

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS	III
CHAPTER 1 – SUMMARY	1-1
1	<i>What is the purpose of this Route Development Plan?</i>	1-1
2	<i>Where is SR 169 located?</i>	1-2
3	<i>Who developed this Route Development Plan?</i>	1-2
4	<i>How has the public been involved in developing this Route Development Plan?</i>	1-3
5	<i>Why is this Route Development Plan needed and what transportation issues does it address?</i>	1-3
6	<i>How could SR 169 travel reliability and safety be improved?</i>	1-5
7	<i>How much will the improvements cost?</i>	1-5
8	<i>How many lanes are proposed for SR 169?</i>	1-8
9	<i>What information is contained in this Route Development Plan?</i>	1-11
10	<i>What are the next steps?</i>	1-12
CHAPTER 2 – DESCRIPTION OF THE EXISTING FACILITY	2-1
1	<i>Where is SR 169 located and what land uses surround the area?</i>	2-1
2	<i>When did SR 169 become a State Route?</i>	2-5
3	<i>How many lanes are there on SR 169?</i>	2-6
4	<i>Where are the intersections and turning lanes on SR 169?</i>	2-9
5	<i>How is SR 169 designated and classified?</i>	2-15
6	<i>How wide is the roadway right-of-way along SR 169?</i>	2-22
7	<i>What is the terrain like along the SR 169 Corridor?</i>	2-24
8	<i>What are the posted speeds along SR 169?</i>	2-25
9	<i>Where are SR 169 passing zones?</i>	2-27
10	<i>Where are bridges located on SR 169?</i>	2-28
11	<i>Where are overpasses and interchanges located on SR 169?</i>	2-29
12	<i>What transit services and facilities are provided on SR 169?</i>	2-30
13	<i>What other methods are used to improve SR 169 operations?</i>	2-34
14	<i>What other types of transportation facilities are located on or near SR 169?</i>	2-36
15	<i>What types of non-motorized transportation facilities are provided on the SR 169 Corridor?</i>	2-36
16	<i>What utilities are located along the SR 169 Corridor?</i>	2-41
17	<i>How does the existing roadway on SR 169 compare to WSDOT's design guidelines?</i>	2-42
18	<i>What roadway improvements have been constructed or are funded?</i>	2-44
CHAPTER 3 – EXISTING AND FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	3-1
1	<i>What are the overall SR 169 Corridor traffic and growth trends?</i>	3-1
2	<i>What is the jobs/housing balance for the SR 169 corridor vicinity?</i>	3-2
3	<i>Is there a daily SR 169 traffic pattern?</i>	3-4

Traffic Volumes

4 What are the current traffic volumes on SR 169? 3-4
5 What are the current heavy vehicle traffic volumes on SR 169? 3-6
6 What are the projected (2030) traffic volumes on SR 169? 3-6

Intersection Level of Service

7 How is traffic operational analysis performed and what is LOS? 3-12
8 What is the current SR 169 intersection LOS? 3-14
9 What are the projected (2030) SR 169 intersection LOS? 3-16

Intersection Vehicle Delay

10 What is the current SR 169 intersection vehicle delay? 3-25
11 What is the projected (2030) SR 169 intersection vehicle delay? 3-28

Highway Section Level of Service

12 What was the highway section level of service methodology? 3-35
13 What are the current rural SR 169 highway section levels of service? 3-38
14 What are the projected (2030) rural SR 169 highway section levels of service? 3-40
15 What are the current urban SR 169 highway section levels of service? 3-40
16 What are the projected (2030) urban SR 169 highway section levels of service? 3-42

Segment Travel Times

17 What was the segment travel time analysis methodology? 3-43
18 What are the current and projected (2030) SR 169 highway segment travel times? 3-44

Safety Conditions

19 What are the current SR 169 safety conditions? 3-46

Collision History

20 What is the recent SR 169 collision history? 3-47

Higher than Average Collision Locations

21 Where are the higher than average collision locations on SR 169? 3-55
22 Where are the recent pedestrian or bicycle collisions locations on SR 169? 3-57
23 Where are the safety and mobility issue locations on SR 169? 3-57

