

3.1	Scope	3-1
3.2	Definitions	3-2
3.3	Load Designations	3-3
3.4	Limit States	3-4
3.5	Load Factors and Load Combinations	3-5
3.5.1	Load Factors for Substructure	3-6
3.6	Loads and Load Factors for Construction	3-7
3.7	Load Factors for Post-tensioning	3-8
3.7.1	Post-tensioning Effects from Superstructure	3-8
3.7.2	Secondary Forces from Post-tensioning, PS	3-8
3.8	Permanent Loads	3-9
3.8.1	Deck Overlay Requirement	3-9
3.9	Live Loads	3-11
3.9.1	Design Live Load	3-11
3.9.2	Loading for Live Load Deflection Evaluation	3-11
3.9.3	Distribution to Superstructure	3-11
3.9.4	Bridge Load Rating	3-13
3.10	Pedestrian Loads	3-14
3.11	Wind Loads	3-15
3.11.1	Wind Load to Superstructure	3-15
3.11.2	Wind Load to Substructure	3-15
3.11.3	Wind on Noise Walls	3-15
3.12	Loads on Culverts	3-16
3.13	Earthquake Effects	3-17
3.14	Earth Pressure	3-18
3.15	Force Effects Due to Superimposed Deformations	3-19
3.16	Other Loads	3-20
3.16.1	Buoyancy	3-20
3.16.2	Collision Force on Bridge Substructure	3-20
3.16.3	Collision Force on Traffic Barrier	3-20
3.16.4	Force from Stream Current, Floating Ice, and Drift	3-20
3.16.5	Ice Load	3-20
3.16.6	Uniform Temperature Load	3-20
3.99	References	3-21

3.1 Scope

AASHTO Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Specifications shall be the minimum design criteria used for all bridges except as modified herein.

3.2 Definitions

The definitions in this section supplement those given in [LRFD Section 3](#).

Permanent Loads – Loads and forces that are, or are assumed to be, either constant upon completion of construction or varying only over a long time interval.

Transient Loads – Loads and forces that can vary over a short time interval relative to the lifetime of the structure.

3.3 Load Designations

Load designations follow [LRFD Article 3.3.2](#).

3.4 Limit States

The basic limit state equation given by LRFD 1.3.2.1 is as:

$$\Sigma \eta_i \gamma_i Q_i \leq \phi R_n = R_r \quad (3.4-1)$$

Where:

- η_i = load modifier
- γ_i = load factor
- Q_i = force effect
- ϕ = resistance factor
- R_n = nominal resistance
- R_r = factored resistance

The modifier, η_i is the product of factors for ductility, redundancy, and importance. For simplicity use a value of 1.0 for η_i except for the design of columns when a minimum value of γ_i is appropriate. In such a case, use $\eta_i = 0.95$. Compression members in seismic designs are proportioned and detailed to ensure the development of significant and visible inelastic deformations at the extreme event limit states before failure.

Strength IV load combination shall not be used for foundation design.

The load factor for live load in the Service III load combination shall be as specified in Section 3.5.

3.5 Load Factors and Load Combinations

The limit states load combinations, and load factors (γ_i) used for structural design are in accordance with the AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* Table 3.4.1-1. For foundation design, loads are factored after distribution through structural analysis or modeling.

The design live load factor for the Service III Limit State load combination shall be as follows:

$\gamma_{LL} = 0.8$ when the requirements of Sections 5.6.1 and 5.6.2 are satisfied and stress analysis is based on gross section properties.

$\gamma_{LL} = 1.0$ when the requirements of Sections 5.6.1 and 5.6.2 are satisfied and stress analysis is based on transformed section properties.

In special cases that deviate from the requirements of Sections 5.6.1 and 5.6.2 and have been approved by the WSDOT Bridge Design Engineer, γ_{LL} , shall be as specified in the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*.

The Service III live load factor for load rating shall be 1.0.

The live load factor for Extreme Event-I Limit State load combination, γ_{EQ} as specified in the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* Table 3.4.1-1 for all WSDOT bridges shall be taken equal to 0.50. The γ_{EQ} factor applies to the live load force effect obtained from the bridge live load analysis. Associated mass of live load need not be included in the dynamic analysis.

