gp   30
    t0b    29
    t0hov  28
    t0npa  26
    t0pa   27
    v0b    24  63  68  68
    v0hov  21
    v0npa  19
    v0pa   20
    v0t    25

character variables

C-7
a  13  73  78  79  80
fill  12  15  100

integer arrays
form  8  64  81
locy  8  64  71  72  74  81

real arrays
inp  7  16  19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  30  31
  32  33  34  35  36  64  80  81  83  84  85  101

integer functions
mod  91  93

real functions
tran  80

logical functions

clean  11  79
ioclos  18  103
ioread  15
iowrit  100

character functions
snart  13  64  69  81  89
Subroutine wks2(next,fil2)
real l0b,10gp,10hov,1lgp,1lho
common/c1/v0npa,v0pa,v0hov,b0peb,b0hov,v0b,10b,v0t,t0npa,t0pa

lt0hov,t0b,s0gp,s0c,s0b,10gp,10hov,c0gp,c0hov,1lgp,1lho,clgp
common/c2/clhov,bhov,toffnp,toffpa,toffb,tlb,tlpa,
ltl1npa,s1gp,efctr,v1npa,v1pa,v1hov,vchov,vlb,ho1
common/c4/pooldf
common/c6/hovalt
real inp(5)
integer locy(6),form(6)
data locy/4,8,9,10,11,12/
data form/-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1/
t2 integer hovalt,pooldf
data hovalt,pooldf/1,0/
logical clean
character*8 fil2
character*10 snart,a,nart
iasave=0
if (ioread(5,2,0,fil2)) go to 2
read (5,3) (inp(i),i=1,6)
format (/0.0)
read (5,4) hovalt,pooldf
format (211)
if (iocl5)) stop
2 ia=1
call vc1ear
call print("Worksheet 2: HOV policy and initial calculations"
,0,0,14)
call print("HOV alternative:",0,2,7)
call print("(change w/F1)",0,3,7)
call print("Bus only",21,2,15-4*hovalt)
call print("Bus and Carpool (Carpool size: +)",21,3,3+4*hova
lt)
call print("X",18,1+hovalt,11)
call print("HOV length:",0,4,7)
call print("Proposed supply/capacity",0,6,7)
call print(". No. of general purpose lanes",5,8,7)
call print(". No. of HOV lanes",5,9,7)
call print(". Capacity, general purpose lanes",5,10,7)
call print(". Capacity, HOV lanes",5,11,7)
call print(". Buses per hour (exogenous)",5,12,7)
call print("Existing travel times (over highway bounded by HO
V lanes)",0,14,7)
call print(". Automobiles, nonpriority",5,16,7)
call print(". Automobiles, priority eligible",5,17,7)
call print(". Buses (HOV or priority eligible)",5,18,7)
call print("Existing travel times (off highway bounded by HO
lanes)",0,20,7)
call print(". Automobiles, nonpriority",5,22,7)
call print(". Automobiles, priority eligible",5,23,7)
call print("Buses (HGV or priority eligible)",5,24,7)
if (pooldf.gt.0) call print(nart(pooldf+1),52,3,11)
  hovl=inp(1)
  llgp=inp(2)
  llhov=inp(3)
  clgp=inp(4)
  clhov=inp(5)
  blhov=inp(6)
do 10 i=1,6
  do 10 i=1,6
    t1=0.
    t2=0.
    t3=0.
  if (s0gp.eq.0.) go to 20
    t1=hovl*60./s0gp
    t2=t1
    t3=t1
  if (s0b.ne.0.) t3=hovl*60./s0b
  toffnp=t0nra-t1
  toffpa=t0pa-t2
  toffb=t0b-t3
  call print(snart(t1,10,1),50,16,13)
  call print(snart(t2,10,1),50,17,13)
  call print(snart(t3,10,1),50,18,13)
  call print(snart(toffnp,10,1),50,22,13)
  call print(snart(toffpa,10,1),50,23,13)
  call print(snart(toffb,10,1),50,24,13)
next=3
if (iasave.gt.0) ia=iasave
  iasave=0
  call chatt(0,locy(ia),60,15)
  call vcurxy(50,locy(ia))
  call geta(a,im,if)
  call chatt(0,locy(ia),60,7)
  go to (31,35,33,33,35,36,37,38),im+1
  if (a.ne."") go to 32
  if (a.eq."".and.if.eq.0) go to 33
  if (if.eq.1) go to 130
  if (if.1t.21.or.if.eq.23) go to 30
next=if-20
  go to 38
  go to (131,131,132,133,134),hovalt+pooldf
hoval=2
pooldf=1
  call chatt(21,2,15,7)
  call chatt(21,3,50,11)
  call blnk(18,2,18,2)
  call print("X",18,3,11)
go to 135
pooldf=2
go to 135
98: 133 pooldf=3
99: 135 call print (nart(pooldf+1),52,3,11)
100: go to 30
101: 134 call blnk(52,3,52,3)
102: call chatt(21,3,40,7)
103: call blnk(18,3,18,3)
104: call print("X",18,2,11)
105: pooldf=0
106: hovalt=1
107: call chatt(21,2,15,11)
108: go to 30
109: 32 if (.not.clean(a,nd)) go to 30
110: inp(ia)=tran(a)
111: call print(snart(inp(ia),10,form(ia)),50,locy(ia),7)
112: if (ia.eq.1) iasave=2
113: if (ia.eq.1) go to 1
114: 33 ia=mod(ia,6)+1
115: go to 30
116: 35 ia=mod(ia+4,6)+1
117: go to 30
118: 36 ia=1
119: go to 30
120: 37 ia=6
121: go to 30
122: 38 if (iowrit(5,2,0,fil2)) stop
123: write (5,100) (inp(i),i=1,6)
124: 100 format (f10.1)
125: write (5,101) hovalt,pooldf
126: 101 format (lx,2i1)
127: hovl=inp(1)
128: llgp=inp(2)
129: llhov=inp(3)
130: clgp=inp(4)
131: clhov=inp(5)
132: blhov=inp(6)
133: if (ioclos(5)) stop
134: return
135: end

