Chapter 8  Walls and Buried Structures

8.1  Retaining Walls ................................................................. 8-1
  8.1.1  General ................................................................. 8-1
  8.1.2  Common Types of Retaining Walls ............................... 8-2
  8.1.3  General Design Considerations .................................. 8-4
  8.1.4  Design of Reinforced Concrete Cantilever Retaining Walls... 8-4
  8.1.5  Design of Cantilever Soldier Pile and Soldier Pile Tieback Walls... 8-8
  8.1.6  Design of Structural Earth Walls ................................ 8-12
  8.1.7  Design of Standard Plan Geosynthetic Walls .................. 8-12
  8.1.8  Design of Soil Nail Walls ......................................... 8-12
  8.1.9  Scour of Retaining Walls ......................................... 8-12
  8.1.10 Miscellaneous Items .............................................. 8-16

8.2  Noise Barrier Walls ......................................................... 8-20
  8.2.1  General ................................................................. 8-20
  8.2.2  Loads ................................................................. 8-20
  8.2.3  Design ................................................................. 8-21

8.3  Buried Structures .......................................................... 8-24
  8.3.1  General ................................................................. 8-24
  8.3.2  WSDOT Designed Standard Culverts ............................ 8-24
  8.3.3  General Design Requirements ................................... 8-24
  8.3.4  Design of Box Culverts ........................................... 8-27
  8.3.5  Design of Precast Reinforced Concrete Three-Sided Structures... 8-28
  8.3.6  Design of Detention Vaults ...................................... 8-29
  8.3.7  Design of Tunnels ................................................ 8-31

8.4  Bridge Standard Drawings .............................................. 8-32

8.5  Appendices ................................................................. 8-33
  Appendix 8.1-A1  Summary of Design Specification Requirements for Walls 8-34

8.99  References ................................................................. 8-37
8.1 Retaining Walls

8.1.1 General

A retaining wall is a structure built to provide lateral support for a mass of earth or other material where a grade separation is required. Retaining walls depend either on their own weight, their own weight plus the additional weight of laterally supported material, or on a tieback system for their stability. Additional information is provided in the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15.

Standard designs for noise barrier walls (precast concrete, cast-in-place concrete, or masonry), and geosynthetic walls are shown in the Standard Plans. The Region Design PE Offices are responsible for preparing the PS&E for retaining walls for which standard designs are available, in accordance with the *Design Manual* M 22-01. However, the Bridge and Structures Office may prepare PS&E for such standard type retaining walls if such retaining walls are directly related to other bridge structures being designed by the Bridge and Structures Office.

Structural earth wall (SE) systems meeting established WSDOT design and performance criteria have been listed as “preapproved” by the Bridge and Structures Office and the Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Branch. The PS&E for “preapproved” structural earth wall systems shall be coordinated by the Region Design PE Office with the Bridge and Structures Office, and the Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Branch, in accordance with *Design Manual* M 22-01.

The PS&E for minor non-structural retaining walls, such as rock walls, gravity block walls, and gabion walls, are prepared by the Region Design PE Offices in accordance with the *Design Manual* M 22-01, and any other design input from the Region Materials Office, Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Branch or Geotechnical Engineer.

Temporary retaining walls are defined as walls that are in service or have a design life of three years or less. Any retaining wall that is expected to be in service for more than three years shall be designed for seismic loading. Temporary retaining walls shall be designed in accordance with the requirements of the current editions of the AASHTO LRFD and interims, WSDOT *Bridge Design Manual* including all design memorandums, and the WSDOT *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15.

All other retaining walls not covered by the Standard Plans such as reinforced concrete cantilever walls with attached traffic barriers, soil nail walls, soldier pile walls, soldier pile tieback walls and all walls beyond the scope of the designs tabulated in the Standard Plans, are designed by the Bridge and Structures Office according to the design parameters provided by the Geotechnical Engineer.

The Hydraulics Branch of the Design Office should be consulted for walls that are subject to floodwater or are located in a flood plain. The State Bridge and Structures Architect should review the architectural features and visual impact of the walls during the Preliminary Design stage. The designer is also directed to the retaining walls chapter in the *Design Manual* M 22-01 and *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15, which provide valuable information on the design of retaining walls.
8.1.2 Common Types of Retaining Walls

The majority of retaining walls used by WSDOT are one of the following five types:


Other wall systems, such as secant pile or cylinder pile walls, may be used based on the recommendation of the Geotechnical Engineer. These walls shall be designed in accordance with the current AASHTO LRFD.

A. Preapproved Proprietary Walls

A wall specified to be supplied from a single source (patented, trademark, or copyright) is a proprietary wall. Walls are generally preapproved for heights up to 33 feet. The Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Division will make the determination as to which preapproved proprietary wall system is appropriate on a case-by-case basis. The following is a description of the most common types of proprietary walls:

1. Structural Earth Walls (SE)

A structural earth wall is a flexible system consisting of concrete face panels or modular blocks that are held rigidly into place with reinforcing steel strips, steel mesh, welded wire, or geogrid extending into a select backfill mass. These walls will allow for some settlement and are best used for fill sections. The walls have two principle elements:

- Backfill or wall mass: a granular soil with good internal friction (i.e. gravel borrow).
- Facing: precast concrete panels, precast concrete blocks, or welded wire (with or without vegetation).

Design heights in excess of 33 feet shall be approved by the Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Division. If approval is granted, the designer shall contact the individual structural earth wall manufacturers for design of these walls before the project is bid so details can be included in the Plans. See Bridge Standard Drawing 8.1-A2 for details that need to be provided in the Plans for manufacturer designed walls.

A list of current preapproved proprietary wall systems is provided in the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Appendix 15-D. For additional information see the retaining walls chapter in the *Design Manual* M 22-01 and *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15. For the SEW shop drawing review procedure see *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15.
2. **Other Proprietary Walls**

Other proprietary wall systems such as crib walls, bin walls, or precast cantilever walls, can offer cost reductions, reduce construction time, and provide special aesthetic features under certain project specific conditions.

A list of current preapproved proprietary wall systems and their height limitations is provided in the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Appendix 15-D. The Region shall refer to the retaining walls chapter in the *Design Manual* M 22-01 for guidelines on the selection of wall types. The Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Division and the Bridge and Structures Office Preliminary Plans Unit must approve the concept prior to development of the PS&E.

**B. Geosynthetic Wrapped Face Walls**

Geosynthetic walls use geosynthetics for the soil reinforcement and part of the wall facing. Use of geosynthetic walls as permanent structures requires the placement of a cast-in-place, precast or shotcrete facing. Details for construction are shown in Standard Plans D-3.09, D-3.10 and D-3.11.

**C. Reinforced Concrete Cantilever Walls**

Reinforced concrete cantilever walls consist of a base slab footing from which a vertical stem wall extends. These walls are suitable for heights up to 35 feet. Details for construction and the maximum bearing pressure in the soil are given in the Standard Plans D-10.10 to D-10.45.

A major disadvantage of these walls is the low tolerance to post-construction settlement, which may require use of deep foundations (shafts or piling) to provide adequate support.

**D. Soldier Pile Walls and Soldier Pile Tieback Walls**

Soldier Pile Walls utilize wide flange steel members, such as W or HP shapes. The piles are usually spaced 6 to 10 feet apart. The main horizontal members are timber lagging, precast concrete lagging or cast in place concrete fascia panels which are designed to transfer the soil loads to the piles. For additional information see WSDOT *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15. See Bridge Standard Drawing 8.1-A3 for typical soldier pile wall details.

**E. Soil Nail Walls**

The basic concept of soil nailing is to reinforce and strengthen the existing ground by installing steel bars called “nails” into a slope or excavation as construction proceeds from the “top down”. Soil nailing is a technique used to stabilize moving earth, such as a landslide, or as temporary shoring. Soil anchors are used along with the strength of the soil to provide stability. The Geotechnical Engineer designs the soil nail system whereas the Bridge and Structures Office designs the wall fascia. Presently, the FHWA Publication FHWA-NHI-14-007 "Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 7 Soil Nail Walls" is being used for structural design of the fascia. See Bridge Standard Drawing 8.1-A4 for typical soil nail wall details.
8.1.3 **General Design Considerations**

All designs shall follow procedures as outlined in AASHTO LRFD Chapter 11, the *Geotechnical Design Manual* M 46-03. See Appendix 8.1-A1 for a summary of design specification requirements for walls.

All construction shall follow procedures as outlined in the WSDOT *Standard Specifications*, latest edition.

The Geotechnical Engineer will provide the earth pressure diagrams and other geotechnical design requirements for special walls to be designed by the Bridge and Structures Office. Pertinent soil data will also be provided for preapproved proprietary structural earth walls (SEW), non-standard reinforced concrete retaining walls, and geosynthetic walls.

