



SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Program

Pontoon Construction Project



SR 520 Pontoon Construction Design-Build Project

RFQ Questions and Answers
July 13, 2009

Q #	Date Received	RFQ Reference	Question	Answer	Addendum (Y/N)
1	07/02/2009	General	At the Voluntary Submitter's Meeting on July 1, 2009, Patrick Clarke discussed nine different trial mixes to be looked at regarding the ACME project at Satsop. What can you tell us about this?	The nine mix designs and their test results will be available on our website at the end of this month when the Phase 1 work is complete. The results from the Phase 1 testing will also be included in the RFP for the Pontoon Construction Project. Additional test information from the ACME Project will be added to the appendix of the RFP as it is obtained, through the RFP addendum process.	N
2	07/02/2009	General	At the Voluntary Submitter's Meeting on July 1, 2009, it was mentioned that a concrete plant would be required to be left on site. Is this accurate? What can you tell us about this?	Any concrete weighing/mixing equipment shall remain the property of the Design-Builder at the conclusion of the contract. Any supporting infrastructure to a concrete mixing facility shall remain intact. This includes but not limited to water supply, waste water connections, and electrical power supply.	N

Q #	Date Received	RFQ Reference	Question	Answer	Addendum (Y/N)
3	07/06/2009	Section 7.7	<p>RFQ Section 7.7, paragraph two talks about WSDOT Standard Form 410-009 to be used to "provide an express statement...as to their joint and several liability" for submitters that are Joint ventures, LLC's, partnerships or other associations. Paragraph three then says that only submitters doing construction must be prequalified.</p> <p>Nowhere does it say that the construction firms must be prequalified. Standard prequalification is generally required before a proposal is submitted and not an SOQ.</p> <p>Please clarify that the Standard Form 410-009 is only for committing to being joint and several and does not require the indicated prequalification information?</p> <p>Further clarify that prequalification is not required by any submitters at time of submittal of SOQ, and that if such requirements are required they will be addressed in the RFP?</p>	<p>Please see Addendum # 2 at:</p> <p>http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/biz/contaa/DESIGNBUILDCONTRACTS/SR520BRIDGEREPLACEMENT/2009_0702_RFQ_Addendum_2.pdf</p> <p>Addendum 2 deleted the requirement for submitting form 410-009 and reference to prequalification. The required "express statement as to joint and several liability" must be submitted. However it need not be submitted via form 410-009.</p> <p>A Proposer's Prequalification/qualifications will be determined via the proposer's SOQ for this DB project.</p>	Y
4	07/07/2009		<p>Is the Department considering an Owner Controlled Insurance Program for this project that we may respond to?</p>	<p>We are not considering an Owner Controlled Insurance Program.</p>	N