subroutines

blnk 93 101 103
chatt 77 80 91 92 102 107
geta 79
print 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 56 68 69 70 71 72 73 94
99 104 111
vclear 26
vcurxy 78

integer variables
hovalt  13  22  30  31  32  88  89 106 125
i  20 55  56  123
ia 25  75  77  78  80 110 111 112 113 114 116 118 120
iasave 18  75  76 112
im 79  81
nd 109
next 74  80
pooldf 13  22  48  88  90  96  98  99 105 125

real variables

blhov  54  132
c1gp  52  130
c1hov  53  131
hov1  49  61  64 127
l0b  2
l0gp  2
l0hov  2
l1gp  2  50  128
l1hov  2  51 129
s0b  64
s0gp  60  61
toffb  67  73
toffnp  65  71
toffpa  66  72
t0b  67
t0npa  65
t0pa  66
t1  57  61  62  63  65  68

t2  58  62  66  69

t3  59  63  64  67  70

character variables

a  17  79  82  83 109 110
fil2  16  19 122

integer arrays

form  10  56  111
locy  10  56  77  78  80 111

real arrays

inp  9  20  49  50  51  52  53  54  56 110 111 123 127 128 129
130 131 132

integer functions

mod  114 116
real functions

tran  110

logical functions

  clean  15  109
  ioclos  24  133
  ioread  19
  iowrit  122

character functions

  nart  17  48  99
  snart  17  56  68  69  70  71  72  73  111
Subroutine wks3(next,fil3)
real 10b,10gp,10hov,1lgp,1lhov
common/cl/v0nпа,v0pa,v0hov,b0peb,b0hov,v0b,10b,v0t,t0nпа,t0pa
1t0hov,t0b,s0gp,s0c,s0b,10gp,10hov,c0gp,c0hov,1lgp,1lhov,clgp
common/c2/clhov,blhov,toffnp,toffpa,toffb,t1b,t1pa,
l1nпа,s1gp,eфctr,v1nпа,v1pa,v1hov,vchov,v1b,hovl
common/c3/genlan
common/c5/s1hov
integer buson,autoon,genlan
data buson,autoon,genlan/1,1,1/
character*10 a,snart
character*8 fil3
if (ioread(5,2,0,fil3)) go to 3
read(5,2) buson,autoon,genlan
2 format (3i1)
if (ioclos(5)) stop
next=4
call vclear
call print("Worksheet 3: Estimate travel times - forecast per
tod",0,0,14)
call print("Buses on or eligible to use HOV lanes (F1 to chan
ges)",0,2,7)
call print("Buses already on HOV",11,4,15-4*buson)
call print("Buses will be eligible to use HOV",11,5,3+4*buson
) 
call print("HOV bus travel time ",5,7,7)
call print("Autos on or eligible to use HOV lanes (F2 to chan
ges)",0,9,7)
call print("Autos already on HOV",11,11,15-4*autoon)