CHAPTER 4 – IDENTIFYING AND SCREENING POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 4-1

1 How were potential roadway improvements identified and screened? 4-1
2 What were the Corridor Working Group’s goals for the SR 169 Route Development Plan? 4-2
3 How were projects identified? 4-4
4 How were projects initially screened? 4-4
5 How was the final screening performed? 4-5
6 What were the results of the final screening? 4-6
7 How were the improvements packaged? 4-7
8 How were the benefits of the three improvement options compared to the costs? 4-13
9 What are the Benefit-Cost Results? 4-15

10 How do the improvement options affect traffic conditions and mobility? 4-15

11 How would the proposed improvement options enhance safety? 4-23

12 Are the proposed improvements consistent with state and local plans? 4-23

CHAPTER 5 – RECOMMENDED CORRIDOR IMPROVEMENTS 5-1

1 What is the recommended improvement option? 5-1

2 How do the recommended projects improve travel reliability and safety on SR 169? 5-2

3 How do the recommended projects improve transit on SR 169? 5-3

4 How much will the improvements cost? 5-3

5 How will the improvements be phased over time? 5-3

CHAPTER 6 – NEXT STEPS 6-1

1 What are the next steps? 6-1

2 Are there any issues that may require additional consideration? 6-2

3 What sources could potentially be used to fund SR 169 improvement projects? 6-3

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 1.1: SR 169 Vicinity Map 1-2