The *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* allow the live load factor in Extreme Event-I load combination, γ_{EQ} , be determined on a project specific basis. The commentary indicates that the possibility of partial live load, i.e., $\gamma_{EQ} < 1.0$, with earthquakes should be considered. The application of Turkstra's rule for combining uncorrelated loads indicates that $\gamma_{EQ} = 0.50$ is reasonable for a wide range of values of average daily truck traffic (ADTT). The NCHRP Report 489 recommends live load factor for Extreme Event-I Limit State, γ_{EQ} equal to 0.25 for all bridges. This factor shall be increased to γ_{EQ} equal to 0.50 for bridges located in main state routes and congested roads.

Since the determination of live load factor, γ_{EQ} based on ADTT or based on bridges located in congested roads could be confusing and questionable, it is decided that live load factor of γ_{EQ} equal to 0.50 to be used for all WSDOT bridges regardless the bridge location or congestion.

The base construction temperature may be taken as 64°F for the determination of Temperature Load.

The load factors γ_{TG} and γ_{SE} are to be determined on a project specific basis in accordance with Articles 3.4.1 and 3.12 of the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. Load Factors for Permanent Loads, γ_p are provided in *AASHTO LRFD Specifications* Table 3.4.1-2.

The load factor for down drag loads shall be as specified in the AASHTO *LRFD Specifications* Table 3.4.1-2. The Geotechnical Report will provide the down drag force (*DD*). The down drag force (*DD*) is a load applied to the pile/shaft with the load factor specified in the Geotechnical Report. Generally, live loads (*LL*) are less than the down drag force and should be omitted when considering down drag forces.

The Load Factors for Superimposed Deformations are provided in [Table 3.5-3](#) below.

	PS	CR, SH
Superstructure	1.0	1.0
Substructures that are fixed at the base and have a longitudinal connection with the superstructure (such as a hinged or integral connection) and analyzed using the gross moment of inertia (I_g)	0.5	0.5
All other substructure supporting Superstructure analyzed using either gross moment of inertia (I_g) or the effective cracked moment of inertia ($I_{effective}$)	1.0	1.0

Load Factors for Superimposed Deformations
Table 3.5-3

3.5.1 Load Factors for Substructure

[Table 3.5-4](#) below provides general guidelines for when to use the maximum or minimum shaft/pile/column permanent load factors for axial capacity, uplift, and lateral loading.

In general, substructure design should use unfactored loads to obtain force distribution in the structure, and then factor the resulting moment and shear for final structural design. All forces and load factors are as defined previously.

Axial Capacity	Uplift	Lateral Loading
DC_{max}, DW_{max}	DC_{min}, DW_{min}	DC_{max}, DW_{max}
DC_{max}, DW_{max} for causing shear	DC_{max}, DW_{max} for causing shear	DC_{max}, DW_{max} causing shear
DC_{min}, DW_{min} for resisting shear	DC_{min}, DW_{min} for resisting shear	DC_{min}, DW_{min} resisting shear
DC_{max}, DW_{max} for causing moments	DC_{max}, DW_{max} for causing moments	DC_{max}, DW_{max} for causing moments
DC_{min}, DW_{min} for resisting moments	DC_{min}, DW_{min} for resisting moments	DC_{min}, DW_{min} for resisting moments
EV_{max}	EV_{min}	EV_{max}
$DD = \text{varies}$	$DD = \text{varies}$	$DD = \text{varies}$
EH_{max}	EH_{max} if causes uplift	EH_{max}

Minimum/Maximum Substructure Load Factors for Strength Limit State
Table 3.5-4

In the table above, “causing moment” and “causing shear” are taken to be the moment and shear causing axial, uplift, and lateral loading respectively. “Resisting” is taking to mean those force effects that are diminishing axial capacity, uplift, and lateral loading.

3.6 Loads and Load Factors for Construction

Unless otherwise specified, the load factor for construction loads and for any associated dynamic effects shall not be less than 1.5 in Strength I. The load factor for wind in Strength III shall not be less than 1.25.