call print("Autos will be eligible to use HOV",11,12,3+4*autoon)
call print("HOV auto travel time ",5,14,7)
call print("Autos on general purpose lanes (F3 to change)",0,16,7)
call print("Capacity reduction or bus only lane",11,18,15-4*genlan)
call print("Capacity same and carpools granted priority",11,19,3+4*genlan)
call print("general lane travel speed ",5,21,7)
call print("general lane travel time ",5,22,7)
call print("eligibility factor ",5,24,7)
call blink(5,4,5,5)
call blink(5,11,5,12)
call blink(5,18,5,19)
call chatt(11,6-buson,45,7)
call chatt(11,13-autoon,45,7)
call chatt(11,20-genlan,45,7)
call print("X",5,3+buson,11)
call print("X",5,10+autoon,11)
call print("X",5,17+genlan,11)

C-14
CALL CHATT(11,3+BUSON,45,11)
CALL CHATT(11,10+AUTOON,45,11)
CALL CHATT(11,17+GENLAN,45,11)
IF (SLHOV.LT.0.) GO TO 7
IF (S0G.GT.0.) SLHOV=S0G
IF (S0G.EQ.0.) SLHOV=55.
    7 IF (SLHOV.LT.0.) SLHOV=-SLHOV
TLB=TLB
    IF (BUSON.EQ.2) TLB=T0FFB+HOV1*60./SLHOV
TLPA=T0H0V
    IF (AUTOON.EQ.2) TLPA=T0FFPA+HOV1*60./SLHOV
TLNP=TNPA
    IF (SLGP.LT.0.) GO TO 4
SLGP=S0GP
    IF (GENLAN.EQ.1) GO TO 5
SLGP=60./(1.+((V0NP+V0PA)/CLGP)**15)
speed=S0C
    IF (S0C.EQ.0) SPEED=S0B
    IF (SLGP.GT.SPEED.AND.SPEED.NE.0) SLGP=SPEED
    4 IF (SLGP.LT.0.) SLGP=-SLGP
TLNP=TOFFNP+HOV1*60./SLGP
    5 EFCR=LLGP*(V0NP+V0PA+2.*B0PEB)/10GP/V0NP
CALL PRINT(SNART(TLB,10,1,26,7,13)
CALL PRINT(SNART(TLPA,10,1,27,14,13)
CALL PRINT(SNART(SLGP,10,1,33,21,13)
CALL PRINT(SNART(TLNP,10,1,32,22,13)
CALL PRINT(SNART(EFCR,10,3,26,24,13)
    10 CALL GETD(A,IM,IF)
    IF (IM.EQ.7) GO TO 20
    IF (IM.EQ.0) GO TO 10
    IF (IM.EQ.1) BUSON=3-BUSON
    IF (IM.EQ.2) AUTOON=3-AUTOON
    IF (IM.EQ.3) GENLAN=3-GENLAN
    IF (IM.LT.4) GO TO 1
    IF (IM.LT.21.OR.IM.GT.24) GO TO 10
    NEXT=IF-20
    20 IF (IOWRIT(5,2,0,FL3)) STOP
    WRITE (5,30) BUSON,AUTOON,GENLAN
    30 FORMAT (1X,3I1)
    IF (I0C0S(5)) STOP
    RETURN
    END
SUBROUTINES
        Blinks  34  35  36
        CHATT   37  38  39  43  44  45
        GETD    70
        PRINT   19  20  21  22  23  24  25  26  27  28  29  30  31  32  33
                40  41  42  65  66  67  68  69
        WCLEAR  18

