

## Question and Answer #2 - November 9, 2006

Question #	Owner	Date Received	RFQ Reference	Question	Date Responded To	Answer	Addendum Needed or Actual Addendum
1	Phil Fordyce	11/14/06 & 11/21/06	General Earthwork Quantities	Two prospective bidders were interested in an approximate quantity take-off for cut and fill quantities.	11/14/06 & 11/21/06	Approximate quantities are: cut 7,000 CY; fill 95,000 CY	No
2	Phil Fordyce	11/10/06	Section 6.4 of RFQ	In reviewing the RFQ, Section 6.4 did not put a time limit on how old projects could be that are to be included in the project experience to be a valid representation of current work.	11/27/06	Section 6.4 of the RFQ will be revised to indicate that projects that are to be used should have been completed within the last 7 to 10 years.	Yes
3	Phil Fordyce	11/21/2006	Project Information	A request was received for additional information about the project that will help the proposer prepare their SOQ and help with SOQ team building.	11/21/06	The web site will be update with PDF files that include preliminary plans and summary of quantities. This information should be posted by November 27th or 28th.	No
4	Phil Fordyce	11/12/2006	Section 6.4 of RFQ	WSDOT team members noted that the suggested Table for listing project experience should be removed from this Section and placed in the next available Appendix.	11/27/06	Section 6.4 will be modified to make this correction and an Appendix will be added to include the project Experience Table. A two page limit will be placed on the presentation of this tabular information. Section 6.4 will provide a reference to where this Table can be found.	Yes
5	Phil Fordyce	11/30/2006	Section 7.2.5 of RFQ	Does the 75% requirement pertain to completion of design or completion of the project as a whole	11/30/06	Answer was provided at the voluntary submitters meeting - the 75% pertains to the contract as a whole.	No
6	Project Team	11/30/2006	Master Plan	Does the I-405 Master plan replace the I-405 bridge over the BNSF and UP RR in Renton and Tukwila?	12/1/06	Yes.	No
7	Phil Fordyce	11/28/2006	Contract Procurement	Please describe in general terms the evaluation of price as opposed to the technical proposal in the final evaluation in the procurement.	11/30/06	Best value determination will be similar to what is described in the S. Bellevue RFP. The formula derives a score using the total bid price and the technical evaluation score. The formula is as follows: adjusted Proposal Rating (score)=technical evaluation score (0 to 1000)X\$10,000,000/total proposal price.	No
8	Phil Fordyce	11/28/2006	SOQ Evaluation	In the evaluation of the Statement of Quantifications, please describe how a joint venture of two construction firms is scored. Is it a simple average of the two scores? How is it done compared to a single firm seeking selection?	11/30/06	If a JV is proposed, WSDOT's intent would be to score the SOQ as a single team making a proposal. Separate scoring and averaging will not be done.	No

**Question and Answer #2 - November 9, 2006**

Question #	Owner	Date Received	RFQ Reference	Question	Date Responded To	Answer	Addendum Needed or Actual Addendum
9	Phil Fordyce	11/28/2006	Section 2 and 7 or the RFQ	Please provide more details on how alternative project teams and a combined project management team will be scored? What is the impact on page count? Is a combined project management team submittal limited to three key people?	11/30/06	The page limits are described in Section 5.2 of the RFQ. This question only pertains to submitters who have been short listed on the S. Bellevue project. Both project management team proposals will be scored at the same time. The alternate score (and team) will only be used if this RFQ submitter is the successful proposer on the S. Bellevue project. A combined project management team proposal could propose using an overall project manager; however, in either case, the three key personnel should be provided.	No
10	Phil Fordyce	11/28/2006	RFQ References	WSDOT has asked that references indicate a preferred time for being contacted between December 14 and January 12. If the references are not available as planned for some reason, what provisions are in place to avoid having a firm or team penalized because of non-availability of references for circumstances beyond its control?	11/30/06	The choice and availability of a provided reference is up to the Submitter to provide. WSDOT will make every effort to contact each reference during the periods identified. If for some reason, a reference is not available at the time provided, WSDOT will try and reschedule the reference check. However, it is the Submitter's responsibility to work with their references to make sure they are available at the provided times.	No
11	Project Team	11/30/2006	Master Plan	Would there be added "value" in providing additional additional widening for the BNSF RR bridge?	12/1/06	Yes - the added value would be for improved safety and operations after the this project is complete. Additional width would exceed the RFP requirements. However, WSDOT's preliminary analysis indicates that foundation work would be required due to liquefaction issues if a proposal was made for widening much beyond what is noted in the RFP.	No