When investigating Strength Load Combinations I, III, and V during construction, load factors for the weight of the structure and appurtenances, DC and DW , shall not be taken to be less than 1.25.

Where evaluation of construction deflections are required by the contract documents, Load Combination Service I shall apply. Construction dead loads shall be considered as part of the permanent load and construction transient loads considered part of the live load. The associated permitted deflections shall be included in the contract documents.

For falsework and formwork design loads, see [Standard Specifications](#) Section 6-02.3(17)A. The base construction temperature shall be taken as 64°F for the determination of Temperature Load.

3.7 Load Factors for Post-tensioning

3.7.1 Post-tensioning Effects from Superstructure

When cast-in-place, post-tensioned superstructure is constructed monolithic with the piers, the substructure design should take into account frame moments and shears caused by elastic shortening and creep of the superstructure upon application of the axial post-tensioning force at the bridge ends. Frame moments and shears thus obtained should be added algebraically to the values obtained from the primary and secondary moment diagrams applied to the superstructure.

When cast-in-place, post-tensioned superstructure are supported on sliding bearings at some of the piers, the design of those piers should include the longitudinal force from friction on the bearings generated as the superstructure shortens during jacking. When post-tensioning is complete, the full permanent reaction from this effect should be included in the governing AASHTO load combinations for the pier under design.

3.7.2 Secondary Forces from Post-tensioning, PS

The application of post-tensioning forces on a continuous structure produces reactions at the structure's support and internal forces that are collectively called secondary forces.

Secondary prestressing forces (i.e. secondary moments) are the force effects in continuous members, as a result of continuous post-tensioning. In frame analysis software, the secondary moments are generally obtained by subtracting the primary moment (P^*e) from the total PS moment obtained by applying an equivalent static load which represents the forces due to post-tensioning. A load factor, γ_{PS} , of 1.0 is appropriate for the superstructure. For fixed columns a 50 percent reduction in PS force effects could be used given the elasto-plastic characteristics of the soil surrounding the foundation elements.

3.8 Permanent Loads

The design unit weights of common permanent loads are provided in [Table 3.8-1](#).

Item	Load
Precast Pre-tensioned or Post-tensioned Spliced Girders	165 lb/ft ³
All Other Normal-Weight Reinforced Concrete	155 lb/ft ³
Unreinforced Concrete	145 lb/ft³
Concrete Overlay	150 lb/ft ³
Stay-in-Place Form for Box Girder (applied to slab area less overhangs and webs)	5 lb/ft ²
Traffic Barrier (32" – F Shape) (Normal weight concrete)	460 lb/ft
Traffic Barrier (42" – F Shape) (Normal weight concrete)	710 lb/ft
Traffic Barrier (34" – Single Slope) (Normal weight concrete)	490 lb/ft
Traffic Barrier (42" – Single Slope) (Normal weight concrete)	670 lb/ft
Wearing Surface – Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)/Asphalt Concrete Pavement (ACP)	140 lb/ft ³
Soil, Compact	125 lb/ft ³
Prestressed Concrete	165 lb/ft ³
Light Weight Aggregate Concrete	125 lb/ft ³

Permanent Loads
Table 3.8-1

For lightweight concrete barrier, multiply the normal weight concrete barrier weight from [Table 3.8-1](#) by (125/155).

3.8.1 Deck Overlay Requirement

Vehicular traffic will generate wear and rutting on a concrete bridge deck over the life of a bridge. One option to correct excessive wear is to add a Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) overlay on top of the existing concrete deck. This type of overlay requires less construction time and is less expensive compared to removing a portion of the deck and adding a modified concrete overlay. The initial bridge design needs to incorporate the future overlay dead load.

Concrete bridge deck protection systems shall be in accordance with [Section 5.7.4](#) for new bridge construction and widening projects. To accommodate a future deck overlay, bridges shall be designed as shown [Table 3.8-2](#) below.