C-15
integer variables

autoon  9 14 25 26 38 41 44 53 74 80
buson  9 14 21 22 37 40 43 51 73 80
genlan 9 14 29 30 39 42 45 57 75 80
im     70 71
next   17 78

real variables

b0peb  64
c1gp  58
efctr  64 69
hovl  51 53 63
10b    2
10gp   2 64
10hov  2
11gp   2 64
11hov  2
speed  59 60 61
s0b    47 48 60
s0c    59 60
s0gp   56
slgp   55 56 58 61 62 63 67
slhov  46 47 48 49 51 53
toffb  51
toffnp 63
toffpa 53
t0b    50
t0hov  52
t0npa  54
tlb    50 51 65
tlnpa  54 63 68
tlpa   52 53 66
v0npa  58 64
v0pa   58 64

c

character variables

a      11 70
fil3   12 13 79

logical functions

ioclos 16 82
ioread 13
iowrit 79

character functions
Subroutine wks4(next)
real 10b,10gp,10hov,11gp,11hov
common/c1/v0npa,v0pa,v0hov,b0peb,b0hov,v0b,10b,v0t,t0npa,t0pa,
l0hov,t0b,s0gp,s0c,s0b,10gp,10hov,c0gp,c0hov,11gp,11hov,clgp
common/c2/clhov,b1hov,teffnp,t0ffp,teffb,t1b,t1pa,
l1npa,slgp,efcfr,vlnpa,v1pa,v1hov,vchov,v1b,hov1
common/c3/genlan
common/c4/pooldf
character*10 snart,a
integer genlan,pooldf
next=5
call vclear
call print ("Worksheet 4: Forecast nonpriority auto volume",0,
,0,14)
call print ("new volume =",5,2,7)
call print ("new v/c ratio =",5,4,7)
10 coeff=1.19
if (pooldf.ge.2) coeff=.122
delta=-.916-1.053*(tlnpa/t0npa-1.)*coeff*(t1pa/t0pa-1.)+.278*
(tlb/t0b-1.)+.949*efcfr
vlnpa=(1.+delta)*v0npa
call print (snart(vlnpa,10,-1),17,2,13)
if (genlan.ne.1) go to 20
14 call print("force flow conditions",5,6,10)
call print("go to worksheet 5",5,7,10)
call blnk(5,4,50,4)
15 call getd(a,im,if)
if (im.eq.7) return
if (if.ge.21.and.if.le.25) go to 50
go to 15
20 vc=vlnpa/clgp
call print(snart(vc,10,2),20,4,13)
if (vc.ge.1.) go to 30
slnew=60./(1.+vc**15)
if (abs(slnew/slp-1.).lt..1) go to 25
call print("has not reached equilibrium",5,6,12)
call print("repeat worksheet 3",5,7,12)
next=3
slgp=-slnew
call getd(a,im,if)
if (im.eq.7) return
if (if.ge.21.and.if.le.25) go to 50
go to 22
25 call print("equilibrium achieved",5,6,10)
call print("go to worksheet 5",5,7,10)
call getd(a,im,if)
if (im.eq.7) return
if (if.ge.21.and.if.le.25) go to 50
go to 26
30 call print("v/c > 1, use force flow conditions",5,6,12)
49: call print("repeat worksheet 4 (hit any key),",5,7,12)
50: 32 call get(il,i2)
51: call b1nk(5,6,60,7)
52: 35 tlnpa=t0npa
53: slgp=s0gp
54: genlan=1
55: go to 10
56: 50 next=if-20
57: return
58: end