8.1.4 **Design of Reinforced Concrete Cantilever Retaining Walls**

A. **Standard Reinforced Concrete Cantilever Retaining Walls**

The Standard Plan reinforced concrete retaining walls have been designed in accordance with the requirements of the AASHTO LRFD 4th Edition 2007 and interims through 2008.

1. **Western Washington Walls (Types 1 through 4)**

   a. The seismic design of Standard Plan D-10.10 and D-10.15 was completed using an effective Peak Ground Acceleration of 0.51g. The seismic design of Standard Plan D-10.20 and D-10.25 was completed using an effective Peak Ground Acceleration of 0.32g. Extreme Event stability of the wall was based on 100 percent of the wall inertia force combined with 50 percent of the seismic earth pressure.

   b. Active Earth pressure distribution was linearly distributed per Section 7.7.4. The corresponding Ka values used for design were 0.24 for wall Types 1 and 2, and 0.36 for Types 3 and 4.

   c. Seismic Earth pressure distribution was uniformly distributed in accordance with *Geotechnical Design Manual* M 46-03, Nov. 2008 Section 15.4.2.9, and was supplemented by AASHTO LRFD (Figure 11.10.7.1-1). The corresponding Kae values used for design were 0.43 for Types 1 and 2, and 0.94 for Types 3 and 4.

   d. Passive Earth pressure distribution was linearly distributed. The corresponding Kp value used for design was 1.5 for all walls. For Types 1 and 2, passive earth pressure was taken over the depth of the footing. For Types 3 and 4, passive earth pressure was taken over the depth of the footing and the height of the shear key.

   e. The retained fill was assumed to have an angle of internal friction of 36 degrees and a unit weight of 130 pounds per cubic foot. The friction angle for sliding stability was assumed to be 32 degrees.

   f. Load factors and load combinations used in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Sections 3.4.1-1 and 2. Stability analysis performed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 11.6.3 and C11.5.5-1& 2.
g. Wall Types 1 and 2 have not been designed for 42 inch traffic barrier height collision forces. The Standard Plans D-15.10, D-15.20 and D-15.30 are no longer consistent with WSDOT Bridge and Structures Office traffic barrier height policy and shall not be used on any Standard Plan retaining wall.

2. Eastern Washington Walls (Types 5 through 8)
   a. The seismic design of these walls has been completed using and effective Peak Ground Acceleration of 0.2g. Extreme Event stability of the wall was based on 100 percent of the wall inertia force combined with 50 percent of the seismic earth pressure.
   b. Active Earth pressure distribution was linearly distributed in accordance with Section 7.7.4. The corresponding Ka values used for design were 0.36 for wall Types 5 and 6, and 0.24 for Types 7 and 8.
   c. Seismic Earth pressure distribution was uniformly distributed in accordance with Geotechnical Design Manual Section 15.4.2.9, and was supplemented by AASHTO LRFD Figure 11.10.7.1-1. The corresponding Kae values used for design were 0.55 for Types 5 and 6, and 0.30 for Types 7 and 8.
   d. Passive Earth pressure distribution was linearly distributed, and was taken over the depth of the footing and the height of the shear key. The corresponding Kp value used for design was 1.5 for all walls.
   e. The retained fill was assumed to have an angle of internal friction of 36 degrees and a unit weight of 130 pounds per cubic foot. The friction angle for sliding stability was assumed to be 32 degrees.
   f. Load factors and load combinations used in accordance with AASHTO LRFD 3.4.1-1 & 2. Stability analysis performed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 11.6.3 and C11.5.5-1 & 2.
   g. Wall Types 7 and 8 have not been designed for 42 inch traffic barrier height collision forces. The Standard Plans D-15.10, D-15.20 and D-15.30 are no longer consistent with WSDOT Bridge and Structures Office traffic barrier height policy and shall not be used on any Standard Plan retaining wall.

B. Non-Standard Reinforced Concrete Retaining Walls
   1. Bearing Resistance, Eccentricity, and Sliding Stability
      For sliding, the passive resistance in the front of the footing may be considered if the earth is more than 2 feet deep on the top of the footing and does not slope downward away from the wall. Otherwise, the passive resistance shall be ignored above the bottom of the footing for the Strength Limit States and ignored above the top of the footing for the Extreme Event Limit States.
      The design soil bearing pressure at the toe of the footing shall not exceed the factored soil bearing capacity supplied by the Geotechnical Engineer.
   2. Application of Lateral Loads
      The lateral loads for reinforced concrete retaining walls with a horizontal backfill shall be applied as shown in Figure 8.1.4-1.
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The lateral loads for reinforced concrete retaining walls with a sloping backfill shall be applied as shown in Figure 8.1.4-2.

a. The sloped backfill can be a 2H:1V maximum slope with a limited surcharge height (broken back backfill) or a 3H:1V maximum slope with no surcharge height (infinite backfill).

b. For the broken back backfill condition, the slope angle $\beta^*$ is based on the AASHTO LRFD Figure C3.11.5.8.1-1.

c. The wall backfill interface friction angle is $\delta = \frac{2}{3} \phi_f$ but not greater than $\beta$ or $\beta^*$ which is consistent with the Coulomb wedge theory.

3. Application of Collision Loads

For walls with traffic barriers constructed integral with the wall stem, the vehicular collision load shall be included in the design. To ensure that any failure due to the collision remains in the barrier section, the top of the wall stem shall have sufficient resistance to force the yield line failure pattern to remain within the barrier. The top of the wall stem shall be designed in accordance with the requirement of the AASHTO LRFD Article A13.4.

As shown in Figures 8.1.4-3 and 8.1.4-4, the collision force (CT, Ft) is assumed to be distributed over the longitudinal length ($L_t$) at the top of the traffic barrier and is assumed to distribute downward to the top of the footing at a 45 degree angle. See AASHTO LRFD Table A13.2-1 for $L_t$ and $F_t$ values. The distribution of the collision force in the footing shall be the distance between expansion joints.

For the Extreme Event II Limit State, the load factor, $\gamma_p$, for EH is 1.0 to account for the dynamic nature of the collision load.

4. Wall Footing Structural Design

Refer to Section 7.7 for additional footing structural design criteria. The General Footing Criteria provided in Section 7.7.1 shall be applicable to both retaining wall footings and leveling pads. For footings with steps, the bottom of the footing step is to be sloped no steeper than 1H:2V (See Std. Plan D-2.04 for an example). Footings with 90 degree steps at the bottom of the footing shall not be permitted.

The minimum reinforcement criteria for bottom reinforcement of #6 bars at 12" centers and top reinforcement of #5 bars at 12" centers required in Section 7.7.4F is not applicable to retaining wall footings.

The structural design of the footing shall assume a triangular or trapezoidal bearing pressure distribution in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD Article 10.6.5.

When designing the transverse reinforcement located in the bottom of the footing, the contribution of the soil located over the toe of the footing shall be ignored.

When designing the transverse reinforcement located in the top of the footing, the contribution of the bearing pressure under the footing shall be ignored.

Control of cracking by distribution of reinforcement as specified in AASHTO LRFD Article 5.6.7 shall be checked for the top and bottom face of the footing.
For retaining walls supported by deep foundations (shafts or piles), refer to Sections 7.7.5, 7.8, 7.9 and 7.10.

5. Wall Stem Structural Design

Refer to Sections 7.5.4 and 7.5.10 for additional wall stem structural design criteria.

In accordance with Standard Specifications Section 6-11.3(3), the Contract Plans or Special Provisions are to state whether the cast-in-place semi-gravity concrete cantilever wall may be constructed with precast concrete wall stem panels. For cast-in-place semi-gravity concrete cantilever walls with traffic barriers cast integral with the wall stem, the Contract Plans or Special Provisions are to provide explicit direction regarding whether the traffic barrier is permitted to be precast with the precast wall stem or cast-in-place after the precast wall stems are installed. When permitting the traffic barrier to be precast integral with the wall stem, the wall stem design and detailing shall account for the collision load transfer path into the wall stem.

Figure 8.1.4-1 Application of Lateral Loads for walls with a horizontal backfill

Figure 8.1.4-2 Application of Lateral Loads for walls with a sloping backfill
8.1.5 Design of Cantilever Soldier Pile and Soldier Pile Tieback Walls

A. Ground Anchors (Tiebacks)

See AASHTO LRFD Section 11.9 "Anchored Walls". The Geotechnical Engineer will determine whether anchors can feasibly be used at a particular site based on the ability to install the anchors and develop anchor capacity. The presence of utilities or other underground facilities, and the ability to attain underground easement rights may also determine whether anchors can be installed.