Exhibit 1.2: SR 169 Location Map 1-4

Exhibit 1.3: SR 169 Recommended Improvements and Preliminary Project Costs 1-7

Exhibit 1.4: SR 169 Recommended Cross-Sections 1-9

Exhibit 1.5: SR 169 Recommended Cross-Section Locations 1-10

Exhibit 2.1: SR 169 Corridor Segments 2-2

Exhibit 2.2: SR 169 Typical Cross-Sections 2-7

Exhibit 2.3: SR 169 Cross-Section Locations 2-8

Exhibit 2.4: SR 169 Intersection Characteristics 2-10

Exhibit 2.5: State and Local Roadway Classifications for SR 169 2-17

Exhibit 2.6: WSDOT Highway Access Control Classifications 2-20

Exhibit 2.7: WSDOT Access Control Classifications for SR 169 2-21

Exhibit 2.8: SR 169 FGTS Roadway Classifications 2-22

Exhibit 2.9: Summary of Existing Right-of-Way Widths 2-23

Exhibit 2.10: SR 169 Roadway Grades 2-24

Exhibit 2.11: Posted Speeds on SR 169 2-25

Exhibit 2.12: SR 169 Posted Speed Limits – Percent of Total Highway Miles 2-26

Exhibit 2.13: Percentage of SR 169 with Passing Zones 2-27

Exhibit 2.14: Bridges on SR 169 2-28

Exhibit 2.15: Transit Ridership Routes Serving SR 169 2-31

Exhibit 2.16: Bus Stops & Pullouts on SR 169 2-32

Exhibit 2.17:	SR 169 Average Park-and-Ride Lot Occupancy Rates	2-34
Exhibit 2.18:	Summary of Pedestrian/Bicycle Facilities on SR 169	2-37
Exhibit 2.19:	Crosswalks on SR 169	2-39
Exhibit 2.20:	Utility Providers with Permits in the SR 169 Right-of-Way	2-41
Exhibit 2.21:	Comparison of WSDOT Design Guidelines and Existing Conditions on SR 169	2-43
Exhibit 2.22:	SR 169 List of Funded Projects	2-44
Exhibit 3.1:	SR 169 Vicinity Map	3-1
Exhibit 3.2:	Jobs per Households	3-3
Exhibit 3.3:	Average Daily Traffic Volumes (2004–2030)	3-5
Exhibit 3.4:	Daily Heavy Vehicle Traffic Volumes	3-6
Exhibit 3.5:	Enumclaw Segment – Existing and Future ADT Volumes	3-7
Exhibit 3.6:	Rural / Agricultural Segment – Existing and Future ADT Volumes	3-8
Exhibit 3.7:	Black Diamond Segment – Existing and Future ADT Volumes	3-9
Exhibit 3.8:	Maple Valley Segment – Existing and Future ADT Volumes	3-10
Exhibit 3.9:	Cedar River Segment – Existing and Future ADT Volumes	3-11
Exhibit 3.10:	Renton Segment – Existing and Future ADT Volumes	3-12
Exhibit 3.11:	Level of Service at SR 169 Intersections	3-15
Exhibit 3.12:	Projected Changes in SR 169 Intersection Level of Service Intersections	3-16
Exhibit 3.13:	Intersection Level of Service and Vehicle Delay	3-17
Exhibit 3.14:	Enumclaw Segment – Existing and Future PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS	3-19
Exhibit 3.15:	Rural / Agricultural Segment – Existing and Future PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS	3-20
Exhibit 3.16:	Black Diamond Segment – Existing and Future PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS	3-21
Exhibit 3.17:	Maple Valley Segment – Existing and Future PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS	3-22
Exhibit 3.18:	Cedar River Segment – Existing and Future PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS	3-23
Exhibit 3.19:	Renton Segment – Existing and Future PM Peak Hour Intersection LOS	3-24
Exhibit 3.20:	Delay & LOS at SR 169 Intersections	3-27
Exhibit 3.21:	SR 169 All Intersections Projected (2030) Delay	3-28
Exhibit 3.22:	SR 169 All Intersections Current vs. Projected Delay	3-29
Exhibit 3.23:	SR 169 Unsignalized Intersection Current vs. Projected LOS	3-30
Exhibit 3.24:	SR 169 Unsignalized Intersection Current vs. Projected Delay	3-31
Exhibit 3.25:	SR 169 Signalized Intersection Current vs. Projected LOS	3-32
Exhibit 3.26:	SR 169 Signalized Intersection Current vs. Projected Delay	3-33
Exhibit 3.27:	WSDOT Level of Service Flow Conditions	3-35
Exhibit 3.28:	SR 169 Highway Segment Evaluation Type	3-36
Exhibit 3.29:	SR 169 Highway Segment Evaluation Type and Location	3-37
Exhibit 3.30:	SR 169 Rural Highway Segments Current vs. Projected LOS and Average Speed	3-39
Exhibit 3.31:	SR 169 Urban Highway Segments Current vs. Projected LOS and Average Speed	3-41
Exhibit 3.32:	SR 169 Current and Future Travel Time Comparison	3-44

Exhibit 3.33: SR 169 Average PM Peak Hour Travel Time 3-45

Exhibit 3.34: Types of Collisions on SR 169 Enumclaw Segment 3-48

Exhibit 3.35: Types of Collisions on SR 169 Rural / Agricultural Segment 3-49

Exhibit 3.36: Types of Collisions on SR 169 Black Diamond Segment 3-51

Exhibit 3.37: Types of Collisions on SR 169 Maple Valley Segment 3-52

Exhibit 3.38: Types of Collisions on SR 169 Cedar River Segment 3-53

Exhibit 3.39: Types of Collisions on SR 169 Renton Segment 3-54

Exhibit 3.40: SR 169 Collision Type by Segment 3-55

Exhibit 3.41: SR 169 Higher than Average Collision Location Information 3-55

Exhibit 3.42: SR 169 Higher than Average Collision Locations 3-56

Exhibit 3.43: SR 169 Mobility and Safety Problems by Segment 3-58

Exhibit 4.1: SR 169 Route Development Plan Screening Process 4-3

Exhibit 4.2: SR 169 Improvement Option 1 4-9

Exhibit 4.3: SR 169 Improvement Option 2 4-11

Exhibit 4.4: SR 169 Improvement Option 3 4-12

Exhibit 4.5: Benefits-to-Cost Analysis Results 4-15

Exhibit 4.6: SR 169 Corridor Comparison of Traffic Operations 4-16

Exhibit 4.7: SR 169 Comparison of Traffic Operations – Enumclaw Segment 4-17

Exhibit 4.8: SR 169 Comparison of Traffic Operations – Rural/Agricultural Segment 4-18