subroutines

blnk  24  51
get  50
getd  25  38  44
print  13  14  15  20  22  23  30  34  35  42  43  48  49
vclear  12

integer variables

genlan  10  21  54
im  25  26  38  39  44  45
il  50
i2  50
next  11  36  56
pooldf  10  17

real variables

coeff  16  17  18
clgp  29
delta  18  19
efctr  18
lo\textbf{b}  2
lo\textbf{gp}  2
lo\textbf{hov}  2
llgp  2
llhov  2
so\textbf{gp}  53
slgp  33  37  53
slnew  32  33  37
t0\textbf{b}  18
t0\textbf{n}  18  52
t0\textbf{pa}  18
t1\textbf{b}  18
tinpa  18  52	ipa  18
vc  29  30  31  32
v0\textbf{n}  19
vin\textbf{pa}  19  20  29
character variables
   a   9  25  38  44

real functions
   abs  33

character functions
   snart  9  20  30
Subroutine wks5(next)
real 10b, 10gp, 10hov, 11gp, 11hov
common/cl/v0npa, v0pa, v0hov, b0peb, b0hov, v0b, 10b, v0t, t0npa, t0pa

lt0hov, t0b, s0gp, s0c, s0b, 10gp, 10hov, c0gp, c0hov, 11gp, 11hov, c1gp
common/c2/chi0v, b1hov, tofnp, toffpa, toffb, t1b, t1pa,
lt1npa, slgp, efctr, vl1npa, vlpa, v1hov, v1hov, v1hov, v1hov
common/c4/pooldf
common/c5/slho
character*10 snart, a
integer pooldf
next=6

call vclear

call print("Worksheet 5: Forecast priority auto volume",0,0,1)

call print("priority auto volume =",5,2,7)
call print("total carpools on HOV lane =",5,4,7)
if (pooldf.eq.1) go to 10
delpa=-.203-7.7*(t1pa/t0pa-1.)+4.8*(t1b/t0b-1.)
vlpa=v0pa*(1.+delpa)
v1hov=vlpa
go to 20

10 if (t0hov.eq.0.) go to 15
delhov=-.203-7.7*(t1pa/t0hov-1.)+4.8*(t1b/t0b-1.)
delpa=-.203-6.7*(t1pa/t0pa-1.)+4.8*(t1b/t0b-1.)
vlpa=v0pa*(1.+delpa)
v1hov=vlpa+v0hov*(1.+delhov)
go to 20

15 delpa=-.203-6.7*(t1pa/t0pa-1.)+4.8*(t1b/t0b-1.)
vlpa=v0pa*(1.+delpa)
v1hov=vlpa

20 call print(snart(vlpa,10,-1),28,2,13)
call print(snart(v1hov,10,-1),34,4,13)
buses=b0hov
if (buses.eq.0.) buses=b0peb
vc=(v1hov+buses)/clho
call print("v/c ratio =",5,6,7)
call print(snart(vc,10,2),17,6,13)
if (vc.gt..8.) go to 30
call print("level of service on HOV lanes OK",5,8,10)
call print("go to worksheet 6",5,9,10)
go to 40

30 call print("level of service on HOV lanes insufficient",5,8,
12)
call print("repeat worksheet 3 using revised speed",5,9,12)
slhov=60./(1+vc**0.15)
if (slhov.lt.slgp) slhov=slgp
slhov=-slhov
next=3

call getd (a, im, if)
if (im.eq.7) return
49: if (if.lt.21.or.if.gt.26) go to 40
50: next=if-20
51: return
52: end

subroutines

getd 47
print 13 14 15 30 31 35 36 38 39 41 42
vclear 12

integer variables

im 47 48
next 11 46 50
pooldf 10 16

real variables

buses 32 33 34
b0hov 32
b0peb 33
c1hov 34
delhov 22 25
delpa 17 18 23 24 27 28
10b 2
10gp 2
10hov 2
11gp 2
11hov 2
slgp 44
slhov 43 44 45
t0b 17 22 23 27
t0hov 21 22
t0pa 17 23 27
tlb 17 22 23 27
tlpa 17 22 23 27
vc 34 36 37 43
v0hov 25
v0pa 18 24 28
vlhov 19 25 29 31 34
vlpa 18 19 24 25 28 29 30