The anchor may consist of bars, wires, or strands. The choice of appropriate type is usually left to the Contractor but may be specified by the designer if special site conditions exist that preclude the use of certain anchor types. In general, strands and wires have advantages with respect to tensile strength, limited work areas, ease
of transportation, and storage. However, bars are more easily protected against
corrosion, and are easier to develop stress and transfer load.

The geotechnical report will provide a reliable estimate of the feasible factored design
load of the anchor, recommended anchor installation angles (typically 10 degrees to
45 degrees), no-load zone dimensions, and any other special requirements for wall
stability for each project.

Both the “tributary area method” and the “hinge method” as outlined in
AASHTO LRFD Section C11.9.5.1 are considered acceptable design procedures to
determine the horizontal anchor design force. The capacity of each anchor shall be
verified by testing. Testing shall be done during the anchor installation (See Standard
Specifications Section 6-17.3(8) and Geotechnical Design Manual M 46-03).

1. The horizontal anchor spacing typically follows the pile spacing of 6 to 10 feet.
The vertical anchor spacing is typically 8 to 12 feet. A minimum spacing of
4 feet in both directions is not recommended because it can cause a loss of
effectiveness due to disturbance of the anchors during installation.

2. For permanent ground anchors, the anchor design load, T, shall be according
to AASHTO LRFD. For temporary ground anchors, the anchor design load, T, may
ignore extreme event load cases.

3. The lock-off load is 60 percent of the controlling factored design load for
temporary and permanent walls (see Geotechnical Design Manual Chapter 15).

Permanent ground anchors shall have double corrosion protection consisting of
an encapsulation-protected tendon bond length as specified in the WSDOT General
Special Provisions. Typical permanent ground anchor details are provided in the

Temporary ground anchors may have either double corrosion protection consisting
of an encapsulation-protected tendon bond length or simple corrosion protection
consisting of grout-protected tendon bond length.

B. Design of Soldier Pile

The soldier piles shall be designed for shear, bending, and axial stresses according to
the latest AASHTO LRFD and Geotechnical Design Manual M 46-03 design criteria.
The flexural design shall be based on the elastic section modulus “S” for the entire
length of the pile for all Load combinations. The flexural design of soldier piles
with tiebacks shall consider the requirements of AASHTO LRFD Article 6.10.8.2
and 6.10.3.2.

1. Application of Lateral Loads

   a. Lateral loads are assumed to act over one pile spacing above the base of
      excavation in front of the wall. These lateral loads result from horizontal
      earth pressure, live load surcharge, seismic earth pressure, or any other
      applicable load.

   b. Lateral loads are assumed to act over the shaft diameter below the base
      of excavation in front of the wall. These lateral loads result from horizontal
      earth pressure, seismic earth pressure or any other applicable load.

   c. Passive earth pressure usually acts over three times the shaft diameter
      or one times the pile spacing, whichever is smaller.
2. **Determining Depth of Pile Embedment**

The depth of embedment of soldier piles shall be the maximum embedment as determined from the following:

a. 10 feet
b. As recommended by the Geotechnical Engineer of Record
c. As required for skin friction resistance and end bearing resistance.
d. As required to satisfy factored horizontal force equilibrium and factored moment equilibrium about the bottom of the soldier pile for cantilever soldier piles without permanent ground anchors.
e. As required to satisfy factored moment equilibrium of factored lateral force about the bottom of the soldier pile for soldier piles with permanent ground anchors.

3. **Soldier Pile Shaft Backfill**

Specify controlled density fill (CDF, 145 pcf) for the full height of the soldier pile shaft when shafts are anticipated to be excavated and concrete placed in the dry.

Specify pumpable lean concrete for the full height of the soldier pile shaft when shafts are anticipated to be excavated and concrete placed in the wet.

C. **Design of Lagging**

Lagging for soldier pile walls, with and without permanent ground anchors, may be comprised of timber, precast concrete, or steel. The expected service life of timber lagging is 20 years which is less than the 75 year service life of structures designed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD.

The Geotechnical Engineer will specify when lagging shall be designed for an additional 250 psf surcharge due to temporary construction load or traffic surcharge. The lateral pressure transferred from a moment slab shall be considered in the design of soldier pile walls and laggings.

1. **Temporary Timber Lagging**

Temporary lagging is based on a maximum 36 month service life before a permanent fascia is applied over the lagging. The wall Design Engineer shall review the Geotechnical Recommendations or consult with the Geotechnical Engineer regarding whether the lagging may be considered as temporary as defined in *Standard Specifications* Section 6-16.3(6). Temporary timber lagging shall be designed by the contractor in accordance with *Standard Specifications* Section 6-16.3(6)B.

2. **Permanent Lagging**

Permanent lagging shall be designed for 100 percent of the lateral load that could occur during the life of the wall in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Sections 11.8.5.2 and 11.8.6 for simple spans without soil arching. A reduction factor to account for soil arching effects may be used if permitted by the Geotechnical Engineer.

Timber lagging shall be designed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 8.6. The size effect factor (CFb) should be considered 1.0, unless a specific size
is shown in the wall plans. The wet service factor \( (CM_b) \) should be considered 0.85 for a saturated condition at some point during the life of the lagging. The load applied to lagging should be applied at the critical depth. The design should include the option for the contractor to step the size of lagging over the height of tall walls, defined as walls over 15 feet in exposed face height.

Timber lagging designed as a permanent structural element shall consist of treated Douglas Fir-Larch, grade No. 2 or better. Hem-fir wood species, due to the inadequate durability in wet condition, shall not be used for permanent timber lagging. Permanent lagging is intended to last the design life cycle (75 years) of the wall. Timber lagging does not have this life cycle capacity but can be used when both of the following are applicable:

a. The wall will be replaced within a 20 year period or a permanent fascia will be added to contain the lateral loads within that time period.

And,

b. The lagging is visible for inspections during this life cycle.

D. Design of Fascia Panels

Cast-in-place concrete fascia panels shall be designed as a permanent load carrying member in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 11.8.5.2. For walls without permanent ground anchors the minimum structural thickness of the fascia panels shall be 9 inches. For walls with permanent ground anchors the minimum structural thickness of the fascia panels shall be 14 inches. Architectural treatment of concrete fascia panels shall be indicated in the plans.

Concrete strength shall not be less than 4,000 psi at 28 days.

The wall fascia shall extend below ground the maximum of the following;

a. 2 feet minimum below the finish ground line adjacent to the face of the wall.

b. 3 feet minimum below the lowermost PGA.

c. 2 feet minimum below the scour elevation, unless a greater depth is specified.

When concrete fascia panels are placed on soldier piles, a generalized detail of lagging with strongback (see Bridge Standard Drawing 8.1-A3-5) shall be shown in the plans. This information will assist the contractor in designing formwork that does not overstress the piles while concrete is being placed.

Precast concrete fascia panels shall be designed to carry 100 percent of the load that could occur during the life of the wall. When timber lagging (including pressure treated lumber) is designed to be placed behind a precast element, conventional design practice is to assume that lagging will eventually fail and the load will be transferred to the precast panel. If another type of permanent lagging is used behind the precast fascia panel, then the design of the fascia panel will be controlled by internal and external forces other than lateral pressures from the soil (weight, temperature, Seismic, Wind, etc.). The connections for precast panels to soldier piles shall be designed for all applicable loads and the designer should consider rigidity, longevity (to resist cyclic loading, corrosion, etc.), and load transfer.

See Section 5.1.1 for use of shotcrete in lieu of cast-in-place conventional concrete for soldier pile fascia panels.
8.1.6 **Design of Structural Earth Walls**

A. **Preapproved Proprietary Structural Earth Walls**

Structural earth (SE) wall systems meeting established WSDOT design and performance criteria have been listed as “pre-approved” by the Bridge and Structures Office and the Materials Laboratory Geotechnical Branch. A list of current pre-approved proprietary wall systems and their limitations is provided in the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Appendix 15-D. For the SE wall shop drawing review procedure, see the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Chapter 15.

B. **Non-Preapproved Proprietary Structural Earth Walls**

Structural earth walls that exceed the limitations as provided in the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Appendix 15-D are considered to be non-preapproved. Use of non-preapproved structural earth walls shall require the approval of the State Geotechnical Engineer and the State Bridge and Structures Engineer.

8.1.7 **Design of Standard Plan Geosynthetic Walls**

Details for construction are given in the *Standard Plans Manual* Section D.

The width “w” of the precast panels as defined in Standard Plan D-3.11 is to be shown on the plan sheets and should be selected considering the architectural requirements for the wall.

8.1.8 **Design of Soil Nail Walls**

Soil nail walls shall be designed in accordance with the FHWA Publication FHWA-NHI-14-007 “*Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 7 Soil Nail Walls*” February 2015. The seismic design parameters shall be determined in accordance with the most current edition of the AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design (SEISMIC). Typical soil nail wall details are provided in Appendix 8.1.

