| Colum | bia | Rive | er |
|-------|-----|------|----|
| 1 | CRC | 1221 | NG |

| Value | Criteria | Alternative Packages Evaluation (ROSSING Performance Measures |
|--|---|--|
| value | Gitteria | 1.1.1 No. of residential properties within estimated FHWA noise impact contours. |
| | 1.1 | 1.1.2 No. of residential properties within estimated FTA impact screening contours. |
| | Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and | |
| | where practicable reduce, noise levels | 1.1.3 Identified constraints to providing mitigation for areas with potential impacts |
| | 1.2 | 1.2.1 No. of neighborhoods bisected by new construction |
| | Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and | 1.2.2 No. of significantly impacted neighborhoods (> 10% of total area required for new construction) |
| | where practicable enhance, neighborhood | |
| | cohesion. | 1.2.3 No. of neighborhoods divided from their identified resources by new construction |
| v | 1.3 | |
| Ş | Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and where practicable enhance, air quality | 1.3.1 General trade offs in air quality effects of the alternatives |
| nos | where practicable enhance, an quanty | |
| Res | 1.4 | 1.4.1 No. of residential properties crossed by alternative's conceptual footprint |
| an | Avoid or minimize residential displacements | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| <u> </u> | 1.5 | 1.5.1 No. of commercial/industrial properties crossed by alternative's conceptual footprint |
| Ξp | Avoid or minimize business displacements | |
| an | 1.6 | 1.6.1 No. of historic, archaeological and cultural (i.e., TCP) resource properties within conceptual footprint |
| Community Livability and Human Resources | Avoid or minimize adverse impacts to, and | 1.6.2 Total acreage of historic, archeological, cultural properties within conceptual footprint |
| abi | where practicable, preserve historic, prehistoric, and cultural | 1.6.3 No. of historic, archaeological and cultural resource properties also within potential noise impact |
| Ę | resources | contour 1.6.4 Total acreage of land located in high probability areas for archeological resources |
| oity. | 1.7 | 1.6.4 Total acreage of family located in high probability areas for archeological resources |
| ΞŒ | Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and | |
| III. | where practicable enhance, public park and | 1.7 No. of 4(f) public parks (including # of parks and area of parkland) falling within conceptual footprint |
| | recreation | |
| ₹ | resources 1.8 | 1.8.1 Does alternative support/uphold principles of multi-modalism and compact growth? |
| | 1.8 Support local comprehensive plans and | 1.8.2 Is alternative consistent with relevant comprehensive plans? |
| | jurisdiction-approved neighborhood plans | 1.8.3 Is alternative consistent with project-specific policies in the Vancouver City Center Vision? |
| | including development | |
| | and redevelopment opportunities, consistent with these plans. | 1.8.3 Amount of developable, redevelopable land to be lost under alternative. |
| | · | |
| | 1.9 Incorporate aesthetic values of the community | 1.9.1 To be measured in later phases of project when design details are available to support evaluation |
| | in the project design | 1771 TO BE ITHOUSENED IT TOLEN PHOSES OF PROJECT WHEN design details are available to support evaluation |
| | 2.1 | 2.1.1 Passenger auto travel times in minutes between selected corridor points along I-5. Morning commute |
| 70 | Reduce travel times and delay in the I-5 | (SB I-5) |
| an | corridor and within the bridge influence area | Salmon Creek to Portland CBD; Evening commute (NB I-5) Portland CBD to Vancouver CBD |
| ou' | for passenger vehicles | 2.1.2 Passenger auto vehicle hours of delay (VHD) on I-5 within BIA and corridor area |
| ucti | 2.2 | |
| Sed | Reduce travel times and delay in the I-5 | 2.2.1 Peak period transit vehicle travel time and aggregate VHD (transit vehicle hour delay) from selected |
| Ē | corridor and within the bridge influence area | corridor points along I-5 |
| stio | for transit modes 2.3 | |
| nge | Reduce the number of hours of daily highway | 2.3.1 No. of congested lane miles and daily number of hours of congestion on I-5 in the I-5 corridor and |
| ō S _ | congestion in the I-5 corridor and within the | within bridge influence area |
| essibility, Efficiency | bridge influence area | |
| icie | | 2.4.1 Employment and housing accessibility- No. of jobs and households reachable in 15, 30, 45, and 60 |
| ess | 2.4 Enhance or maintain accessibility of jobs, | minute trips by auto and transit from specific I-5 travel markets |
| Acc | housing, health care and education to travel | |
| , Č | markets served by | 2.4.2 Change in # of existing highways/arterials that directly access I-5 within Bridge Influence Area |