character variables

a 9 47

character functions

snart 9 30 31 36
Subroutine wks6(next)
real 10b,10gp,10hov,11gp,11hov
common/c1/v0npa,v0pa,v0hov,b0peb,b0hov,v0b,10b,v0t,10npa,t0pa

lt0hov,t0b,s0gp,s0c,s0b,10gp,10hov,c0gp,c0hov,11gp,11hov,clgp
common/c2/clhov,blhov,t0ffnp,t0ffpa,t0ffb,t1b,t1pa,
l1npa,s1gp,efct,vlnpa,vipa,vihov,vchov,v1b,hovl
common/c4/pooldf
common/c6/hovalt
integer pooldf,hovalt,busopt
character*10 a,snart
if (hovalt.eq.1.and.blhov.eq.0.) busopt=1
if (hovalt.eq.1.and.blhov.ne.0.) busopt=2
if (hovalt.eq.2.and.pooldf.eq.1) busopt=4
if (hovalt.eq.2.and.pooldf.gt.1) busopt=3
next=7
call vclear
call print("Worksheet 6: Forecast priority bus volume",0,0,14)

call print("Bus options",0,2,7)
call print("Bus only on HOV lane (bus supply determined
dergonously)",5,4,7)
call print("Bus only on HOV lane (bus supply determined
dexogenously)",5,5,7)
call print("Buses and 3+ or 4+ person carpools on HOV lane",5,6,7)
call print("Buses and 2+ person carpools on HOV lane",5,7,7)
call print("Priority bus passenger volume =",5,9,7)
call print("Priority bus volume =",5,11,7)
call blnk(5,4,5,7)
call print("X",5,3+busopt,11)
call chatt(10,3+busopt,60,11)
go to (10,20,30,40),busopt
10 delb=-1.404*(t1b/t0b-1.)
go to 50
20 delb=0.
30 if (b0peb.ne.0.) delb=-.303*(t1b/t0b-1.)+.422*(blhov/b0peb-1.)
go to 50
34 delb=.227+.435*(t1pa/t0pa-1.)
go to 50
36 delb=.227+1.71*(t1pa/t0pa-1.)
50 vlb=v0b*(1.+delb)
if (busopt.eq.2) go to 55
if (blhov.eq.0.) blhov=vlb/10b
55 call print(snart(vlb,10,-1),37,9,13)
call print(snart(blhov,10,-1),27,11,13)
60 call print("go to worksheet 7",5,13,10)
61 call getd(a,im,if)
if (if.ge.21.and.if.1e.27) go to 70
45:       if (im.eq.7) return
46:       go to 61
47:  70 next=if-20
48:       return
49:       end

subroutines

  blnk  25
  chatt 27
  getd  43
  print 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 26 40 41 42
  vclear 16

integer variables

  busopt  9 11 12 13 14 26 27 28 38
  hovalt  9 11 12 13 14
  im      43 45
  next    15 47
  pooldf  9 13 14

real variables

  b0peb   32
  blhov   11 12 32 39 41
  delb    29 31 32 34 36 37
  l0b     2 39
  l0gp    2
  l0hov   2
  l1gp    2
  l1hov   2
  t0b     29 32
  t0pa    34 36
  t1b     29 32
  t1pa    34 36
  v0b     37
  v1b     37 39 40

character variables

  a      10 43

character functions

  snart  10 40 41
Subroutine wks7(next)
real 10b, 10gp, 10hov, 11gp, 11hov
common/c1/v0npa, v0pa, v0hov, b0peb, b0hov, v0b, 10b, v0t, t0npa, t0pa