8.1.9 **Scour of Retaining Walls**

The foundation for all walls constructed along rivers and streams shall be evaluated during design by the Hydraulics Engineer for scour in accordance with AASHTO LRFD. The bottom of the wall foundation and bottom of wall elements such as, the fascia panel, lagging, leveling pad, footing, pile cap or shaft cap shall be located a minimum of 2 feet below the scour elevation in accordance with the *Geotechnical Design Manual* Section 15.4.5 unless a greater depth is otherwise specified.

In situations where scour (e.g., due to wave or stream erosion) can occur in front of the wall, the bottom of the wall foundation (e.g., structural earth or Geosynthetic wall leveling pad, concrete wall spread footing, the cap for pile or shaft supported walls), and the bottom of fascia panel or lagging, shall meet the minimum embedment requirements relative to the scour elevation in front of the wall.

At any location where a retaining wall or reinforced slope can be in contact with water (such as a culvert outfall, ditch, wetland, lake, river, or floodplain), there is a risk of scour at the toe. The wall designers shall address this risk, based on the Hydraulics Engineer’s assessment of the scour potential at the wall site.
It is important to differentiate between scour and stream migration. In this discussion, scour is the amount of streambed vertical elevation drop at a given location due to the removal of streambed material caused by flowing water. In accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 3.7.5 consequences of changes in foundation conditions (due to scour) shall be considered at Strength and Service Limit States.

Stream migration is a natural occurrence in some streams, and can occur slowly or rapidly, with or without accompanying scour. AASHTO LRFD provides little guidance on the subject of stream migration. AASHTO LRFD Section 2.6.4 states only that lateral movements of the stream shall be considered.

Information for stream migration risk shall be provided in the Preliminary Hydraulic Design Report. The Report should indicate the risk of stream migration (low or high) and the Report may also predict how far a stream may migrate, and whether it may migrate incrementally or all at once.

Retaining walls or portions of retaining walls that are located within the scoured ground line of a stream shall be designed to resist scour as shown in Figure 8.1.9-1.

For retaining walls that are located outside of the scoured ground line, the following criteria shall be used to determine whether or not to design these adjacent retaining walls for the scour or stream migration condition:

1. If stream migration risk is classified as “Low” in the Preliminary Hydraulic Design Report, stream migration considerations for retaining walls outside of the scoured ground line may be ignored if the following two conditions are met:
   a. Scour and stream migration requirements may be ignored for retaining walls with a 10 feet or less differential between finish ground lines in front of and behind the wall.
   b. Scour and stream migration requirements may be ignored for fill-type retaining walls (SE, Geosynthetic, Gabion, Gravity Rock or Gravity Concrete Block).

2. For retaining walls that do not meet the above two conditions (1a and 1b), and for stream migration risk classified as anything other than “Low” in the Preliminary Hydraulic Design Report, the retaining walls shall be designed for scour and stream migration in the zones described in Figures 8.1.9-2 and 8.1.9-3. The Hydraulics Engineer may need to provide input on the likely limits of stream migration for these cases.

This situation will also require that abutment footings or shaft/pile caps be placed below the stream migration and scour lines, as shown in Figure 8.1.9-3, to protect the roadway fill contained behind the retaining walls.

Alternatives for designing retaining walls adjacent to bridge ends for scour and stream migration could include:

1. Increasing bridge span lengths or number of spans to move any associated retaining walls beyond the stream migration and scour lines.

2. Including revetment or scour countermeasure designs at the bridge ends, and obtaining the permits required for these features.

Both of the above mentioned approaches may allow the abutment shaft/pile caps to be placed higher, above the stream migration and scour lines.
Retaining walls built on or adjacent to WSDOT designated lifeline roadways require a reduced level of risk acceptance by WSDOT. Prevention measures for scour and channel migration such as lowering bridge abutments to protect the end fills from scour and stream migration shall be utilized. Retaining wall footings, bot-tom of aforementioned wall elements, and bottom of bridge footing/shaft cap elevations shall be located below the scour and stream migration lines.

**Figure 8.1.9-1** Scour without Stream Migration
**Figure 8.1.9-2**  Stream Migration without Scour

- **Final Ground Line**, or **Top of Roadway**, at **Back of Wall**
- **Intersection of Finish Ground Line and Migration Line**
- **Bottom of Retaining Wall**
- **Design this portion of Retaining Wall for Stream Migration**
- **Intersection of Finish Ground Line and Retaining Wall**
8.10 Miscellaneous Items

A. Architectural Treatment

Approval by the State Bridge and Structures Architect is required on all retaining wall aesthetics, including finishes, materials, and configuration.

B. Fall Protection

For retaining walls with exposed wall heights of 4 feet or more, fall protection shall be provided in accordance with WAC 296-155-24615(2) and WAC 296-155-24609 and as described in the Design Manual Chapter 730.

Fall protection shall be required regardless of the location of a traffic barrier placed behind the wall, unless the traffic barrier has a minimum height of 3'-6" and is either a moment slab traffic barrier located on top of the wall or a traffic barrier constructed integral with the top of the wall. The Standard Plan Chain Link Fence Types 3 and 4, and Glare Screen Types 1 and 2 are not considered acceptable fall protection systems.

C. Drainage

Drainage features shall be detailed in the Plans.

Permanent drainage systems shall be provided to prevent hydrostatic pressures developing behind the wall. A cut that slopes toward the proposed wall will invariably encounter natural subsurface drainage. Vertical chimney drains or prefabricated drainage mats can be used for normal situations to collect and transport drainage to a weep hole or pipe located at the base of the wall. Installing horizontal drains to
intercept the flow at a distance well behind the wall may control concentrated areas of subsurface drainage (see Geotechnical Design Manual Chapter 15).

All reinforced concrete retaining walls shall have 3-inch diameter weepholes located 6 inches above final ground line and spaced about 12 feet apart. In case the vertical distance between the top of the footing and final ground line is greater than 10 feet, additional weepholes shall be provided 6 inches above the top of the footing. No weepholes are necessary in cantilever wingwalls. See Figure 7.5.10-1.

Weepholes can get clogged up or freeze up, and the water pressure behind the wall may start to increase. In order to keep the water pressure from building, it is important to have well draining gravel backfill and underdrains. Appropriate details must be shown in the Plans.

No underdrain pipe or gravel backfill for drains is necessary behind cantilever wingwalls. A 3 foot minimum vertical layer of gravel backfill shall be placed behind the cantilever wingwalls and shown in the Plans.

Backfill for wall, underdrain pipe and gravel backfill for drain are not included in the bridge quantities. The size of the underdrain pipe should not be shown on the bridge plans as this is a Design PE Office item and is subject to change during the design phase. If it is necessary to excavate existing material for the backfill, then this excavation shall be a part of the bridge quantities for "Structure Excavation Class A Incl. Haul".

D. Expansion, Contraction and Construction Joints

Odd panels for all types of walls shall normally be made up at the ends of the walls. All expansion, contraction and construction joints shall be shown in the plan sheets and are typically shown on the elevation.

1. Expansion Joints

For cast-in-place construction, a minimum of ½ inch premolded filler should be specified in the expansion joints.

Precast concrete cantilever wall expansion joints shall be in accordance with the Standard Specifications Section 6-11.3(3).

For cantilevered and gravity walls, expansion joint spacing in the wall stem shall be a maximum of 60 feet on centers. For cantilevered and gravity walls constructed with a traffic barrier attached to the top, expansion joint spacing in the wall stem shall be consistent with the length determined to be adequate distribution of the traffic collision loading.

For counterfort walls, expansion joint spacing in the wall stem shall be a maximum of 32 feet on centers.

For soldier pile and soldier pile tieback walls with concrete fascia panels, expansion joint spacing should be 24 to 32 feet on centers.

Expansion joints in footings shall align with the expansion joints in the wall stem and shall be spaced at a maximum of 96 feet on centers. The expansion joint in the footing shall have either sleeved dowels across the joint or a shear key as described in Standard Specification Section 6-11.3(3).
Expansion joints in footings shall be provided at the interface between the retaining wall footing and the bridge abutments and where the substructure type changes such as locations where spread footing to pile footing occurs. In these cases, the footing shall be interrupted by a ½ inch premolded expansion joint through both the footing and the wall. Expansion joints at these locations do not require a shear key or sleeved dowels.

2. **Contraction Joints**

Contraction joints shall be spaced at a maximum of 30 feet for wall stems with expansion joints spaced at intervals exceeding 32 feet.