Superstructure Type	Concrete Cover	Overlay shown in the plan	Future Design Overlay
Deck Protection Systems 1 and 4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Precast concrete, steel I or box girder with cast-in-place slab Precast slabs with cast-in-place slab Reinforced and post-tensioned box beams and slab bridges Mainline Bridges on State Routes 	2½" (Including ½" wearing surface)	None	2" HMA
Deck Protection Systems 1 and 4: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Undercrossing bridge that carries traffic from a city street or county road Bridges with raised sidewalks 	2½" (Including ½" wearing surface)	None	None
Deck Protection System 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concrete Overlays 	Varies	Varies	None
Deck Protection System 3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HMA Overlays 	Varies	Varies	None
Deck Protection System 5: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Segmental bridges Bridge Decks with longitudinal or transverse post-tensioning 	1¾" (Including ¼" wearing surface)	1½" Modified Concrete Overlay	None

Bridge Overlay Requirements
Table 3.8-2

The effect of the future deck overlay on girders camber, “A” dimension, creep, and profile grade need not be considered in superstructure design.

Deck overlay may be required at the time of original construction for some bridge widening or staged construction projects if ride quality is a major concern.

3.9 Live Loads

3.9.1 **Design Live Load**

Live load design criteria are specified in the lower right corner of the bridge preliminary plan sheet. The Bridge Preliminary Plan Engineer determines the criteria using the following guideline:

- New bridges and Bridge widening with addition of substructure – HL-93
- Bridge superstructure widening with no addition of substructure – Live load criteria of the original design
- Detour and other temporary bridges – 75 percent of HL-93

The application of design vehicular live loads shall be as specified in AASHTO LRFD 3.6.1.3. The design tandem, or “low boy”, defined in LRFD C3.6.1.1 shall be included in the design vehicular live load.

The effect of one design tandem combined with the effect of the design lane load specified in LRFD Article 3.6.1.2.4 and, for negative moment between the points of contraflexure under a uniform load on all spans and reactions at interior supports, shall be investigated a dual design tandem spaced from 26.0 feet to 40.0 feet apart, measured between the trailing axle of the lead vehicle and the lead axle of the trailing vehicle, combined with the design lane load. For the purpose of this article, the pairs of the design tandem shall be placed in adjacent spans in such position to produce maximum force effect. Axles of the design tandem that do not contribute to the extreme force effect under consideration shall be neglected..

3.9.2 **Loading for Live Load Deflection Evaluation**

The loading for live load deflection criteria is defined in LRFD Article 3.6.1.3.2. Live load deflections for the Service I limit state shall satisfy the requirements of LRFD 2.5.2.6.2.

3.9.3 **Distribution to Superstructure**

A. Multi Girder Superstructure

The live load distribution factor for exterior girder of multi girder bridges shall be as follows:

- For exterior girder design with slab cantilever length equal or less than 40 percent of the adjacent interior girder spacing, use the live load distribution factor for interior girder. The slab cantilever length is defined as the distance from the centerline of the exterior girder to the edge of the slab.
- For exterior girder design with slab cantilever length exceeding 40 percent of the adjacent interior girder spacing, use the lever rule with the multiple presence factor of 1.0 for single lane to determine the live load distribution. The live load used to design the exterior girder shall not be less than the live load used for the adjacent interior girder.
- The special analysis based on the conventional approximation of loads on piles as described in LRFD Article C4.6.2.2.2d shall not be used unless the effectiveness of diaphragms on the lateral distribution of truck load is investigated.

B. Concrete Box Girders

The load distribution factor for multi-cell cast in place concrete box girders shall be per **AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications** for interior girders from Table 4.6.2.2.2b-1 for bending moment, and Table 4.6.2.2.3a-1 for shear. The live load distribution factor for interior girders shall then be multiplied by the number of webs to obtain the design live load for the entire superstructure. The correction factor for live load distribution for skewed support as specified in Tables 4.6.2.2.2e-1 for bending moment and 4.6.2.2.3c-1 for shear shall be considered.

$$DF = N_b \times Df_i \text{ Live load distribution factor for multi-cell box girder} \quad (3.9.4-1)$$

Where:

Df_i = Live load distribution factor for interior web

N_b = Number of webs

C. Multiple Presence Factors


A reduction factor will be applied in the substructure design for multiple loadings in accordance with AASHTO.