10hov, t0b, s0gp, s0c, s0b, 10gp, 10hov, c0gp, c0hov, 11gp, 11hov, c1gp
common/c2/clhov, blhov, toffnp, toffpa, toffb, tlb, tlpa,
1ltlnpa, slgp, efctr, vlnpa, vlpa, v1hov, vchov, vlb, hovl
character*10 a, snart
character*16 type
character*1 nart
common/c4/pooldf
integer pooldf
common/c5/s1hov
type=""
call addstg(type, nart(pooldf+1), "+ occupants")
next=8

call vclear
call print("Worksheet 7: Summary results", 0, 0, 14)
call print("Volumes (peak-hour)", 0, 2, 7)
call print("Automobiles, nonpriority", 5, 4, 7)
call print("Carpools", 5, 5, 7)
call print(type, 16, 5, 7)
call print("Bus", 5, 6, 7)
call print("Bus passengers", 5, 7, 7)
call print("Total travel time (peak-hour)", 0, 9, 7)
call print("Automobiles, nonpriority", 5, 11, 7)
call print("Carpools", 5, 12, 7)
call print(type, 16, 12, 7)
call print("Buses", 5, 13, 7)
call print("Speeds (average peak hour)", 0, 15, 7)
call print("General purpose lane(s)", 5, 17, 7)
call print("HOV lane(s)", 5, 18, 7)
call print("Person-trips (peak hour)", 0, 20, 7)
call print("Before", 40, 1, 7)
call print("After", 50, 1, 7)
call print("% change", 60, 1, 7)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 4, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 5, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 6, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 7, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 11, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 12, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 13, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 17, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 50, 18, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 40, 4, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 40, 5, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 40, 6, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 40, 7, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 40, 11, 13)
call print(s1hov, 10, -1), 40, 12, 13)

C-25
call print(snart(t0b,10,1),40,13,13)
call print(snart(s0gp,10,1),40,17,13)
call print(snart(s0hov,10,1),40,18,13)
if (pooldf.eq.1) facnpa=1.1
if (pooldf.eq.2) facnca=1.1
if (pooldf.eq.3) facnca=1.3
if (pooldf.eq.4) facpa=2.3
if (pooldf.eq.2) facpa=3.8
if (pooldf.eq.3) facpa=5.0
perbef=v0npa*facnpa+(v0hov+v0pa)*facpa+v0b+v0t
peraft=v1npa*facnpa+v1hov*facpa+v1b+v0t
call print(snart(perbef,10,-1),40,20,13)
call print(snart(peraft,10,-1),50,20,13)
call perprn(v1npa,v0npa,4)
call perprn(v1hov,v0hcv+v0pa,5)
call perprn(b1hov,b0hcv+b0peb,6)
call perprn(v1b,v0b,7)
call perprn(t1npa,t0nca,11)
call perprn(t1pa,t0pa,12)
call perprn(t1b,t0b,13)
call perprn(s1g,p,s0gp,17)
call perprn(s1hov,s0hcv,18)
call perprn(peraft,perbef,20)
call getd(a,im,if)
if (im.eq.7) return
if (if.lt.21.or.if.gt.28) go to 10
next=if-20
return
end

subroutines
addstg 14
getd 74
perprn 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73
print 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 62 63
vclear 16

integer variables
im 74 75
next 15 77
pooldf 11 14 54 55 56 57 58 59

real variables
b0hov 47 66
b0peb 47 66
b1hov 38 66
facnpa  54  55  56  60  61
facpa  57  58  59  60  61
l0b    2
l0gp   2
l0hov  2
l1gp   2
l1hov  2
peraft 61  63  73
perbef 60  62  73
s0gp   52  71
s0hov  53  72
s1gp   43  71
s1hov  44  72
t0b    51  70
t0npa  49  68
t0pa   50  69
t1b    42  70
t1npa  40  68
t1pa   41  69
v0b    48  60  67
v0hov  46  60  65
v0npa  45  60  64
v0pa   46  60  65
v0t    60  61
v1b    39  61  67
v1hov  37  61  65
v1npa  36  61  64

character variables

   a     7  74
  type  8  13  14  21  27

character functions

 nart  9  14
 snart 7  36  37  38  39  40  41  42  43  44  45  46  47  48  49
      50  51  52  53  62  63