3. **Construction Joints**

Construction joints are only permitted in the footing. The maximum spacing of construction joints in the footing shall be 96 feet. The footing construction joints should have a 6 inch minimum offset from the expansion or contraction joints in the wall stem and footing.

**E. Detailing of Standard Reinforced Concrete Retaining Walls**

1. In general, the “H” dimension shown in the retaining wall Plans should be in foot increments. Use the actual design “H” reduced to the next lower even foot for dimensions up to 3 inches higher than the even foot.

   Examples: Actual height = 15’-3””, show “H” = 15’ on design plans
   Actual height > 15’-3””, show “H” = 16’ on design plans

   For walls that are not of a uniform height, “H” should be shown for each segment of the wall between expansion joints or at some other convenient location. On walls with a steep slope or vertical curve, it may be desirable to show 2 or 3 different “H” dimensions within a particular segment. The horizontal distance should be shown between changes in the “H” dimensions.

   The value for “H” shall be shown in a block in the center of the panel or segment. See Example, Figure 8.1.10-1.

2. Follow the example format shown in Figure 8.1.10-1.


4. Wall dimensions shall be determined by the designer using the Standard Plans.

5. Do not show any details given in the Standard Plans.


7. Do not detail reinforcing steel, unless it deviates from the Standard Plans.

8. For pile footings, use the example format with revised footing sizes, detail any additional steel, and show pile locations. Similar plan details are required for footings supported by shafts.

**F. Embankment Widening at End of Wall**

The minimum clearances for the embankment at the ends of all wall types shall be as indicated on Standard Plans A-50.10 through A-50.40.
Figure 8.1.10-1

1. See SF0, PLAN D-2 for EPG 4 HRF, WALL.
2. See "Alternate detail" on SF0, PLAN D-4 for drilled piles.
   Gravel backfill for drains. Gravel backfill for walls & underpinning
   piles are not included in bridge quantities.

Indicates design wall height in' for selecting reinforcement.
8.2 Noise Barrier Walls

8.2.1 General

Design of noise barrier walls shall be based on the requirements and guidance cited herein and in the current AASHTO LRFD, AASHTO SEISMIC, AASHTO LRFD Bridge Construction Specifications, WSDOT General & Bridge Special Provisions and the WSDOT Standard Specifications unless otherwise cited herein.

Details for construction of the Standard Plan Noise Barrier Walls may be found in Standard Plan D-2.04 through D-2.68 and Standard Specifications Section 6-12.

Noise barrier walls are primarily used in urban or residential areas to mitigate noise or to hide views of the roadway. Common types, as shown in the Standard Plans, include cast-in-place concrete panels (with or without traffic barrier), precast concrete panels (with or without traffic barrier), and masonry blocks. The State Bridge and Structures Architect should be consulted for wall type selection.

8.2.2 Loads

Noise barrier walls and their components shall be designed for all applicable loads defined in the current AASHTO LRFD Chapter 3.

Wind loads and on noise barriers shall be as specified in Chapter 3.

Seismic load shall be as follows:

The effect of earthquake loading on noise barrier walls shall be investigated using the Extreme Event I limit states of AASHTO LRFD Table 3.4.1-1 with the load factor $\gamma_p = 1.0$.

Seismic loads shall be taken to be horizontal design force effects determined in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD provisions of Article 4.7.4.3.3 on the basis of the elastic response coefficient, $C_{sm}$, specified in Article 3.10.4 and BDM Section 4, and the dead load of sound barrier. The seismic design force effects for connections shall be determined by dividing the force effects resulting from elastic analysis by the response modification factor, R, specified in Table 8.2-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connection</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monolithic connection</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection of precast wall to bridge barrier</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection of precast wall to retaining wall or moment slab barrier</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection of precast wall to shaft</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.2.3 Design

A. Standard Plan Noise Barrier Walls

1. Noise Barrier Walls detailed in Standard Plans D-2.04 through D-2.34, D-2.42 through D-2.44, D-2.48 through D-2.68 have been designed in accordance with the following criteria.
   b. The seismic design was based on a PGA of 0.35g which corresponds to a peak bedrock acceleration of 0.3g with an amplification factor of 1.18 for stiff soil.
   c. The Design Manual M 22 01, Chapter 740 tabulates the design wind speeds and various exposure conditions used to determine the appropriate wall type.
   d. The design parameters used in the standard plan noise wall foundation design are summarized in the Geotechnical Design Manual Chapter 17.

2. Noise Barrier Walls detailed in Standard Plans D-2.36 and D-2.46 have been designed in accordance with the requirements of the AASHTO LRFD, 6th Edition 2012 and interims through 2013, and the requirements and guidance cited herein:
   a. Load factors and load combinations for the design of all structural elements are in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Tables 3.4.1-1 and 3.4.1-2.
   b. Seismic design is in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Article 3.10.2.1, considering site classes B, C, D, and E and the following:
      i. Peak seismic ground acceleration coefficient on Rock (Site Class B).
         1. PGA = 0.45g for Western Washington
         2. PGA = 0.19g for Eastern Washington
      ii. Horizontal response spectral acceleration coefficient at 0.2-sec period on rock (Site Class B).
         1. $S_0 = 1.00$ for Western Washington
         2. $S_0 = 0.43$ for Eastern Washington
      iii. Horizontal response spectral acceleration coefficient at 1.0-sec period on rock (Site Class B).
         1. $S_1 = 0.33$ for Western Washington
         2. $S_1 = 0.15$ for Eastern Washington
      iv. Modal analysis was performed for the first four periods. The elastic seismic response coefficient $C_{sm}$ was computed for each modal period in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Article 3.10.4.2 and all four $C_{sm}$ coefficients were combined through the SRSS method.
v. The resultant seismic force is considered to act at a height of 0.71H above the top of the shaft, where H is the total height measured from the top of the panel to the top of the shaft.

c. Wind loads are computed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Article 15.8.2 considering surface conditions characterized as “Sparse Suburban”. The 50 year return period maximum wind velocity, as determined from AASHTO LRFD Figure 15.8.2-1, is 100 mph for Western Washington and 80 mph for Eastern Washington.

d. Drilled shaft foundations is designed for earth pressure distributions as shown in AASHTO LRFD Figure 3.11.5.10-1 considering the following:

i. Shaft depth, D1
   1. 2H:1V fore-slope and a flat backslope
   2. Angle of internal friction = 32 degrees
   3. Soil unit weight = 125pcf
   4. Corresponding $K_p = 1.5$
   5. Corresponding $K_a = 0.28$

ii. Shaft depth, D2
   1. 2H:1V fore-slope and a flat backslope
   2. Angle of internal friction = 38 degrees
   3. Soil unit weight = 125pcf
   4. Corresponding $K_p = 2.3$
   5. Corresponding $K_a = 0.22$

iii. The passive earth pressure distribution was assumed to start at the finished grade. However, the uppermost two feet of passive earth pressure was neglected, resulting in a trapezoidal passive earth pressure distribution.

iv. In accordance with AASHTO LRFD Table 11.5.7-1 and Article 11.5.8, the resistance factor applied to the passive earth pressure is as follows:
   1. For the Strength Limit State, the resistance factor is taken as 0.75.
   2. For the Extreme Event Limit State, the resistance factor is taken as 1.0.

e. The traffic barrier shown in Standard Plan D-2.46 is designed for minimum Test Level 4 (TL-4) vehicular collision loads in accordance to AASHTO LRFD Article 13, and shafts are designed for an equivalent static load of 10 kips.

f. The traffic barrier shown in Standard Plan D-2.46 could be either precast or cast-in-place, and the barrier shape could be Type F (shown), single slope or other TL-3 and TL-4 barrier systems.
B. Non-Standard Noise Barrier Walls

Noise barrier walls containing design parameters which exceed those used in the standard noise barrier wall design are considered to be non-standard.

All noise barrier walls which will be mounted on existing structures, supported by existing structures, or constructed as part of a new structure are considered to be non-standard and shall be evaluated by the Bridge and Structures Office and the Geotechnical Office.

1. Noise Barrier Walls on Bridges and Retaining Walls
   a. For noise barrier walls located on bridges, the total height, as measured from the top of bridge deck to the top of the noise barrier wall, shall be limited to 8′-0″.
   b. For noise barrier walls located on retaining walls, the total height, as measured from the top of roadway to the top of the noise barrier wall, shall be limited to 14′-0″.
   c. Cast-in-place noise barrier walls constructed with self-consolidating concrete and precast concrete noise barrier walls and shall conform to the following requirements.
      • Minimum thickness of the wall stem shall be 7 inches.
      • Minimum concrete clear cover on each face shall be 2 inches.
      • Both vertical and horizontal reinforcement shall be placed in two parallel layers.
   d. Cast-in-place noise barrier walls constructed with conventional concrete shall conform to the following requirements.
      • Minimum thickness of the wall stem shall be 8 inches.
      • Minimum concrete clear cover on each face shall be 2 inches.
      • Both vertical and horizontal reinforcement shall be placed in two parallel layers.
      • Minimum clear distance between parallel layers of reinforcement shall be 2½ inches.
8.3 Buried Structures

8.3.1 General

Buried structures consist of metal pipe, structural plate pipe, long-span structural plate, deep corrugated plate, reinforced concrete pipe, cast-in-place reinforced concrete and precast concrete arch, box and elliptical structures, thermoplastic pipe, and fiberglass pipe.