| iliq | the I-5 Columbia River crossing | 2.4.2 Change in # of existing highways/afterials that directly access 1-3 within bridge influence Area |
| elia | 2.5 | |
| /, R | Improve person throughput of I-5 Columbia | 2.5.1 & 2.5.2 Peak period and daily persons crossing Columbia River between SOV, HOV, and transit modes |
| iity | River crossing | 2.6.1 & 2.6.2 Peak period and daily SOV, HOV, Bus, and Medium/Heavy Truck volumes across I-5 Columbia |
| Лоb | 2.6 | River crossing. |
| 2. Mobility, Reliability, Accessibility, Congestion Reduction, and Efficiency | Improve vehicle throughput of I-5 Columbia River crossing | |
| | | 2.6.3 Peak period volumes on east-west and north-south adjacent I-5 corridor arterial roadways within Bridge Influence Area |
| | 2.1 | 3.1.1 Percent of population and employment with access to transit within 1/4 mile of bus lines and 1/2 mile |
| | 3.1 Provide for multi-modal transportation choices in the I-5 corridor and within the bridge influence area | of HCT stations |
| | | 3.1.2 Access to employment and housing within transit travel time contour in 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes |
| | | 2 1.00000 to omprogramme and modeling within transit traver time contour in 10, 50, 45, and 00 millittles |
| <u>ce</u> | 3.2 Improve transit service to target markets in the I-5 corridor and within the bridge | 3.2.1 Transit travel times from the 7 Clark County transit markets to the 5 major transit markets in Oregon |
| Sho | | (both in vehicle and out of vehicle for a few representative pairs) (Salmon Creek, dt Vancouver, N Portland, |
| 3. Modal Choice | influence area | dt Portland) |
| Мос | 3.3 | 3.3.1 Provide multi-use facility designed to at least minimum design standards; providing continuous and |
| | Improve bike/pedestrian connectivity in the I- 5 corridor and within the bridge influence area | non-circuitous north-south pathway and convenient connections qualitatively evaluated |
| | o corridor and within the bridge influence area | |
| | 3.4 | 3.4.1 Peak period SOV + HOV + Bus + Medium & Heavy Truck volumes across I-5 Columbia River crossing |
| | Increase vehicle occupancy in the I-5 corridor and within the bridge influence area | and vehicle occupancy at I-5 Columbia River crossing |
| | | |
| | 4.1 Enhance Vehicle/Freight Safety | 4.1.1 Highway improvements to I-5 that specifically improve vehicle/freight safety |
| | 4.2 | 4.2.1 Qualitative assessment of bicycle and pedestrian pathways provided within an alternative, and their |
| 4. Safety | Enhance bike/pedestrian facilities and safety | affect on bike/ped safety |
| | 4.3 | 4.3.1 Quality of navigation channel geometrics to accommodate ship movements. Does alternative improve |
| | Enhance or maintain marine safety | barge turning maneuvers |
| | 4.4 | 4.4.1 Ability to accommodate FAA clearance zone for Pearson Airpark |
| | Enhance or maintain aviation safety | T.T. Ability to accommodate FAA clearance zone for Pearson Airpark |
| | 4.5 | 4.5.1 Ability to accommodate life-line connections in the I-5 corridor across the Columbia River to be |
| | Provide sustained life-line connectivity | maintained in an earthquake |
| | 4.6 | 4.6.1. Ability to accommodate insident/amarganay are insident and inside the second se |
| | Enhance I-5 incident/emergency response | 4.6.1 Ability to accommodate incident/emergency service access to incidents on I-5 in the bridge influence area |
| | access within the bridge influence area | |

| Colum | bia | Rive | r |
|-------|-----|------|----|
| 1 | CRO | 1220 | NG |

| Value | Criteria | | Performance Measures |
|---|--|---|--|
| | 5.1 Reduce travel times and reduce delay for vehicle-moved freight on I-5 within the bridge | | 5.1.1 Peak period Medium/Heavy Truck travel times in minutes on I-5 within Bridge Influence Area. |
| | | | 5.1.2 Peak period Medium/Heavy Truck vehicle hours of delay (VHD) on I-5 within Bridge Influence Area |
| ility | influence area | | 5.2.1 Peak period Medium/Heavy Truck travel times in minutes within I-5 corridor. |
| Mob | 5.2 Reduce travel times and reduce delay for | | |
| ght | vehicle-moved freight in the I-5 corridor | | 5.2.2 Peak period aggregate vehicle hours of delay (VHD) for Medium/Heavy Trucks within I-5 Corridor |
| 5. Regional Economy/Freight Mobility | 5.3 Enhance or maintain efficiency of marine navigation | | 5.3.1 Potential for an alternative to avert extension of "no bridge lift" periods tied to I-5 congestion |
| | 5.4 Improve freight truck throughput of the bridge influence area | | 5.4.1 Peak period Medium & Heavy Truck volumes across I-5 Columbia River crossing |
| | 5.5 Avoid or minimize adverse impacts to the parallel freight rail corridor | | 5.5.1 Peak period congestion along east-west arterials within Bridge Influence Area with at-grade crossings of westerly north-south BNSF railline |
| | 5.6 Enhance or maintain access to port, freight, and industrial facilities | | 5.6.1 Peak period Medium/Heavy Truck travel times in minutes between typical freight centers |
