

1 Appendix D

2 Candidate Species

3 Candidate species are species for which there is sufficient information to support a proposal to list them
4 as threatened or endangered, but for which a proposed rule has not yet been prepared.

5 The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) prepares a list of federally threatened, endangered,
6 proposed, and candidate species for each county.¹ Two candidate species appear on the list for
7 Multnomah County: streaked horned lark and northern wormwood; and two appear on the list for Clark
8 County: Brush Prairie Mazama pocket gopher and Oregon spotted frog.

9 **STREAKED HORNED LARK (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*)**

10 **Species and Habitat Occurrence**

11 Streaked horned larks inhabit open grassland, sparsely vegetated beaches and islands, and agricultural
12 fields; they generally avoid forested areas. The streaked horned lark was historically found from
13 southwestern British Columbia to the Rogue River Valley in Southern Oregon, but in recent years, it has
14 declined sharply in its range. Currently, the streaked horned lark is known to breed in areas with
15 low/sparse grassy vegetation on prairie remnants, airports, beaches, accreted lands, dredge spoil islands,
16 industrial sites, agricultural land, pasture, grass habitat, and mudflats in scattered locations in western
17 Washington and Oregon (NatureServe 2009). Habitat degradation, land conversion and development, nest
18 predation, cowbird parasitism, and human disturbance are some of the limiting factors. The breeding
19 season is from March until early August. Nesting occurs on the ground in sparsely vegetated areas and is
20 highly susceptible to human disturbances (NatureServe 2009).

21 In Washington, the historical range extended to Clark County; however, recent data indicate that current
22 detections are limited to Pierce, Thurston, Mason, Pacific, Grays Harbor, and Wahkiakum Counties
23 (Stinson 2005), all of which are more than 20 miles from the project area. WDFW (2009) data show that
24 the nearest documented detection of streaked horned larks occurs in Wahkiakum County, more than
25 40 miles from the project area.

26 In Oregon, breeding and wintering occurs throughout the Willamette Valley, but is rare in Washington
27 and Multnomah Counties (Center for Biological Diversity et al. 2002). During the winter, most streaked
28 horned larks occur in Linn, Benton, Polk, and Marion Counties, but during a 2004 survey, a substantial
29 group was detected at the Port of Portland on a large area of dredge spoils (Pearson and Altman 2005;
30 Robinson and Moore 2004). A few nesting locations have been documented throughout the Willamette
31 Valley. One of these occurs within the North Portland Industrial Area, about 1 mile outside of the action
32 area (Pearson and Altman 2005; ORNHIC 2007). Twenty six others occur in the northern Willamette
33 Valley in Multnomah County, but these detections are all outside of the action area and the Portland
34 Metro area (Altman 1999; ORNHIC 2007).

¹ Species list for Multnomah County: available at: <http://www.fws.gov/oregonfwo/Species/Lists/Documents/County/MULTNOMAH%20COUNTY.pdf> . Species list for Clark County available at: <http://www.fws.gov/wafwo/speciesmap/CLARK.html>.

1 Suitable habitat for the streaked horned lark is present within open, sparsely vegetated areas in the CRC
2 action area. These potentially suitable areas occur in several urbanized open fields located directly
3 adjacent to I-5 and the seven interchanges associated with this project. These are primarily located in
4 Oregon in the Delta Park area, and in Washington near Leverich Park and the East Mill Plain and East
5 McLoughlin Boulevard interchanges.

6 **Effect Determination**

7 Potentially suitable breeding and wintering habitat occurs in the action area and may be directly affected
8 by construction activities. These areas will be either permanently removed as part of the project or
9 temporarily used as staging areas. Effects to the streaked horned lark are probably limited to impacts on
10 suitable habitat. The habitat located in the action area is not particularly sensitive, as breeding has never
11 been detected in the action area and is unlikely to occur there. If wintering adults occur in the action area
12 and are displaced by the project, effects are likely to be minimal because similar suitable habitat is
13 abundant outside of the action area, and streaked horned larks are not vulnerable during this life stage. For
14 these reasons, the project is *not likely to impact populations, individuals, or suitable habitat*.