In accordance with current WSDOT policy, only cast-in-place reinforced concrete and precast concrete arch, box, and elliptical structures shall be used for buried highway and hydraulic structures with spans equal to or greater than 20 feet (measured parallel to roadway centerline).

The use of other buried structures materials and types require approval by the State Bridge Design Engineer and the State Geotechnical Engineer.

The degradation of culvert material due to corrosion and abrasion is a consideration when selecting material types. The invert of culverts receives the largest impact due to corrosion and abrasion; however, the surrounding soil properties and groundwater may impact other portions of the culvert barrel.

Ensure the culvert material service life meets or exceeds the culvert service life. Use of different metals, protective linings, increased gauge thickness, or a combination of these methods are commonly used for metal culverts.

The term culvert used in this chapter and in the Standard Specifications applies to all buried hydraulic structures only. The term tunnel applies to all buried highway structures conveying vehicles or pedestrians.

8.3.2 WSDOT Designed Standard Culverts

For WSDOT Designed Standard Culverts the WSDOT Bridge and Structures Office has developed culvert standard templates for the following types:

1. Precast Reinforced Concrete Split Box Culvert (PRCSBC) with span lengths from 20' to 25'.
2. Precast Reinforced Concrete Three-Sided Structures (PRCTSS) with span lengths from 20' to 60'.

See Section 8.4 for the list of Bridge Standard Drawings for Buried Structures containing the geometry table, typical sections and general details. See Appendices 8.3-B1 to 8.3-B3 for the Design Criteria used. The Design Criteria is a template only, and should be modified for each project per site specific conditions, design requirements, and jurisdiction.

8.3.3 General Design Requirements

Design of buried structures shall be in accordance with the requirements and guidance cited herein and in the current AASHTO LRFD, AASHTO SEISMIC, Special Provisions and the Standard Specifications M 41-10.

All buried structures shall be designed for a minimum service life of 75 years.

The span length shall be the widest opening from interior face to interior face as measured parallel to the roadway centerline.
A. Span Length Limitations

1. Span lengths less than 20 feet

Region Project Engineer Office may allow Contractor supplied designs of the buried hydraulic structure while under contract.

2. Span lengths equal to or greater than 20 feet and less than 26 feet

Region Project Engineer Office may utilize Contractor supplied designs of the buried hydraulic structure while under contract if the structure meets all of the following criteria:

   a. Geotechnical Report foundation recommendation of spread footing support based on confirmed presence of competent soils at the site. No soft soil support embankment requiring lightweight fills or ground improvement, as confirmed by the Geotechnical Report.

   b. Peak Seismic Ground Accelerations at the project site of 0.3g or less, as shown in the Geotechnical Design Manual Figure 6-8 “Determination of Seismic Hazard Level, Peak Horizontal Acceleration (%G) for 7 percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 Years for Site Class B (Adapted From AASHTO 2012).

   c. No liquefaction, lateral spread risks, or within the earthquake fault line as confirmed by the Geotechnical Report.

   d. Skew angle of waterway alignment limited to within 25 degrees of a normal 90-degree crossing of the roadway alignment if the soil fill is retained by headwalls.

   e. Not scour critical, as confirmed by the HQ Hydraulics Office.

3. Span lengths equal to or greater than 20 feet and less than 26 feet and with geometric and site restrictions and Span lengths greater than 26 feet

Buried hydraulic structures that do not meet the criteria listed in Section 8.3.3.A.2 above shall utilize the following procedure.

   a. A preliminary plan shall be completed in accordance with the criteria listed in Chapter 2.

   b. The design of the structure shall be completed prior to contract and the plans shall be included as a part of the Ad copy PS&E.

   c. The design may be completed by one of the following:

      • WSDOT engineering staff,
      • Proprietary supplier identified as a sole source by WSDOT,
      • Three proprietary suppliers with all three plan sets included as options in the Ad copy PS&E.

B. Application of Loads

The decrease in live load effect due to increase in fill depth shall be considered in both design and load rating of buried structures.

The requirement of Section 3.5 for inclusion of live load in the Extreme Event-I load combination is applicable.
C. Buried Structure Foundation Design

Foundations for buried structures shall be designed and detailed in accordance with Bridge Design and Geotechnical Manuals and shall include the effects of potential scour.

D. Buried Structure Wingwall and Headwall Design

Wingwalls and headwalls for buried structures shall be designed in accordance with the current versions of Geotechnical Design Manual M 46-03, AASHTO LRFD Chapter 11.

The structure footing shall be designed for 100 year and 500 year scour levels per Hydraulics requirements.

E. Buried Structure Seismic Design

The provisions below are the minimum seismic design requirements for conventional buried structures. Additional provisions may be specified, on a case-by-case basis, to achieve higher seismic performance criteria for essential or critical buried structures. Where such additional requirements are specified, they shall be site or project specific and are tailored to a particular structure type.

The seismic design need not be considered for buried structures with span lengths of less than 20 feet.

Buried structures greater than or equal to 20 feet shall be designed for seismic effects. Seismic design of buried structures shall be in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD Road Tunnel Design and Construction Guide Specifications, 1st Edition, 2017 with current interims and Chapter 13 Seismic Considerations in AASHTO, Technical Manual for Design and Construction of Road Tunnels – Civil Elements.

The seismic effects of transient racking/ovaling deformations on culverts and pipe structures shall be considered in addition to the normal load effects from dead loads of structural components, vertical and horizontal earth and water loads, and live load surcharges. The AASHTO LRFD Section 12.6.1 exemption from seismic loading shall not apply.

The ground motion attenuation as specified below shall be considered used for seismic design of buried structures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8.3.3.4.E-1</th>
<th>Ground Motion Attenuation with Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depth to Top of Buried Structure, feet</strong></td>
<td><strong>Ratio of Ground Motion at Buried Structure Depth to Motion at Ground Surface</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 50</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 100</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For buried structures, with span lengths equal to or greater than 20 feet, the seismic effects of potential unstable ground conditions (e.g., liquefaction, liquefaction induced settlement, landslides, and fault dis-placements) on the function of the buried structures shall be considered, except liquefaction need not be considered if the liquefaction, landslides, or fault displacements do not cause life safety hazards.
If the depth of fill on top of a four-sided (or other closed shape) structure is more than one-half the clear span along the skew, liquefaction induced settlement or local instability are not likely to cause life safety hazards.

F. Buried Structure Submittal Requirements

The design calculations and detailed shop drawings of buried structures shall be submitted to the Bridge and Structures Office for review and approval.

The submittal shall include the following:
1. Load rating for all buried structures with span lengths beyond 20 feet. The load rating shall be in accordance with Chapter 13.
2. Geotechnical design parameters, hydraulic analysis, including scour depth, installation procedures, backfill materials, and compacting sequences.
3. The structural adequacy of the buried structure for the required depth of fill shall be provided in the submittal.
4. Final as-built plans shall be submitted to the Bridge and Structures Office for records.

8.3.4 Design of Box Culverts

Box culverts are four-sided rigid frame structures. For span lengths equal or greater than 20 feet, box culverts shall be made either from cast-in-place (CIP) reinforced concrete or precast concrete. See Appendix 8.3-B1 to B3 for design criteria specific to concrete four sided split box culverts.

Precast concrete fabricators are responsible for the structural design and the preparation of shop plans for the precast reinforced concrete box and split box culverts designed by the prefabricators.

A. Materials

1. Concrete

Precast concrete shall be class 5000, 6000, 7000 or 7000 SCC. All cast-in-place concrete shall be class 4000.

2. Steel

Nominal yield strength for reinforcement bar shall be 60 or 80 ksi. Wire fabric of yield strength of 65 ksi may be used.

3. Cover

2” minimum cover for reinforcement at all faces.

B. Joint Design and Details

1. The joints shall be fabricated in accordance to ASTM C 1786 with tongue and groove connection. See Section 8.4 Bridge Standard Drawings for details.

2. The top slab joint shall designed as an edge beam in accordance with AASHTO Section 4.6.3.10.4, or capable of transferring a minimum of 3000 lbs per linear foot of top slab joint.

