



APPENDIX E

FPPA Documentation



United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service
1011 East Main, Suite 106
Puyallup, WA 98372
(253) 845-9272, Fax (253) 445-9934

DATE: September 3, 2009

TO: Scott Smithline
Environmental Coordinator
WSDOT - Southwest Region
11018 NE 51st Circle
PO Box 1709
Vancouver, WA 98668-1709

SUBJECT: Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Salmon Creek Interchange Project,
AD-1006 and CPA-106, Clark County, Washington

Dear Mr. Smithline:

Attached are the AD-1006 and CPA-106 forms for the Salmon Creek Interchange Project. Acreages used were based on the figures provided to me electronically. The forms were completed using a land evaluation system developed for Clark County.

Due to the amount of development in the areas of the proposed park and ride facility and for the Padden site (alternative B, AD-1006), the sites are determined to be in urban development. Areas that are in urban development are no longer considered farmland. For the park and ride facility, question 3 in Part II of the CPA-106 was answered "no" and no further action is needed. For alternative B in Part IV of the AD-1006 form no farmland acreage was listed.

The evaluations for the project were based on the potential for soil map units to be prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland. No on site investigation was made.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Charles Natsuhara".

Charles Natsuhara
Area Soil Scientist

Attachments

Cc: Becky Morris, NRCS Resource Conservationist, Brush Prairie
Ron Myhrum, NRCS State Soil Scientist, Spokane

U.S. Department of Agriculture

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Date Of Land Evaluation Request 8/17/09
---	---

Name Of Project Salmon Creek Interchange Project	Federal Agency Involved Federal Highway Administration
--	--

Proposed Land Use Wetland Mitigation (public)	County And State Clark County, Washington
---	---

PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	Date Request Received By NRCS 8/18/09
--	---------------------------------------

Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply -- do not complete additional parts of this form).	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	Acres Irrigated 4,413	Average Farm Size 37 acres
---	---	-----------------------	----------------------------

Major Crop(s) hay, corn silage, vegetables, orchard, pasture	Farmable Land In Govt. Jurisdiction Acres: 297,101 % 74	Amount Of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 295,691 % 73
--	--	--

Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Clark County	Name Of Local Site Assessment System none	Date Land Evaluation Returned By NRCS 9/3/09
---	--	---

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Alternative Site Rating			
	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	13.9	11.6	14.6	45.8
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
C. Total Acres In Site	13.9	11.6	14.6	45.8

PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	13.9	0.0	13.2	36.3
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted	0.005%	0.0	0.005%	0.01%
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value	1.7		52.1	56.0

PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points)	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
	75	0	68	59

PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Site Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(b))	Maximum Points	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
1. Area In Nonurban Use	15	8	7	14	14
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use	10	4	2	10	10
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed	20	0	0	19	19
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	20	0	0	20	20
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area	15	5	2	10	10
6. Distance To Urban Support Services	15	0	0	10	10
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	10				
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland	10	0	0	0	0
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services	5	5	5	5	5
10. On-Farm Investments	20	0	0	14	14
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services	10	0	0	2	2
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	10	0	0	0	0
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	160	22	16	104	104

PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100	75	0	68
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160	22	16	104
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	260	97	16	163

Site Selected: A, B, & D	Date Of Selection	Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------	--

Reason For Selection: Sites A and B are currently owned by Clark County, a co-applicant on the Salmon Creek Interchange Project. These sites are currently public property and would not require additional acquisition of private property to accommodate the project's need for large wetland mitigations sites. Site D was selected due to it's existing high quality forested wetland adjacent to an upland that the project would utilize for wetland creation.

**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request 8/24/09	4. Sheet 1 of 4
1. Name of Project Salmon Creek Interchange Project		5. Federal Agency Involved Federal Highway Administration	
2. Type of Project Transportation (public)		6. County and State Clark County, Washington	
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		1. Date Request Received by NRCS 8/24/09	2. Person Completing Form C. Natsuhara
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		4. Acres Irrigated 4,413	Average Farm Size 37 acres
5. Major Crop(s) orchard, grass silage corn silage, hay, pasture, vegetables	6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction Acres: 297,101 % 74	7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 295691 % 73	
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used Clark County	9. Name of Local Site Assessment System none	10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 9/3/09	

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Alternative Corridor For Segment			
	Corridor A	Corridor B	Corridor C	Corridor D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	8			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services	0			
C. Total Acres In Corridor	8	0	0	0

PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information				
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland				
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted				
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value				

PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)

PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))	Maximum Points				
1. Area in Nonurban Use	15				
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use	10				
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed	20				
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	20				
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	10				
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland	25				
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services	5				
8. On-Farm Investments	20				
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services	25				
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	10				
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS	160	0	0	0	0

PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)					
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100				
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160	0	0	0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	260	0	0	0	0

1. Corridor Selected:	2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project:	3. Date Of Selection:	4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>
-----------------------	---	-----------------------	--

5. Reason For Selection:

Signature of Person Completing this Part: _____ DATE _____

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