Exhibit 4.9: SR 169 Comparison of Traffic Operations – Black Diamond Segment 4-19

Exhibit 4.10: SR 169 Comparison of Traffic Operations – Maple Valley Segment 4-20

Exhibit 4.11: SR 169 Comparison of Traffic Operations – Cedar River Segment 4-21

Exhibit 4.12: SR 169 Comparison of Traffic Operations – Renton Segment 4-22

Exhibit 5.1: SR 169 Recommended Improvements and Preliminary Project Costs 5-5

Exhibit 5.2: SR 169 Recommended Cross-Sections 5-6

Exhibit 5.3: SR 169 Recommended Cross-Section and Locations 5-7

Exhibit 6.1: Potential State and Local Funding Sources 6-5

APPENDICES

- A Public Involvement
- B Environmental Inventory
- C Screening and Evaluation Process
- D List of Proposed Projects
- E SAFETEA-LU Federal Funding Sources
- F RDP Development Files
 - SR 169 Corridor Study Corridor Working Group Charter,

TOC-vi SR 169 Route Development Plan

- SR 169 Corridor Study Goals & Objectives,
- Evaluation Criteria,
- ADT Directional Values,
- Final Screening of Potential Transportation Projects,
- 2030 Level of Service Intersection Analysis – Summary,
- Level of Service Segment Analysis – Summary,
- Travel Time Survey,
- Turning Movement Volumes,
- Collision Data (2002 to 2004),
- Enumclaw Improvement Options,
- Rural / Agricultural Improvement Options,
- Black Diamond Improvement Options,
- Maple Valley Improvement Options,
- Cedar River Improvement Options, and
- Renton Improvement Options

ACRONYMS

	A		P
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials	PAL	Pedestrian Accident Location
ADT	average daily traffic	PSMP	Pedestrian Safety and Mobility Program
AIP	Arterial Improvement Program	PSRC	Puget Sound Regional Council
APD	Agriculture Production District	PWTF	Public Works Trust Fund
AVO	Average Vehicle Occupancy		
	B	RAP	Rural Arterial Program
B/C	Benefit-to-Cost Analysis	RDP	Route Development Plan
BNSF	Burlington Northern Santa Fe	ROW	right-of-way
	C	RTPO	Regional Transportation Planning Organization
CAPP	County Arterial Preservation Program		S
CHAA	City Hardship Assistance Account	SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act – a Legacy for Users
CRA	Congestion Relief Analysis		
CWG	Corridor Working Group	SCP	Small City Program
	D	SR	State Route
DART	dial-a-ride transit		T
DDHV	directional design hourly volume	TDM	Transportation Demand Management
	F	TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century
FAZ	Forecast Analysis Zone	TIB	Transportation Improvement Board
FGTS	Freight and Goods Transportation System	TPO	Transportation Planning Organization
FMSIB	Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board	TPP	Transportation Partnerships Program
FPP	Farmland Preservation Program	TSM	Transportation Systems Management
	G		U
GMA	(Washington State) Growth Management Act	UGAs	Urban Growth Areas
	H		V
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual	vpd	vehicles per day
HSP	Washington State Highway System Plan		W
HSS	Highway of Statewide Significance	WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation
	I	WTP	Washington Transportation Plan
ISTEA	Inter Surface Transportation Act		
	L		
LIDs	Local Improvement Districts		
LOS	level of service		
	M		
Metro	King County Metro Transit		
MP	Milepost		
MPOs	Metropolitan Planning Organizations		