3. The grouted joint can be used for the cast-in-place concrete box culvert.
C. Connections
   1. The joints between the upper and lower sections shall be designed for the lateral forces due to the seismic and soil pressures per requirements above. See Standard Specifications Section 7.02.3(6)C.
   2. The segments at portals shall be designed for any lateral load due to the overburden.

D. Joint Filler and Cover
   All joints between segments shall be sealed by joint sealant in accordance with ASTM C 990. All joints shall be wrapped with external sealing band in accordance with ASTM C 877, except the bottom slab. See Section 8.4 Bridge Standard Drawings for details.

8.3.5 Design of Precast Reinforced Concrete Three-Sided Structures

Precast reinforced concrete three sided structures shall be designed and constructed in accordance with Standard Specifications Section 7.02.3(6). Structures of precast reinforced concrete three-sided frame structures are chorded arch, arch, or elliptical structures. These systems require a CIP concrete or precast footing and walls. See Appendix 8.3-B1 to B3 for design criteria specific to three-sided precast concrete culverts.

A. Materials
   1. Concrete
      Precast concrete shall be class 5000, 6000, 7000 or 7000 SCC. All cast in place concrete shall be class 4000.
   2. Steel
      Nominal yield strength for reinforcement bar shall be 60 or 80 ksi. Wire fabric of yield strength of 65 ksi may be used.
   3. Cover
      2” minimum cover for reinforcement at all faces.

B. Joint Design and Details
   1. Tongue and groove, shear key, and other types of connection can be used to control the differential settlements between segments or live load deflection. Tongue and groove connection shall be fabricated per ASTM C 1786.
   2. For structures with 2’ or less fill cover on top, the top slab joint of the precast box shall be designed as an edge beam in accordance with AASHTO Section 4.6.2.10.4.
   3. Cast-in-place joints can be used for culverts with highway inside the structure.

C. Connections
   1. For the precast three-sided culvert, the joints between the precast and wall section shall be designed for the lateral forces due to the seismic and soil pressures per requirements above with shear key, block restrainer, or dowel bars. See Section 8.4 Bridge Standard Drawings for details.
   2. The segments at portals shall be designed for any lateral load due to the overburden.
D. Joint Filler and Cover

All joints between segments shall be sealed by joint sealant in accordance with ASTM C 990. All joints shall be wrapped with external sealing band in accordance with ASTM C 877. See Section 8.4 Bridge Standard Drawings for details.

8.3.6 Design of Detention Vaults

Detention vaults are used for stormwater storage and are to be watertight. These structures can be open at the top like a swimming pool, or completely enclosed and buried below ground. Detention vaults shall be designed by the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specification and the following: Seismic design effects shall satisfy the requirements of ACI 350.3-06 "Seismic Design of Liquid-Containing Concrete Structures". Requirements for Joints and jointing shall satisfy the requirements of ACI 350-06. Two references for tank design are the PCA publications Rectangular Concrete Tanks, Revised 5th Edition (1998) and Design of Liquid-Containing Structures for Earthquake Forces (2002).

The geotechnical field investigations and recommendations shall comply with the requirements given in Section 8.16 of the Geotechnical Design Manual M 46-03. In addition to earth pressures, water tables, seismic design, and uplift, special consideration should be given to ensure differential settlement either does not occur or is included in the calculations for forces, crack control and water stops.

Buoyant forces from high ground water conditions should be investigated for permanent as well as construction load cases so the vault does not float. Controlling loading conditions may include: backfilling an empty vault, filling the vault with stormwater before it is backfilled, or seasonal maintenance that requires draining the vault when there is a high water table. In all Limit States, the buoyancy force (WA) load factor shall be taken as $\gamma_{WA} = 1.25$ in AASHTO LRFD Table 3.4.1-1. In the Strength Limit State, the load factors that resist buoyancy ($\gamma_{DC}$, $\gamma_{DW}$, $\gamma_{ES}$, Etc.) shall be their minimum values, in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Table 3.4.1-2 and the entire vault shall be considered empty.

During the vault construction, the water table shall be taken as the seal vent elevation or the top of the vault, if open at the top. In this case the load factors that resist buoyancy shall be their minimum values, except where specified as a construction load, in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 3.4.2.

In certain situations tie-downs may be required to resist buoyancy forces. The resisting force ($R_n$) and resistance factors ($\phi$) for tie-downs shall be provided by the Geotechnical Engineers. The buoyancy check shall be as follows:

For Buoyancy without tie-downs:

$$(R_{RES} / R_{UPLIFT}) \geq 1.0$$

For Buoyancy with tie-downs:

$$(R_{RES} / [R_{UPLIFT} + \phi R_n]) \geq 1.0$$

Where:

$$R_{RES} = |\gamma_{DC} DC + \gamma_{DW} DW + \gamma_{ES} ES + \gamma_{i} Q_i|$$
$$R_{UPLIFT} = |\gamma_{WA} WA|$$

ACI 350-06 has stricter criteria for cover and spacing of joints than the AASHTO LRFD. Cover is not to be less than 2 inches (ACI 7.7.1), no metal or other material is to be within $1\frac{1}{2}$ inches from the formed surface, and the maximum bar spacing shall not exceed 12 inches (ACI 7.6.5).
Crack control criteria is in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Section 5.6.7 with $\gamma_e = 0.5$ (in order to maintain a crack width of 0.0085 inches, in accordance with the commentary of 5.6.7).

Joints in the vault's top slab, bottom slab and walls shall allow dissipation of temperature and shrinkage stresses, thereby reducing cracking. The amount of temperature and shrinkage reinforcement is a function of reinforcing steel grade "and length between joints (ACI Table 7.12.2-1). All joints shall have a shear key and a continuous and integral PVC waterstop with a 4-inch minimum width. The purpose of the waterstop is to prevent water infiltration and exfiltration. Joints having welded shear connectors with grouted keyways shall use details from WSDOT Precast Prestressed Slab Details or approved equivalent, with weld ties spaced at 4'-0" on center. Modifications to the above joints shall be justified with calculations. Calculations shall be provided for all grouted shear connections. The width of precast panels shall be increased to minimize the number of joints between precast units.

For cast-in-place walls in contact with liquid that are over 10’ in height, the minimum wall thickness is 12”. This minimum thickness is generally good practice for all external walls, regardless of height, to allow for 2 inches of cover as well as space for concrete placement and vibration.

After the forms are placed, the void left from the form ties shall be coned shaped, at least 1 inch in diameter and 1½ inches deep, to allow proper patching.

Detention vaults that need to be located within the prism supporting the roadway are required to meet the following maintenance criteria. A by-pass piping system is required. Each cell in the vault shall hold no more than 6,000 gallons of water to facilitate maintenance and cleanout operations. Baffles shall be water tight. Access hatches shall be spaced no more than 50 feet apart. There shall be an access near both the inlet and the outfall. These two accesses shall allow for visual inspection of the inlet and outfall elements, in such a manner that a person standing on the ladder, out of any standing water, will be in reach of any grab handles, grates or screens. All other access hatches shall be over sump areas. All access hatches shall be a minimum 30 inch in diameter, have ladders that extend to the vault floor, and shall be designed to resist HS-20 wheel loads with applicable impact factors as described below.

Detention vaults that need to be located in the roadway shall be oriented so that the access hatches are located outside the traveled lanes. Lane closures are usually required next to each access hatch for maintenance and inspection, even when the hatches are in 12’-0” wide shoulders.

A 16 kip wheel load having the dynamic load allowance for deck joints, in AASHTO LRFD Table 3.6.2.1-1, shall be applied at the top of access hatches and risers. The load path of this impact force shall be shown in the calculations.

Minimum vault dimensions shall be 4’-0” wide and 7’-0” tall; inside dimensions.

Original signed plans of all closed top detention vaults with access shall be forwarded to the Bridge Plans Engineer in the Bridge Asset Management Unit (see Section 12.4.10.B). This ensures that the Bridge Preservation Office will have the necessary inventory information for inspection requirements. A set of plans must be submitted to both the WSDOT Hydraulics Office and the Regional WSDOT Maintenance Office for plans approval.
8.3.7 Design of Tunnels

Tunnels are unique structures in that the surrounding ground material is the structural material that carries most of the ground load. Therefore, geology has even more importance in tunnel construction than with above ground bridge structures. In short, geotechnical site investigation is the most important process in planning, design and construction of a tunnel. These structures are designed in accordance with the AASHTO LRFD, AASHTO LRFD Road Tunnel Design and Construction Guide Specifications, 1st Edition, 2017 with current interims and AASHTO Technical Manual for Design and Construction of Roadway Tunnels - Civil Elements.