D. Distribution to Substructure

The number of traffic lanes to be used in the substructure design shall be determined by dividing the entire roadway slab width by 12. No fractional lanes shall be used. Roadway slab widths of less than 24 feet shall have a maximum of two design lanes.

E. Distribution to Crossbeam

The **design and load rating live** loading is distributed to the substructure by placing wheel line reactions in lane configurations that generate the maximum stress in the substructure. A wheel line reaction is **one-half of the reaction of a single lane of live load. For integral and hinged continuity diaphragms**, live loads are considered to act directly on the substructure without further distribution through the superstructure as illustrated in **Figure 3.9-1. For girder configurations where there is a clear load path through the girders to the cross beam, such as at expansion piers with girders supported on individual bearings, live load reactions are applied through the bearings.** Normally, substructure design will not consider live load torsion or lateral distribution. Sidesway effects **shall be taken into account.**



Live Load Distribution to Substructure

Figure 3.9-1

For steel and prestressed concrete superstructure where the live load is transferred to substructure through bearings, cross frames or diaphragms, the girder reaction may be used for substructure design. Live load placement is dependent on the member under design. Some examples of live load placement are as follows. The exterior vehicle wheel is placed 2 feet from the curb for maximum crossbeam cantilever moment or maximum eccentric foundation moment.

For crossbeam design between supports, the lanes are placed to obtain the maximum positive moment in the member; then re-located to obtain the maximum shear or negative moment in the member.

For column design, the design lanes are placed to obtain the maximum transverse moment at the top of the column; then re-located to obtain the maximum axial force of the column.

3.9.4 Bridge Load Rating

Bridge designers are responsible for **Design, Legal, and Permit load rating of** new bridges in accordance with the National Bridge Inspection Standards (NBIS) and the *AASHTO Manual Bridge Evaluation*. See [Chapter 13](#) for detailed information on **loading requirements for bridge load rating**.

3.10 Pedestrian Loads

Pedestrian bridges shall be designed in accordance with the requirements of the AASHTO *LFRD Guide Specifications for the Design of Pedestrian Bridges*, dated December 2009.

Seismic design of pedestrian bridges shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of the AASHTO *Guide Specifications for LFRD Seismic Bridge Design*.

Pedestrian live load on vehicular bridge shall be as specified in LRFD 3.6.1.6.

3.11 Wind Loads

3.11.1 Wind Load to Superstructure

For the usual girder and slab bridges having individual span length of not more than 150 ft and a maximum height of 33 feet above low ground or water level, the following simplified wind pressure on structure (*WS*), could be used in lieu of the general method described in [AASHTO LRFD](#) Article 3.8.1.2:

Limit State	Wind Exposure Category					
	B		C		D	
	Transverse	Longitudinal	Transverse	Longitudinal	Transverse	Longitudinal
Strength III	0.029	0.007	0.040	0.010	0.046	0.012
Strength V	0.021	0.005	0.021	0.005	0.021	0.005
Service I	0.016	0.004	0.016	0.004	0.016	0.004
Service IV	0.016	0.004	0.023	0.006	0.026	0.007

Wind Pressure (kip per square foot)

Table 3.11.1-1

Both forces shall be applied simultaneously.

For the usual girder and slab bridges having individual span length of not more than 150 feet and a maximum height of 33 feet above low ground or water level, the following simplified wind pressure on vehicle (*WL*), could be used in lieu of the general method described in [AASHTO LRFD](#) Article 3.8.1.3:

- 0.10 kip per linear foot, transverse
- 0.04 kip per linear foot, longitudinal

Both forces shall be applied simultaneously.

3.11.2 Wind Load to Substructure

Wind forces shall be applied to the substructure units in accordance with the loadings specified in AASHTO. Transverse stiffness of the superstructure may be considered, as necessary, to properly distribute loads to the substructure provided that the superstructure is capable of sustaining such loads. Vertical wind pressure, per [AASHTO LRFD](#) Section 3.8.2, shall be included in the design where appropriate, for example, on single column piers. Wind loads shall be applied through shear keys or other positive means from the superstructure to the substructure. Wind loads shall be distributed to the piers and abutments in accordance with the laws of statics. Transverse wind loads can be applied directly to the piers assuming the superstructure to act as a rigid beam. For large structures a more appropriate result might be obtained by considering the superstructure to act as a flexible beam on elastic supports.