| | 6.1 Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and where practicable enhance, threatened or endangered fish and wildlife and their habitat | | 6.1.1 Total area in acres of critical and native habitat for threatened and endangered (T&E) species within conceptual footprint |
| | | | 6.1.2 Relative quality of the habitat identified under Measure 6.1.1 |
| | 6.2 Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and | | 6.2.1 Total area in acres of fish and wildlife habitat within alternative's conceptual footprint6.2.2 Impacts to wildlife crossings/passage |
| S | where practicable enhance, other fish and wildlife and their | | |
| rice | habitat | | 6.2.3 Type and relative quality of the habitat identified under Measure 6.2.2 |
| Natural Resources | 6.3 Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and where practicable enhance, rare, threatened, or endangered plant species | | 6.3.1 Total area in acres of rare plant habitat within alternative's conceptual footprint |
| f Na | 6.4 | | 6.4.1 Total area in acres of wetlands within alternative's conceptual footprint |
| dship of | Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and where practicable enhance and/or restore, | | 6.4.2 Type and relative quality of the wetlands identified under Measure 6.4.1 |
| ırdsk | wetlands | | |
| 6. Stewar | 6.5 Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and where practicable enhance, water quality | | 6.5.1 Total area in acres of additional impervious surface created under alternative. How much existing impervious surface would remain? |
| | 6.6 Minimize total energy consumption of construction and transportation system operations | | 6.6.1 Amount of energy use |
| | 6.7 Avoid, then minimize adverse impacts to, and where practicable enhance, waterways | | 6.7.1 Identified removal/fill impacts to waterways |
| efits | 7.1 Avoid or minimize disproportionate adverse impacts on, and where practicable, improve conditions for low income and minority populations | | 7.1.2 Do potential acquisitions and noise impacts cluster in areas considered high minority or low income? |
| Distribution of Benefits and Impacts | | | 7.1.3 Is traffic diverted to census tracts considered high minority or low income? |
| ibuti and I | 7.2 Provide for equitable distribution of benefits to low income and minority populations | | 7.2.1 Which block groups experience improved access to I-5, downtown Vancouver, downtown Portland, or |
|)istr | | | other resources? |
| 7. [| | | 7.2.2 Which block groups experience the greatest improvements in transit service? |
| = | 8.1 Minimize the cost of construction. | sed by | 8.1.1 Estimated Capital Construction Cost |
| Cost Effectiveness and Financial Resources | | addres: 6 | 8.1.2 Estimated Operations and Maintenance Cost |
| | | ctively . gh 8.1. | 8.1.3 Estimated lifecycle cost |
| | 8.2 Ensure transportation system construction cost effectiveness. 8.3 | .3 are collectively addressed by 8.1.1 through 8.1.6 | |
| | | | 8.1.4 Estimate of FTA Cost Effectiveness index (as an indicator of each alternative's potential eligibility for FTA New Starts funds). This will be reported in ranges given the preliminary nature of the data |
| tiver | | 8.2 nea | 8.1.5 Daily Time Savings (vehicle hours) per highway alternative life cycle cost |
| st Effec | Ensure transportation system maintenance and operation cost effectiveness. | Criteria 8.1, | 8.1.6 Daily reduction in congested hours of operation (hrs/day) per highway alternative life cycle cost |
| 8. Co | 8.4 Ensure a reliable funding plan for the project | | 8.4.1 To be measured in later phases. |
| w w | | | 8.4.2 To be measured in later phases. |
| owth ement, Use | 9.1 Support adopted regional growth management and comprehensive plans | | 9.1.1 Consistency with regional plan policies (e.g., multi-modalism, compact growth) summarized in Table 1-2 of the draft land use MDR, and other regional plan policies specific to the project. Is the alternative included |
| rowth gemer d Use | | | in the RTP and MTP? |
| 9. Gro Manage Land | | | 9.1.2 Proximity of proposed HCT stations to areas of higher density, either existing or planned (in local |
| Σ | | | comprehensive plans) and with supportive parking, pedestrian and other policies in place. |
| | 10.1 Maintain transportation operations during | | 10.1.1 Magnitude of delays to current highway, transit, and navigation use. |
| 10. Constructability | construction | | |
| | 10.2 Minimize adverse construction impacts | | 10.2.1 Magnitude of noise, air quality, and visual impacts to environment. |
| | 10.3 | | |
| | Provide flexibility to accommodate future transportation system improvements | | 10.3.1 Ease by which transportation system can be improved. |
| | | | |
| | 10.4 Use construction practices and materials that minimize environmental impact | | 10.4.1 To be measured in later phases. |
| | | | |