Tunnels are not a conventional structure, and estimation of costs is more variable as size and length increase. Ventilation, safety access, fire suppression facilities, warning signs, lighting, emergency egress, drainage, operation and maintenance are extremely critical issues associated with the design of tunnels and will require the expertise of geologists, tunnel experts and mechanical engineers.

For motor vehicle fire protection, a standard has been produced by the National Fire Protection Association. NFPA 502 – Standard for Road Tunnels, Bridges, and Other Limited Access Highways. This document shall be used for all WSDOT tunnels. NFPA 502 – Standard for Road Tunnels, Bridges, and Other Limited Access Highways, uses tunnel length to dictate minimum fire protection requirements:

- 300 feet or less: no fire protection requirements
- 300 to 800 feet: minor fire protection requirements
- 800 feet or more: major fire protection requirements

Some recent WSDOT tunnel projects are:

**I-90  Mt. Baker Ridge Tunnel Bore  Contract: 3105  Bridge No.: 90/24N**

This 1500 foot long tunnel is part of the major improvement of Interstate 90. Work was started in 1983 and completed in 1988. The net interior diameter of the bored portion, which is sized for vehicular traffic on two levels with a bike/pedestrian corridor on the third level, is 63.5 feet. The project is the world’s largest diameter tunnel in soft ground, which is predominantly stiff clay. Construction by a stacked-drift method resulted in minimal distortion of the liner and insignificant disturbance at the ground surface above.

**Jct I-5  SR 526 E-N Tunnel Ramp  Contract: 4372  Bridge No.: 526/22E-N**

This 465 foot long tunnel, an example of the cut and cover method, was constructed in 1995. The interior dimensions were sized for a 25 foot wide one lane ramp roadway with a vertical height of 18 feet. The tunnel was constructed in three stages. 3 and 4 foot diameter shafts for the walls were placed first, a 2 foot thick cast-in-place top slab was placed second and then the tunnel was excavated, lined and finished.

**I-5  Sleater-Kinney Bike/Ped. Tunnel  Contract: 6031  Bridge No.: 5/335P**

This 122 foot long bike and pedestrian tunnel was constructed in 2002 to link an existing path along I-5 under busy Sleater-Kinney Road. The project consisted of precast prestressed slab units and soldier pile walls. Construction was staged to minimize traffic disruptions.
8.4 Bridge Standard Drawings

**TieBack Walls**

- 8.1-A2-1 SEW Wall Elevation
- 8.1-A2-2 SEW Wall Section
- 8.1-A3-1 Soldier Pile/Tieback Wall Elevation
- 8.1-A3-2 Soldier Pile/Tieback Walls Details A
- 8.1-A3-3 Soldier Pile/Tieback Walls Details B
- 8.1-A3-4 Soldier Pile/Tieback Walls Details
- 8.1-A3-5 Soldier Pile/Tieback Walls Fascia Panel Details
- 8.1-A3-6 Soldier Pile/Tieback Wall Perm Ground Anchor Details

**Soil Nail Wall**

- 8.1-A4-1 Soil Nail Wall, 1 of 4
- 8.1-A4-2 Soil Nail Wall, 2 of 4
- 8.1-A4-3 Soil Nail Wall, 3 of 4
- 8.1-A4-4 Soil Nail Wall, 4 of 4

**Noise Barrier**

- 8.1-A5-1 Noise Barrier on Bridge

**Cable Fence**

- 8.1-A6-1 Cable Fencing for Wall
- 8.1-A6-2 Cable Fencing for Wall w/Top Mounted Base
- 8.1-A6-3 Cable Fence Details 1 of 3
- 8.1-A6-4 Cable Fence Details 2 of 3
- 8.1-A6-5 Cable Fence Details 3 of 3

**Buried Structures**

- 8.3.2-A1 Precast Split Box Typical Section
- 8.3.2-A2 Typical 3-Sided Precast Culvert Section and Table
- 8.3.2-A3 3-Sided Precast Culvert Series FC20 to FC40, and SB20 and SB25
- 8.3.2-A4 3-Sided Precast Culvert Series VC45 to VC50
- 8.3.2-A5 3-Sided Precast Culvert Series VC55 to VC60
- 8.3.2-A6 3-Sided Precast Culvert Footing Joint Connection Details
- 8.3.2-A7 3-Sided Precast Culvert Panel Joint Connection Details
- 8.3.2-A8 Precast Split Box Culvert Joint Seal Details
- 8.3.2-A9 Example of Precast Split Box Culvert Layout
- 8.3.2-A10 Example of Precast Split Box Culvert Typical Section
- 8.3.2-A11 Example of Precast Split Box Culvert Reinforcement Details
- 8.3.2-A12 Example of Precast Split Box Culvert Connection Details
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## Appendix 8.1-A1 Summary of Design Specification Requirements for Walls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wall Types</th>
<th>Design Specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-Approved Proprietary Structural Earth Walls</strong></td>
<td><strong>General</strong> Design shall be based on current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges - 17th Edition for projects initiated prior to October 1, 2010. AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications for projects initiated after October 1, 2010, WSDOT Geotechnical Design Manual (GDM) and WSDOT Bridge Design Manual (BDM).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong> AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong> Moment slab barrier shall be designed in accordance with the WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load, unless otherwise specified in the Contract Plans or Contract Special Provisions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Preapproved Proprietary Structural Earth Walls</strong></td>
<td><strong>General</strong> Design shall be based on current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong> AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong> Moment slab barrier shall be designed in accordance with the WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load, unless otherwise specified in the Contract Plans or Contract Special Provisions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standard Plan Geosynthetic Walls</strong></td>
<td><strong>General</strong> Current Standard Plan walls are designed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications 5th Edition 2010 and interims through 2011 and the WSDOT GDM through 2011.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong> For Standard Plan Geosynthetic walls use Standard Plan D-3.15, D-3.16, or D-3.17 for traffic barriers. Special design barriers to be constructed on Standard Plan or Non-Standard Geosynthetic Walls shall be designed in accordance with the WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Standard Geosynthetic Walls</strong></td>
<td><strong>General</strong> Design shall be based on current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong> AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong> Special design barriers to be constructed on Standard Plan or Non-Standard Geosynthetic Walls shall be designed in accordance with the WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Types</td>
<td>Design Specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong></td>
<td>Current Standard Plan walls are designed for TL-4 impact loading distributed over 48 ft at the base of wall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td>Non-standard reinforced concrete cantilever walls shall be designed in accordance with the current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong></td>
<td>AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong></td>
<td>WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load. Ft is distributed over Lt at the top of barrier. Load from top of barrier is distributed at a 45 degree angle into the wall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td>Design shall be based on current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong></td>
<td>AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong></td>
<td>AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load. Ft is distributed over Lt at the top of barrier. Load from top of barrier is distributed downward into the wall spreading at a 45 degree angle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong></td>
<td>Current Standard Plans D-2.04 through D-2.34, D-2.42, D-2.44, and D-2.48 through D-2.68 are designed in accordance with AASHTO Guide Specifications for Structural Design of Sound Barriers – 1989 &amp; Interims. Standard Plans D-2.36 and D-2.46 are designed in accordance with AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications 1000 year map design acceleration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General</strong></td>
<td>Design shall be based on current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seismic</strong></td>
<td>AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Barrier</strong></td>
<td>WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall Types</td>
<td>Design Specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Soil Nail Walls    | **General**  
All soil nail walls and their components shall be designed using the publication “Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 7” FHWA-NHI-14-007.  
The Geotechnical Engineer completes the internal design of the soil nail wall and provides recommendations for nail layout. The structural designer will layout the nail pattern. The geotechnical engineer will review the nail layout to insure compliance with the Geotechnical recommendations. The structural designer shall design the temporary shotcrete facing as well as the permanent structural facing, including the bearing plates, and shear studs.  
The upper cantilever of the facing that is located above the top row of nails shall be designed in accordance with current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM. |
|                    | **Seismic**  
AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4. |
|                    | **Traffic Barrier**  
Moment slab barrier shall be designed in accordance with the WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load |
| Non-Standard Non-proprietary Walls Gravity Blocks, Gabion Walls | **General**  
Design shall be based on current editions, including current interims, of the following documents; AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, WSDOT GDM and WSDOT BDM. |
|                    | **Seismic**  
AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design using the USGS 2014 Seismic Hazard Maps with Seven Percent Probability of Exceedance in 75 yrs (1000 yr Return Period) and the site coefficients or site-specific procedure provided in the WSDOT Design Memorandum dated January 8, 2017 and WSDOT BDM Chapter 4. |
|                    | **Traffic Barrier**  
WSDOT BDM and the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section A13.3 for Concrete Railings considering a minimum TL-4 impact load.
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