3.11.3 Wind on Noise Walls

Wind on Noise Walls shall be as specified in LRFD 3.8.1, 3.8.1.2.4, and 15.8.2.

3.12 Loads on Culverts

Loads and live load distributions on culverts shall be in accordance with the requirements of the AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications*. Seismic loading shall be analyzed according to FHWA-NHI-10-034 “*Technical Manual for Design and Construction of Roadway Tunnels – Civil Elements*”.

3.13 Earthquake Effects

Earthquake loads see [Chapter 4](#).

3.14 Earth Pressure

Earth Pressure loads see [Chapter 7](#).

3.15 Force Effects Due to Superimposed Deformations

PS, CR, SH, TU and TG are superimposed deformations. Load factors for *PS*, *CR*, and *SH*, are as shown in Table 3.5-3. In non-segmental structures: *PS*, *CR*, and *SH* are symbolically factored by a value of 1.0 in the strength limit state, but are actually designed for in the service limit state. For substructure in the strength limit state, the value of 0.50 for γ_{PS} , γ_{CR} , γ_{SH} , and γ_{TU} may be used when calculating force effects in non-segmental structures, but shall be taken in conjunction with the gross moment of inertia in the columns or piers. The larger of the values provided for load factor of *TU* shall be used for deformations and the smaller values for all other effects. The calculation of displacements for *TU* loads utilizes a factor greater than 1.0 to avoid under sizing joints, expansion devices, and bearings.

The current AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* require a load factor of 1.2 on *CR*, *SH*, and *TU* deformations, and 0.5 on other *CR/SH/TU* force effects. The lower value had been rationalized as dissipation of these force effects over time, particularly in the columns and piers.

Changing the load factors for creep and shrinkage is not straight-forward because *CR*, *SH* are “superimposed deformations”, that is, force effects due to a change in material behavior that cause a change in the statical system. For safety and simplicity in design, they are treated as loads--despite not being measurable at time $t = 0$. However, behavior is nonlinear and application of the load factor must also be considered. Some software will run service load analysis twice: once with and once without *CR*, *SH* effects. The *CR* and *SH* can then be isolated by subtracting the results of the two runs. Other software will couple the *CR* and *SH* with the dead load, giving a shrinkage- or creep-adjusted dead load.

The proposed compromise is to assign creep and shrinkage the same load factor as the *DC* loads, but permit a factor of 1.0 if the project-specific creep coefficient can be determined and is then used in the linear analysis software.

Thermal and shrinkage loadings are induced by movements of the structure and can result from several sources. Movements due to temperature changes are calculated using coefficients of thermal expansion of 0.000006 feet/foot per degree for concrete and 0.000065 feet/foot per degree for steel. Reinforced concrete shrinks at the rate of 0.0002 feet/foot.

3.16 Other Loads

3.16.1 Buoyancy

The effects of submergence of a portion of the substructure is to be calculated, both for designing piling for uplift and for realizing economy in footing design.

3.16.2 Collision Force on Bridge Substructure

See [AASHTO LRFD](#) Articles 3.6.5 and 3.14

3.16.3 Collision Force on Traffic Barrier

See [AASHTO LRFD](#) Article 3.6.5.1

3.16.4 Force from Stream Current, Floating Ice, and Drift

See [AASHTO LRFD](#) Article 3.9

3.16.5 Ice Load

In accordance with WSDOT HQ Hydraulics Office criteria, an ice thickness of 12" shall be used for stream flow forces on piers throughout Washington State.

3.16.6 Uniform Temperature Load

The design thermal movement associated with a uniform temperature change may be calculated using the ranges of temperature as specified herein. The temperature ranges shown below reflect the difference between the extended lower and upper boundary to be used to calculate thermal deformation effects.

- Concrete Bridges (All Regions): 0° to 100°
- Steel Bridges (Eastern Washington): -30° to 120°
- Steel Bridges (Western Washington): 0° to 120°

3.99 References

1. AASHTO, *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications for Design of Highway Bridges*, 7th Edition.