15 **NORTHERN WORMWOOD (*Artemisia campestris* var. *wormskioldii*)**

16 **Species and Habitat Occurrence**

17 Northern wormwood, a member of the aster family, is a low-growing, tap-rooted biennial or perennial
18 plant endemic to the Columbia Basin physiographic province. It has crowded basal rosette leaves with
19 two to three linear divisions and slightly smaller stem leaves of similar form. Leaves and other plant
20 tissue are covered with silky hairs. Narrow inflorescences composed of ray (fertile) and disc (sterile)
21 flowers with relatively large involucre appear in April through June (WDNR 1997).

22 Northern wormwood is normally found on relatively flat terrain, in arid areas of shrub-steppe vegetation
23 on basalt, compacted cobble, and sand. Associated species include sagebrush, bluebunch wheatgrass,
24 bluegrass, whiteleaf scorpionweed, winged dock, Pacific sage, bigleaf lupine, northern buckwheat,
25 tumbled mustard, sand beardtongue, and knapweed (WDNR 1997).

26 There are only two known occurrences of northern wormwood, both of which are located in Washington
27 State: one in Grant County and one in Klickitat County. Both are more than 100 miles from the project
28 area. The Washington Natural Heritage Program database contained only two documented detections of
29 this species, approximately 87 miles to the east of the project area (WNHP 2009). The species is
30 presumed extirpated in Oregon. Historically, northern wormwood populations were found along the
31 Columbia River from Multnomah County to Umatilla County (WDNR 1997).

32 Suitable habitat is not likely to be present in the action area, as the nearest shrub-steppe vegetation and
33 sagebrush habitat occurs in eastern Multnomah County and Skamania County, more than 40 miles from
34 the action area. The one vouchered specimen from Multnomah County is in the eastern portion of the
35 county and was last detected in 1915 (OSU 2009).

36 Plant surveys conducted from May to September 2006 did not detect individuals or suitable habitat for
37 northern wormwood.

38 **Effect Determination**

39 The project is *not likely to impact populations, individuals, or suitable habitat* for northern wormwood.
40 Although the project area may occur within the historical range of northern wormwood, it is well outside
41 of the known current range of this species, and suitable habitat is not present in the action area. Surveys

1 that took place within the northern wormwood flowering period did not detect the plant or suitable habitat
2 in the project area. Given the current range of the species, the lack of suitable habitat, and the lack of
3 documented detections, it is extremely unlikely that this plant occurs in the project area. Therefore, we are
4 reasonably certain that this species will not be exposed to project impacts.

5 **(BRUSH PRAIRIE) MAZAMA POCKET GOPHER (*Thomomys mazama*** 6 ***ssp. douglasii*)**

7 **Species and Habitat Occurrence**

8 The Brush Prairie pocket gopher is one of five subspecies of the western pocket gopher that are
9 state-listed as threatened in Washington (WDFW 2008). The Brush Prairie pocket gopher occurs in
10 extreme southwestern Washington in Clark County (WDFW 2005), but has not been detected in the
11 action area (WDFW 2009).

12 The western pocket gopher is primarily a burrowing, solitary species that inhabits open grassy areas,
13 including subalpine meadows, pastures, glacial outwash prairies, savannas, and open early seral
14 woodlands and forests. Soil must be dry, loose, and friable and generally free from rocks (Stinson 2005).
15 Breeding occurs in February through May, with young born in March through June. Natural predators of
16 the western pocket gopher include owls, coyotes, and bobcats. Diet consists of underground plant parts,
17 including roots, tubers, bulbs, and some surface vegetation. Foraging occurs from underground burrows
18 or from the ground surface at night or during overcast days (NatureServe 2009).

19 Main threats consist of an extremely low breeding population, limited dispersal capability, and isolation
20 from other individuals (USFWS 2007). Development has led to large losses of suitable habitat, and the
21 chief habitat type, glacial outwash prairie, is becoming increasingly rare. Trapping by homeowners and
22 predation by domestic cats and dogs have also led to population declines (WDFW 2005).

23 Suitable habitat is present in open, grassy areas within portions of the CRC action area located in Clark
24 County. However, given that the species has very poor dispersal capability and that the few documented
25 detections are found outside of the action area (WDFW 2009), it is extremely unlikely that pocket
26 gophers are found in the action area.

27 **Effect Determination**

28 The project is *not likely to impact populations, individuals, or suitable habitat* for the Brush Prairie
29 Mazama pocket gopher. Given the current range of the species and the lack of documented detections, it
30 is unlikely that the species occurs in the project area. Therefore, we are reasonably certain that this
31 species will not be exposed to project impacts.

32 **OREGON SPOTTED FROG (*Rana pretiosa*)**

33 **Species and Habitat Occurrence**

34 Oregon spotted frogs are highly dependent on aquatic habitat and live in or near permanent bodies of
35 water, including lakes, ponds, slow streams, and marshes. They prefer areas with thick algae and
36 vegetation for cover, but may also hide under decaying vegetation. They are most often found in
37 non-woody wetland plant communities such as sedges, rushes, and grasses. Most Oregon spotted frogs
38 hibernate and aestivate. Oregon spotted frogs are distributed through a wide range of altitudes. Adults eat
39 insects, mollusks, crustaceans, and spiders. Larvae eat algae and organic debris. Major predators include
40 bullfrogs, river otters, raccoons, herons, and garter snakes. Larvae of dragonflies, predacious diving

1 beetles, fish, and garter snakes prey upon larvae of Oregon spotted frogs. The timing of breeding is
2 related to ice melt on lakes, ponds and marshes. Breeding occurs from February to March in the lower
3 elevations, and from March to April in the higher elevations in the Cascade Range. Oregon spotted frogs
4 lay their eggs in the shallows of a permanent water source in spherical clusters of up to 1,300 eggs, which
5 are allowed to float freely. Often, the egg masses protrude above the water surface, which results in egg
6 mortality due to freezing and desiccation (NatureServe 2009).

7 Potential threats to Oregon spotted frogs include loss and alteration of marsh habitat, plant succession and
8 other vegetation changes, predation from nonnative fishes and American bullfrogs, livestock grazing,
9 water quality degradation, isolation, drought, and diseases (Cushman and Pearl 2007).

10 Oregon spotted frog was once found at scattered localities throughout most of Oregon and Washington.
11 Currently, they are nearly extirpated west of the Cascade Range (NatureServe 2009). Two historic
12 populations were documented in Clark County Washington in 1962, but currently, there are only a few
13 remaining known populations in Washington (Larsen 1997). The nearest are in eastern Skamania and
14 Klickitat Counties, about 60 miles from the project area (WDFW 2009). Efforts to reintroduce the species
15 have helped increase population numbers to some extent. Records prior to 1990 exist for Multnomah
16 County, but no current populations are known (USFWS 2009). In Oregon, records from 1990 to present
17 occur only in Deschutes, Jefferson, Klamath, Lane, and Wasco Counties (USFWS 2009).

18 Potentially suitable habitat is found within the action area in riparian corridors, wetlands, wetland/upland
19 mosaics, and upland areas (feeding or wintering), but given its current restricted range, the Oregon
20 spotted frog is very unlikely to be found anywhere within the action area.

21 **Effect Determination**

22 The project is *not likely to impact populations, individuals, or suitable habitat* for the Oregon spotted
23 frog. Suitable habitat occurs within the action area, but given the current range of the species and lack of
24 documented detections, it is extremely unlikely that Oregon spotted frog occurs in the project area.
25 Therefore, we are reasonably certain that this species will not be exposed to project impacts.

26 **References**

- 27 Altman, B. 1999. Status and conservation of state sensitive grassland bird species in the Willamette
28 Valley. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Corvallis, Oregon.
- 29 Center for Biological Diversity, Friends of the San Juans, Oregon Natural Resources Council, and
30 Northwest Ecosystem Alliance. 2002. Petition to List Streaked Horned Lark (*Eremophila*
31 *alpestris strigata*) as a Federally Endangered Species.
- 32 Cushman, K.A. and C.A. Pearl. 2007. A Conservation Assessment for the Oregon Spotted Frog (*Rana*
33 *pretiosa*). March 2007. USDA Forest Service Region 6 and USDI Bureau of Land Management,
34 Oregon and Washington. Interagency Special Status/ Sensitive Species Program, Portland,
35 Oregon.
- 36 Hitchcock, C.L., A. Cronquist, M. Ownbey, and J.W. Thompson. 1955. Vascular plants of the Pacific
37 Northwest, Part 5 Compositae. University of Washington Press, Seattle. 343pp.
- 38 Larsen, E.M., editor. 1997. Management recommendations for Washington's priority species, Volume III:
39 Amphibians and Reptiles. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington.
40 122pp.

- 1 NatureServe. 2009. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 7.1.
2 NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available at: <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>. Accessed
3 April 20, 2009.
- 4 ORNHIC (Oregon Natural Heritage Information Center). 2007. Oregon rare, threatened, and endangered
5 species. GIS data layer. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.
- 6 OSU (Oregon State University). 2009. Oregon Flora Project – Oregon Plant Atlas. Available at:
7 <http://www.oregonflora.org/index.php>. Accessed April 17, 2009.
- 8 Pearson, S.F. and B. Altman. 2005. Range-wide streaked horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*)
9 assessment and preliminary conservation strategy. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife,
10 Olympia, WA. Available at: [http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/research/papers/streaked_horned_lark](http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/research/papers/streaked_horned_lark/streaked_horned_lark_assessment_strategy.pdf)
11 [/streaked_horned_lark_assessment_strategy.pdf](http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/research/papers/streaked_horned_lark/streaked_horned_lark_assessment_strategy.pdf).
- 12 Robinson, W.D. and R.P. Moore. 2004. Range, abundance, and habitat associations of streaked horned
13 lark (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*) during winter. Department of Fisheries and Wildlife and Oak
14 Creek Lab of Biology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.
- 15 Stinson, D.W. 2005. Washington State status report for the Mazama pocket gopher, streaked horned lark,
16 and Taylor's checkerspot. WDFW, Olympia, Washington. 129 + xii pp.
- 17 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007. Species assessment and Listing Priority Assignment
18 Form. *Thomomys mazama* (ssp. *couchi*, *douglasii*, *glacialis*, *louiei*, *melanops*, *pugetensis*,
19 *tacomensis*, *tumuli*, *yelmensis*). Available at: [http://ecos.fws.gov/docs/candforms_pdf/r1](http://ecos.fws.gov/docs/candforms_pdf/r1/A0CR_V01.pdf)
20 [/A0CR_V01.pdf](http://ecos.fws.gov/docs/candforms_pdf/r1/A0CR_V01.pdf). Accessed September 23, 2009.
- 21 USFWS. 2009. Species Fact Sheet. Oregon spotted frog, *Rana pretiosa*. Available at: [http://www.fws.gov](http://www.fws.gov/oregonfwo/Species/Data/OregonSpottedFrog/default.asp)
22 [/oregonfwo/Species/Data/OregonSpottedFrog/default.asp](http://www.fws.gov/oregonfwo/Species/Data/OregonSpottedFrog/default.asp). Accessed September 23, 2009.
- 23 WDFW (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2005. Living with wildlife in the Pacific
24 Northwest – pocket gophers. Available at: <http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/living/gophers.htm>.
- 25 WDFW. 2008. Washington State Candidate List. Available at: [http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/](http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/soc/threaten.htm)
26 [soc/threaten.htm](http://wdfw.wa.gov/wlm/diversty/soc/threaten.htm)
- 27 WDFW. 2009. Wildlife Occurrence Polygons and Points. GIS databases containing documented
28 occurrences of priority habitats and species from 1881 to present. April 21, 2009.
- 29 WDNR (Washington Department of Natural Resources, Washington Natural Heritage Program, and the
30 USDI Bureau of Land Management). 1997. Field Guide for *Artemisia campestris* L. ssp. *borealis*
31 Hall & Clem var. *wormskioldii* (Bess) Cronquist, Northern Wormwood, Asteraceae (Aster
32 Family). Available at: <http://www1.dnr.wa.gov/nhp/refdesk/fguide/pdf/arca.pdf>.
- 33 WNHP (Washington Natural Heritage Program). 2009. Washington Plant Heritage. GIS database
34 containing documented detections of rare plants in Washington. Washington Natural Heritage
35 Program, Olympia, Washington. April 21, 2009.
- 36